William J. Pinilis
PINILISHALPERN, LLP
160 Morris Street
Morristown, NJ 07960

Tel: (973) 401-1111 Fax: (973) 401-1114 Attorney for Plaintiffs

File No. 11482

RECEIVED and FILED

AUG 09 2013

ATLANTIC COUNTY
LAW DIVISION

IN RE	FOSAM	IXA	JTIGA	TION

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY ATLANTIC COUNTY: LAW DIVISION MASTER DOCKET NO: L-3632-08MT

CASE NO. 282

ORDER GRANTING PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF STEVE FARIES. ESQ.

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by William J. Pinilis, Esq., of the law firm of PinilisHalpern, LLP, on a Motion for an Order granting admission *pro hac vice* to Steve Faries, a member of Matthews & Associates LLP and a member of the Bar of the State of Texas, to act as counsel to Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit A, and the Court having read the moving papers and the opposition thereto, if any; and having considered the arguments of counsel; and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this _______, and _______, 2013
ORDERED as follows:

- 1. That pursuant to R. 1:21-2, Steve Faries, a member of the Bar of the State of Texas, be and hereby is admitted *pro hac vice* to appear on behalf of plaintiffs in all matters listed on Exhibit "A" and it is further
 - 2. That during the term of his admission pro hac vice, Steve Faries shall:
 - a). Abide by the Rules Governing the Courts of the State of New Jersey as adopted by the Supreme Court, including all disciplinary rules, R. 1:20-1 and R. 1:28-2;

- b). Consent to the appointment of the Clerk of the Supreme Court as an agent upon whom service of process may be made for all actions against him or his firm that may arise out of his participation in this matter;
- c). Notify this Court immediately of any matter affecting his standing at the bar of any other court; and
- d). Have all pleadings, briefs and other papers filed with the Court signed by an attorney of the firm PinilisHalpern, LLP, authorized to practice law in this State, who shall be held responsible for them and for the conduct of the cause and of the admitted attorney therein; and it is further
- 3. That Steve Faries shall not be designated trial counsel in these matters pursuant to R. 4:25-4, nor shall any delay in discovery, motions, trial or any other proceeding occur or be requested by reason of his inability to be in attendance at same; and it is further
- 4. That Steve Faries shall, within ten (10) days, submit an affidavit of compliance to substantiate that the fees required by R. 1:20-1 and R. 1:28-2 have been paid for the calendar year 2013; and it is further
- 5. That automatic termination of *pro hac vice* admission will occur for failure to make the required annual payment to the Ethics Financial Committee and the New Jersey Lawyers Fund for Client Protection. Proof of such payment, after filing proof of the initial payment, shall be made no later than February of each year during the term of Steve Faries' admission *pro hac vice*; and it is further
- 6. That noncompliance with any of the requirements of this Order shall constitute grounds for revocation of admission; and it is further
- 7. That counsel for plaintiffs shall serve a copy of this Order on all counsel of record within seven (7) days of the entry hereof; and it is further

8	8.	That a copy of this Order shall be sent to the New Jersey Lawyers Fund for Client
Protection.		
		The Honorable Carol E. Highee, P.J.Ch.
Opposed		
Unopposed		

EXHIBIT A

Ayala v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7110-12
Bell v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2370-12
Bloom v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6843-12
Boone v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2426-12
Bowlin v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6663-12
Burnett v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6736-12
Cogar v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7094-12
Connerton v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6735-12
Courter v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7090-12
Duaime v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7100-12
Edgar v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2407-12
Elliot v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6738-12
Enloe v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2371-12
Faver v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7107-12
Garafalo v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2367-12
Gonzalez v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2381-12
Guarino v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2427-12
Haker v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2408-12
Hall v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7089-12
Hotzel v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2422-12
Jones v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6847-12
Katz v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2409-12
Kemp v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6848-12
Knitter v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2412-12
Kogan v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2425-12
Korby v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6734-12
Lewicki v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7095-12
Lord v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6662-12
Loyd v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6665-12
Lytle v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2405-12
McCary v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2421-12
McGuire v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2403-12
Meyer v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2430-12
Miraldi v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7087-12
Mize v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2431-12
Montalbano v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7088-12



Parker v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2406-12
Pasquarella v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2410-12
Patton v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6849-12
Pyles v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2384-12
Reagan v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2424-12
Reed v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6388-12
Ricci v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2378-12
Richardson v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2420-12
Robinson v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7093-12
Schwartz v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7098-12
Smelter v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7091-12
Smith v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6850-12
Strayhorn v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2404-12
Thrasher v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2368-12
Times-Sutton v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7086-12
Titsch v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-7092-12
Wadell v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2428-12
White v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2411-12
Williams v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2385-12
Wilson v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6851-12
Wilson v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6664-12
Woroch v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6845-12
Yi v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2369-12
Young v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-2373-12
Young v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	L-6739-12

, ,