

CERTAIN PERSONS NOT TO HAVE ANY WEAPONS¹
N.J.S.A. 2C:39-7(a)

NOTE

[The following should be charged before the beginning of the second trial if it is tried before the same jury that decided the possessory charge of a weapon or firearm]

Now there is an additional charge for you to consider.

Count _____ charges the defendant with possession of a _____ by a previously convicted person.

(Read Certain Persons count of the Indictment)²

You must disregard completely your prior verdict, and consider anew the evidence previously admitted on the possession of a weapon. The defendant is entitled to the presumption of innocence. Each and every material fact that makes up the crime, including the element of possession, must be proven by the State beyond a reasonable doubt.³

[CHARGE IN ALL CASES]

Count _____ of the indictment charges defendant with violating a statute which reads as follows:

[Select the appropriate section of the statute from below]

Any person having been convicted in this State or elsewhere of certain enumerated offenses⁴ **OR** [a predicate offense]⁵ who purchases,

¹ This charge should be used when the indictment charges a fourth degree crime involving the possession of a prohibited weapon under N.J.S.A. 2C:39-7(a).

² If defendant is stipulating to the predicate offense, do not read the crime listed in the Certain Persons count.

³ See State v. Ragland, 105 N.J. 189, 195 (1986).

⁴ The crimes set forth in the statute are aggravated assault, arson, burglary, escape, extortion, homicide, kidnapping, robbery, aggravated sexual assault, sexual assault, bias intimidation in violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:16-1, endangering the welfare of a child pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C:24-4, whether or not armed with or having in his/her possession a weapon enumerated in subsection r. of N.J.S.A. 2C:39-1, possession of a prohibited weapon, N.J.S.A. 2C:39-3, possession of a weapon for an unlawful purpose, N.J.S.A. 2C:39-4, or manufacturing, transporting, disposition or defacement of weapons, dangerous instruments and appliances, N.J.S.A. 2C:39-9, or any person convicted of other than a disorderly persons or petty disorderly persons offense for the unlawful use, possession or sale of a controlled dangerous substance as defined in N.J.S.A. 2C:35-2. When a defendant does not stipulate to a predicate offense under the certain persons statute, the State shall produce evidence of the predicate offense: the judgment of conviction with the unredacted nature of the offense, the degree of offense, and the date of conviction. See State v. Bailey, 231 N.J. 474 (2018).

⁵ See State v. Brown, 180 N.J. 572, 585 (2004) (if defendant stipulates to the offense, the jury must be instructed only that defendant was convicted of a predicate offense.) Defendant's stipulation must be a knowing and voluntary waiver of rights, placed on the record in defendant's presence; the prosecution is limited to announcing to the jury that the defendant has committed an offense that satisfies the statutory

**CERTAIN PERSONS NOT TO
HAVE ANY WEAPONS**
N.J.S.A. 2C:39-7(a)

owns, possesses or controls any weapon as set out in subsection r. of N.J.S.A. 2C:39-1 is guilty of a crime.

[or]

Any person who has ever been committed for a mental disorder to any hospital, mental institution or sanitarium unless he possesses a certificate of a medical doctor or psychiatrist licensed to practice in New Jersey or other satisfactory proof that he is no longer suffering from a mental disorder which interferes with or handicaps him in the handling of a firearm, who purchases, owns, possesses or controls any weapon as set out in subsection r. of N.J.S.A. 2C:39-1 is guilty of a crime.

In order for you to find defendant guilty, the State must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

1. Exhibit _____ is a weapon [or that there was a weapon].
2. Defendant purchased, owned, possessed or controlled the weapon [on the date alleged in the indictment].

[Choose appropriate]

3. Defendant is a person who previously has been convicted of [a certain enumerated crime named in the statute] **OR** [a predicate offense].

[or]

3. Defendant is a person who previously has been committed for a mental disorder to any hospital, mental institution or sanitarium.

The first element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that exhibit _____ is a weapon [or that there was such a weapon]. A weapon means anything readily capable of lethal use or of inflicting serious bodily injury.⁶ [At this point, if the weapon alleged in the

predicate-offense element. See State v. Bailey, *supra*; See also State v. Alvarez, 318 N.J. Super. 137, 150-54 (App. Div. 1999) (defendant's offer to stipulate that his or her prior convictions meet the status element of N.J.S.A. 2C:39-7 must be granted). Accord State v. Harvey, 318 N.J. Super. 167, 173 (App. Div. 1999).

⁶ N.J.S.A. 2C:39-1(r). See remainder of N.J.S.A. 2C:39-1(r) for a more detailed definition of the term "weapon."

