
From: Alan Pollack <alanspollack@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2023 11:12 AM
To: Alan Pollack; Comments Mailbox
Subject: [External]Re: Public comment for Special Committee Disbarment;

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Judiciary organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Concurrence with Gallipoli, M., PJSC, Ret.

Sent from my iPad

> On Jul 27, 2023, at 11:09 AM, Alan Pollack <alanspollack@icloud.com> wrote:
>
> Alan S. Pollack (NJ Bar Dec. '84 to '05; admin revok '11?) Greetings,
>
> 1. I ask that the proposal to end or evaluate the need for re examination or re taking the state and or federal bar exam(s) also be applied to those whose license(s) were administratively revoked.
> That's me if you'd like more information.
> I was working outside the law in the art and craft of television production. There was no check the box for "not practising" (sic) or "low income" (look at my tax return(s) or "only has a fool for a client."
> I had offered my tax returns to the criminal assignment judge in
> Hudson to support my contention to her that as a low paid television production freelancer non employee no benefits no insurance I could not do Grand Jury for 6 months or ? (The Hudson 8).
> I volunteered to serve on a petit jury.
> However my father's difficult reputation may have preceeded me. Lol.
>
> 2. I strenuously concur in the dissenting opinion of the Hon. M. Gallipoli, P.J.S.C., Ret., Hudson Vicinage.
> I was fortunate to practice in Hudson in the '90's and was a student member of the first Hudson Inn of Court class.
>
> 3. Thank you very much. This was paralegal generated. This does not constitute the practise of law. It was not intended to offer legal advice.
>
>

From: Alan Pollack <alanspollack@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2023 3:13 PM
To: Comments Mailbox
Subject: [External]Second item - this is special legislation for ...Public comment for Special Committee Disbarment;

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Judiciary organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

This is in supplement to my first comment submitted earlier today.

I'm fortunate to have been a student of Prof. Robert F. Williams of Camden Law, the state constitutional law expert.

So I was interested in the committee's comprehensive efforts for individuals of high net worth.

Therefore, your proposed disbarment can be expunged if you make restitution blah blah blah

So this is SPECIAL legislation -

For a very special person -

From Ocean County,

Our un elected powertician

No not State v Marshall the rest stop wife killer

From Toms River

None other than the de facto head of the MAGA GOP in NJ

George Gilmore

Disbarred due to fed convict fraud and racketeering stupidity

But no simultaneous state fraud or OAE efforts

Hmmmm

So his disbarment was expunged or reversed

(Nunc pro Tunc)

by President Trump on his last day in office; from his first term.

So he gets back law license.

He only defrauded a bank. It's not even a person.

And Gov Murphy allows Mr Gilmore to Re appoint him self as the GOP head but that's not an elected position

Your beginning to see the ridiculous* here ?

(* Trademark mtv networks)

And then Mr Gilmore sues the moderate ocean county republicans in a revenge action or slap suit.

And none of this had to take place

Judge Rabner

Because Mrs Gilmore became the first paralegal - lobbyist - of counsel in the state of New Jersey. The first in the country.

Like Jersey City Public Schools was first state take over district on this planet.

So keep up the good work. Justice Thomas is watching.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Alan Pollack <alanspollack@icloud.com>
Date: July 27, 2023 at 11:12:35 AM EDT

To: Alan Pollack <alanspollack@icloud.com>, comments.mailbox@njcourts.gov
Subject: Re: Public comment for Special Committee Disbarment;

Concurrence with Gallipoli, M., PJSC, Ret.

Sent from my iPad

On Jul 27, 2023, at 11:09 AM, Alan Pollack <alanspollack@icloud.com> wrote:

Alan S. Pollack (NJ Bar Dec. '84 to '05; admin revok '11?)

Greetings,

1. I ask that the proposal to end or evaluate the need for re examination or re taking the state and or federal bar exam(s) also be applied to those whose license(s) were administratively revoked.

That's me if you'd like more information.

I was working outside the law in the art and craft of television production. There was no check the box for "not practising" (sic) or "low income" (look at my tax return(s) or "only has a fool for a client."

