

2  
**FOX ROTHSCHILD, LLP**  
Midtown Building, 4<sup>th</sup> floor  
1301 Atlantic Avenue  
Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401  
Telephone: 609-572-2355  
Attorneys for Defendant, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.

**RECEIVED and  
FILED**

JAN 17 2012

**ATLANTIC COUNTY  
LAW DIVISION**

IN RE FOSAMAX

Applicable to all cases on the  
Attached Schedule A

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION: ATLANTIC COUNTY

CASE CODE: 282

CIVIL ACTION

**ORDER ADMITTING  
JAMES GRAY, ESQ.,  
PRO HAC VICE**

**WHEREAS**, Defendant Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., by and through its attorneys Fox Rothschild, LLP , upon notice to all interested parties, has moved before this Court for admission *pro hac vice* of James Gray, Esquire; and the Court having considered the papers submitted in support thereof; and the Court having found that James Gray, Esquire, is a member in good standing before the bar of the highest Court of the State where he is domiciled and principally practices law; and for other good cause shown,

**IT IS** on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2012, hereby **ORDERED** that the motion is granted, and James Gray, Esquire, is admitted to practice *pro hac vice* before this Court, pursuant to R. 1:21-2, for all purposes and in all proceedings in the same manner as an attorney who is admitted to practice in this State and is domiciled and maintains an office for the practice of law in the State of New Jersey, provided that each shall:

1. abide by the Rules of the Court for the State of New Jersey, including all disciplinary Rules;

2. consent to the appointment of the Clerk of the Supreme Court as an agent upon whom service of process may be made for all actions against him or his firm that may arise out of his participation in these cases;

3. notify the Court immediately of any matter affecting his standing at the bar of any Court; and

4. have all pleadings, briefs, and other papers filed in this Court signed by an attorney-at-law of this Court employed by the law firm of Fox Rothschild, LLP who shall be responsible for them, for the conduct of the cause of James Gray, Esquire.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that James Gray, Esquire, shall make payment of all fees due to the State of New Jersey within 30 days of the entry of the pro hac vice Order allowing for admission and as provided in the New Jersey Rules of Court, R. 1:20-1(b), 1:28-2, and 1:28B-1(e); and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall forward a copy of this Order to the Treasurer of the New Jersey Fund for Client Protection; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be served by the attorneys for Defendant upon Plaintiff within seven (7) days of their receipt thereof.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol E. Higbee, P.J. Cv.

## SCHEDULE A

Anderson, Bettye vs Merck	ATL-L-2455-10
Armbruster, Carol vs Merck	ATL-L-4189-10
Berkowsky, Reisha vs Merck	ATL-L-2234-10
Bertens, Jean vs Merck	ATL-L-3160-10
Buskirk, Bonnie vs Merck	ATL-L-3432-10
Caravello, Robin vs Merck	ATL-L-7035-10
Creeley, Shirley vs Merck	ATL-L-2495-11
Davidson, Mary vs Merck	ATL-L-2603-11
Feigenbaum, Sue vs Merck	ATL-L-2573-09
Fields, Agnes vs Merck	ATL-L-5109-11
Flores, Amelia vs Merck	ATL-L-0744-09
Funt, Mark vs. Merck	ATL-L-1251-10
Hartwig, Gail vs Merck	ATL-L-5186-11
Love, Jacquelyn vs Merck	ATL-L-3756-10
Maimer, Sheri vs Merck	ATL-L-4587-11
Moore, Joanne vs Merck	ATL-L-4532-11
Oakes, Kathleen vs Merck	ATL-L-6616-10
Palomba, Luigi vs Merck	ATL-L-2572-09
Reinhart, Patsy vs Merck	ATL-L-1161-10
Robinson, Matthew vs Merck	ATL-L-0961-10
Sessner, Jo Ann vs Merck	ATL-L-3394-11
Su, Christina vs Merck	ATL-L-0789-11
Unanski, Carol Anne	ATL-L-7286-10
Wolfe, Edna vs Merck	ATL-L-6775-10
Zgoda, Concetta vs Merck	ATL-L-3746-11