**CERTAIN PERSONS NOT TO
HAVE ANY WEAPONS**
N.J.S.A. 2C:39-7(a)

indictment is a specific weapon which is defined in a subsection of N.J.S.A. 2C:39-1, then you should read that definition to the jury]. [Additionally, if appropriate, read the following passage: Some objects that may be used as weapons also have more innocent purposes. Where the object is capable of both innocent and unlawful purposes, the circumstances attending its possession may be considered by you. “More specifically, the size, shape and condition of the object, whether it was concealed, and the time, place and actions of [defendant] are material circumstances in determining when the particular implement constitutes a weapon under the provisions of this statute.”^{7]}

The second element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant knowingly⁸ purchased, owned, possessed or controlled a weapon. A person acts knowingly with respect to the nature of his/her conduct or the attendant circumstances if he/she is aware that the conduct is of that nature or that such circumstances exist or the person is aware of a high probability of their existence. A person acts knowingly with respect to a result of the conduct if he/she is aware that it is practically certain that the conduct will cause a result. “Knowing,” “with knowledge,” or equivalent terms have the same meaning.

Knowledge is a condition of the mind. It cannot be seen. It can only be determined by inference from defendant’s conduct, words or acts. A state of mind is rarely susceptible of direct proof but must ordinarily be inferred from the facts. Therefore, it is not necessary that the State produce witnesses to testify that an accused said that he/she had a certain state of mind when he/she did a particular thing. It is within your power to find that such proof has been furnished beyond a reasonable doubt by inference which may arise from the nature of his/her acts and conduct and from all he/she said and did at the particular time and place and from all surrounding circumstances established by the evidence.

To possess⁹ an item under the law, one must have a knowing intentional control of that item accompanied by a knowledge of its character. So, a person who possesses [identify relevant item(s)] must know or be aware that he/she possesses it, and he/she must know what it is that

⁷ State v. Jones, 198 N.J. Super. 553, 564-65 (App. Div. 1985).

⁸ N.J.S.A. 2C:2-2(c)(3) applies the culpable state of mind of knowingly pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C:2-2(b)(2) to this statutory crime.

⁹ N.J.S.A. 2C:2-1. Additionally, charge any applicable and appropriate statutory inferences. For example, see N.J.S.A. 2C:39-2 which creates certain statutory inferences when a weapon is found in a motor vehicle. See also, N.J.R.E. 303.

**CERTAIN PERSONS NOT TO
HAVE ANY WEAPONS**
N.J.S.A. 2C:39-7(a)

he/she possesses or controls, [that it is a _____]

[Where applicable charge the following: Possession cannot merely be a passing control, fleeting or uncertain in its nature.] In other words, to “possess” within the meaning of the law, the defendant must knowingly procure or receive the item possessed or be aware of his/her control thereof for a sufficient period of time to have been able to relinquish his/her control if he/she chose to do so.

A person may possess _____(an item) even though it was not physically on his/her person at the time of the arrest, if he/she had in fact, at some time prior to his/her arrest, had control over it.

Possession means a conscious, knowing possession, either actual or constructive.

[CHARGE THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPHS WHICH APPLY TO CASE]

ACTUAL POSSESSION

A person is in actual possession of a particular article or thing when he/she first, knows what it is; that is, he/she has knowledge of its character, and second, knowingly has it on his/her person at a given time.

CONSTRUCTIVE POSSESSION¹⁰

Possession may be constructive instead of actual. As I just stated, a person who, with knowledge of its character, knowingly has direct physical control over a thing, at a given time, is in actual possession of it.

Constructive possession means possession in which the possessor does not physically have the item on his or her person but is aware that the item is present and is able to and has the intention to exercise control over it. So, someone who has knowledge of the character of an item and knowingly has both the power and the intention at a given time to exercise control over it, either directly or through another person or persons, is then in constructive possession of that item.

¹⁰ In State v. Spivey, 179 N.J. 229 (2004), the Supreme Court affirmed a conviction under N.J.S.A. 2C:39-4.1(a), possession of a firearm while committing certain drug offenses, and noted that the statute suggested a temporal and spatial link between possession of the firearm and the drugs. The Court ruled that the evidence “must permit the jury to infer that the firearm was accessible for use in the commission of the [drug] crime.” In the appropriate case, the possession charge may be supplemented by this language.

**CERTAIN PERSONS NOT TO
HAVE ANY WEAPONS**
N.J.S.A. 2C:39-7(a)

JOINT POSSESSION

Possession may be sole or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is sole. If two or more persons share actual or constructive knowing possession of an item, possession is joint.

**[Choose the appropriate next paragraph from the following three]
[If defendant does not stipulate to the predicate offense(s), use the following paragraph]**

The third element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant is a person who previously has been convicted of a certain enumerated crime. The statute specifically provides that “any person having been convicted in this State or elsewhere of the crime of [Select the enumerated crime listed in the indictment for the Certain Persons count and see footnote #4 herein] who possesses or controls any weapon readily capable of lethal use or of inflicting serious bodily injury (see footnote #6 herein) is guilty of a crime.” The term “convicted of the crime(s) of ...” means evidence of a judgment of conviction¹¹ entered by a court of competent jurisdiction in this State, New Jersey, or elsewhere.¹² **[Read if appropriate:** If defendant has been convicted in another state, territory, commonwealth or other jurisdiction of the United States, or any country in the world, in a court of competent jurisdiction, of a crime which in said other jurisdiction or country is comparable to the crime(s) of _____, then defendant is subject to the provisions of this statute.¹³] Here, the State has introduced evidence that the defendant was previously convicted of [specify unredacted nature of offense, degree, and date of conviction].