I had offered my tax returns to the criminal assignment judge in Hudson to support my contention to her that as a low paid television production freelancer non employee no benefits no insurance

I could not do Grand Jury for 6 months or ? (The Hudson 8).

I volunteered to serve on a petit jury.

However my father's difficult reputation may have preceeded me. Lol.

2. I strenuously concur in the dissenting opinion of the Hon. M. Gallipoli, P.J.S.C., Ret., Hudson Vicinage.

I was fortunate to practice in Hudson in the '90's and was a student member of the first Hudson Inn of Court class.

3. Thank you very much. This was paralegal generated. This does not constitute the practise of law. It was not intended to offer legal advice.

Sent from my iPad

From: Alan Pollack <alanspollack@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 2, 2023 7:15 PM
To: Comments Mailbox
Subject: [External]My third comment for Disbarment Review Comm

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Judiciary organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

1. Following the logic of the majority, please extend this to judges

- A. In my third year of law school, upon retrieving my Philadelphia Inquirer one fall morning from the steps of 426 Lawrence St. , I saw that Camden County Superior Court Judge Peter Corruzi had been intercepted by FBI exiting the old courthouse with an envelope in his pocket with fresh \$100 bills from someone who wanted help on a case.
- B. In Jersey City Municipal Court, during Bret Schundler's mayoralty, Judge Matty Boyland accepted sexual favors.

Thank you
Alan Pollack

Sent from my iPad

From: Alan Pollack <alanspollack@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 2, 2023 9:50 PM
To: Comments Mailbox
Subject: [External]Fwd: My Fourth comment for Disbarment Expungement Committee

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Judiciary organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Alan Pollack <alanspollack@icloud.com>
Date: August 2, 2023 at 9:49:08 PM EDT
To: Alan <alanspollack@icloud.com>
Subject: My Fourth comment for Disbarment Expungement Committee

Re The "extenuating circumstances" (slippery slope) and the "no harm done" in only a "commercial" litigation with repayment etc setting

So a high school friend, a sole proprietor business owner, contacted me a number of years ago to ask my opinion of his Bergen County solo practitioner's defense of his business against a customer's claim for compensatory damages for allegedly non conforming goods etc.

Upon reviewing my friend's documents, I told him things looked bad and a motion to enter a default judgment and or execute on his bank accounts for \$50k arrived shortly.

He added that he had left telephone messages on answering machine but no response.

I photocopied the clerk's paper file and quickly filed a defensive motion to vacate execution, judgment, default.

I asked my friend to retain a local firm for expedited depositions and trial.

Later the solo claimed gambling addiction causing other issues. So does every human.

The aggravation caused to a solo business owner trying to operate a complex business in a highly competitive environment IS unnecessary harm. Punitive damages would be nice.

In the underlying matter the trial judge found that Attorney Ms. Wade, the religious and pious pro bono person, who retracts her initial admissions with no responsibility ultimately being taken; then asserts she didn't know right from wrong or remember skills and methods class or professional responsibility class or exam, deserves our consideration.

I again strenuously concur in the dissent of the Hon. M. Gallipoli, PJSC/AJSC, Hudson, Ret.

Thank you.
Alan Pollack

From: Alan Pollack <alanspollack@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 1:12 PM
To: Comments Mailbox
Cc: Alan
Subject: [External]Re: Comments closed on 8/1 however per 4:50-1 could you consider ?

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Judiciary organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

On Aug 4, 2023, at 1:11 PM, Alan Pollack <alanspollack@icloud.com> wrote:

>
> Comments have closed however per 4:50-1 et seq if you could accept an alternative idea:
> For
> Proctorship ? Clerkship from the 1960's ?
> Plus real pro bono legal services/public defender For the "newly
> un-dis-barred."
>
> Joel Leyner, Esq., the Founder of the Hudson Inn of Court, recounted to me that his late
senior partner, Raymond Chasan, Esq., had been the attorney at law to offer an "official"
> clerkship (in order to receive a "full" or unrestricted license ?)
>
> To Raymond Brown, Esquire, Senior.
>
> No other firm or attorney "hired" him in this capacity needed for full licensure under that
previous system.
>
> Thank you.
> Alan Pollack
>
> Sent from my iPad