[or]

[Where defendant does stipulate to the predicate offense, use the following]

The third element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant is a person who previously has been convicted of a crime named in the statute or [of the predicate offense]. In this matter, the parties have stipulated, or agreed, that defendant has previously been

¹¹ In New Jersey Superior Court, this document is known as a Judgment of Conviction. However, in Municipal Courts throughout this state and in other jurisdictions, the name of the document may vary.

¹² When a defendant does not stipulate to a predicate offense under the certain persons statute, the State shall produce evidence of the predicate offense: the judgment of conviction with the unredacted nature of the offense, the degree of offense, and the date of conviction. See State v. Bailey, supra. The court should redact from the Judgment of Conviction any information not relevant to what the Bailey holding permits.

¹³ N.J.S.A. 2C:39-7(c).

**CERTAIN PERSONS NOT TO
HAVE ANY WEAPONS**
N.J.S.A. 2C:39-7(a)

convicted of such a crime [or a predicate offense]. You are instructed as follows with regard to the stipulation: that you should treat these facts as being undisputed, that is, the parties agree that these facts are true. As with all evidence, undisputed facts can be accepted or rejected by the jury in reaching a verdict.

[or]

The third element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant is a person who has ever been committed for a mental disorder to any hospital, mental institution or sanitarium unless defendant possesses a certificate of a medical doctor or psychiatrist licensed to practice in New Jersey or other satisfactory proof that he/she is no longer suffering from a mental disorder which interferes with or handicaps him/her in the handling of a firearm.

[Charge in all cases]

Normally evidence [of defendant's prior conviction(s)] or [of the predicate offense(s)] is not permitted under our rules of evidence. This is because our rules specifically exclude evidence that a defendant has committed prior crimes when it is offered only to show that he/she has a disposition or tendency to do wrong and therefore must be guilty of the present offense. However, our rules do permit evidence of prior crimes when the evidence is used for some other purpose.¹⁴

In this case, the evidence has been introduced for the specific purpose of establishing an element of the present offense.¹⁵ You may not use this evidence to decide that defendant has a tendency to commit crimes or that he/she is a bad person. That is, you may not decide that, just because the defendant has committed [a] prior crime[s], he/she must be guilty of the present crime[s]. The evidence produced by the State concerning [a] prior conviction[s] is to be considered in determining whether the State has established its burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt.¹⁶

[Charge if applicable (where the same jury has already convicted the defendant of

¹⁴ See State v. Marrero, 148 N.J. 469, 495-96 (1997); State v. Cusick, 219 N.J. Super. 452, 466-67 (App. Div. 1987) (cited in State v. Oliver, 133 N.J. 141, 158 (1993)).

¹⁵ If defendant testifies, this portion of the charge should be altered.

¹⁶ State v. Brown, 180 N.J. at 583 (absence of information about all of the elements of the crime might cause the jury to question the criminality of the defendant's conduct, and in turn influence the jury when it considers the possession element.)

**CERTAIN PERSONS NOT TO
HAVE ANY WEAPONS**
N.J.S.A. 2C:39-7(a)

another possessory weapons offense or offenses – a bifurcated trial situation):¹⁷

On the issue of possession, although you may consider evidence previously introduced, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant possessed the [firearm] [weapon] before you may find the defendant guilty on this charge. In deciding whether the State has carried its burden of proof, you must set aside your previous verdict on this question and begin your deliberations anew.¹⁸

[Charge in all cases]

In summary, the State must prove three elements beyond a reasonable doubt - first, that exhibit _____ is a weapon (or that there was a weapon); second, that defendant knowingly purchased, owned, possessed or controlled the weapon on _____ [the date alleged in the indictment]; and third, [**choose the appropriate phrase:**] that defendant is a person who previously has been convicted of [a certain enumerated crime named in the statute] **OR** [of the predicate offense].

[or]

that defendant is a person who previously has been committed for a mental disorder to any hospital, mental institution or sanitarium and does not possess a certificate of a medical doctor or psychiatrist licensed to practice in New Jersey or other satisfactory proof that he/she is no longer suffering from a mental disorder which interferes with or handicaps him/her in the handling of a firearm.

If you find that the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of these elements, then you must find the defendant guilty. On the other hand, if you find that the State has failed to prove any of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant not guilty.

¹⁷ The defendant may affirmatively request that this charge not be given. Alvarez, 318 N.J. Super. at 155.

¹⁸ Alvarez, 318 N.J. Super. at 154-55.