

**FILED**

OCT 04 2018

**JOHN C. PORTO, J.S.C.**

**PREPARED BY THE COURT**

IN RE PHYSIOMESH LITIGATION  
(Flexible Composite Mesh)

: SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
: LAW DIVISION: ATLANTIC COUNTY  
: CASE NO: 627  
: Civil Action  
: MASTER CASE NO. ATL-L-2122-18  
:  
: **ORDER GRANTING ROBIN SHAH,  
ESQUIRE, ADMISSION  
PRO HAC VICE**

**THIS MATTER** having been brought before the Court by McCarter & English, LLP, attorneys for Defendants, Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc., for an Order allowing Robin Shah, Esquire to appear and participate *pro hac vice*, and the Court having considered the application, and any opposition thereto, and with good cause appearing:

**IT IS**, on this 4<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2018;

**ORDERED** that Robin Shah, Esquire shall be admitted *pro hac vice* in the above-captioned matter; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that Robin Shah, Esquire shall abide by the New Jersey Rules of Civil Procedure, including all disciplinary rules issued by the Supreme Court of New Jersey; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that by reason of her admission, Robin Shah, Esquire consents to the appointment of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of New Jersey as her agent upon whom service of process may be made of all actions which may hereinafter be brought against her or her firm arising from their participation in this matter; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that Robin Shah, Esquire shall notify this Court immediately if any matter arises which affects her standing before the Bar of the State of New York and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that all pleadings, briefs and other papers filed on behalf of Defendants, Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc., shall also be signed by a member of the firm of McCarter & English, LLP, and that firm shall be responsible for such papers and the conduct of this case and the attorney admitted herein; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that Robin Shah, Esquire pay the required annual fees to the New Jersey Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection in accordance with New Jersey Rule 1:28-2, the Oversight Committee in accordance with Rule 1:20-1(b), and the Lawyers Assistance Program in accordance with Rule 1:28B-1(e) within ten (10) days of this Order and shall submit an affidavit of compliance; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that no delay or adjournment in discovery, motions, trial or any other proceeding shall be requested by reason of Robin Shah, Esquire's inability to appear; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that automatic termination of *pro hac vice* admission shall occur for failure to make the required annual payment of the Annual Fee and the annual payment to the Lawyer's Assistance Fund and the New Jersey Lawyer's Fund for Client Protection. Proof of such payment, after filing proof of the initial payment, shall be made no later than February 1st of each year; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that non-compliance with any of these requirements shall constitute grounds for removal; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that a signed copy of this Order be served upon all counsel within seven (7) days of the date hereof.




---

HON. JOHN C. PORTO, J.S.C.

[ ] Opposed

[  ] Unopposed



**NOT FOR PUBLICATION WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE COMMITTEE ON  
OPINIONS**

John C. Porto, J.S.C.

1201 Bacharach Boulevard  
Atlantic City, NJ 08401-4527  
609-402-0100 ext. 47820

**SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION  
ATLANTIC COUNTY**

**MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION**

**TO:** David R. Knott, Esquire  
McCarter & English, LLP  
Four Gateway Center  
100 Mulberry Street  
P.O. Box 652  
Newark, NJ 07101-0652  
*Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.*

William M. Gage, Esquire  
Butler Snow, LLP  
Renaissance at Colony Park, Suite 1400  
1020 Highland Colony Parkway  
P.O. Box 6010  
Ridgeland, MS 39151-6010  
*Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.*

G. Brian Jackson, Esquire  
Butler Snow, LLP  
The Pinnacle at Symphony Place  
150 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue South, Suite 1600  
Nashville, TN 37201  
*Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.*

Joshua S. Kincannon, Esquire  
Lomurro, Munson, Comer, Brown & Schottland, LLC  
4 Paragon Way, Suite 100  
Freehold, NJ 07728  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Michael G. Daly, Esquire  
Pogust Braslow & Millrood, LLC  
Eight Tower Bridge, Suite 940  
161 Washington Street  
Conshohocken, PA 19428  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Tobias L. Millrood, Esquire  
Pogust Braslow & Millrood, LLC  
Eight Tower Bridge, Suite 940  
161 Washington Street  
Conshohocken, PA 19428  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Michael A. London, Esquire  
Douglas & London, P.C.  
59 Maiden Lane, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10038  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire  
Butler Snow, LLP  
The Pinnacle at Symphony Place  
150 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue South, Suite 1600  
Nashville, TN 37201  
*Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson &  
Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.*

Richard T. Bernardo, Esquire  
Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom, LLP  
4 Times Square  
New York, NY 10036  
*Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson &  
Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.*

Robin Shah, Esquire  
Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom, LLP  
4 Times Square  
New York, NY 10036  
*Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson &  
Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.*

Jillian A. S. Roman, Esquire  
Cohen, Placitella & Roth  
127 Maple Avenue  
Red Bank, NJ 07701  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Marc Grossman, Esquire  
Sanders Phillips Grossman, LLC  
100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500  
Garden City, NY 11530  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Randi Kassan, Esquire  
Sanders Phillips Grossman, LLC  
100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500  
Garden City, NY 11530  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

James A. Barry, Esquire  
Locks Law Firm  
801 North Kings Highway  
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Michael A. Galpern, Esquire  
Locks Law Firm  
801 North Kings Highway  
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Adam M. Slater, Esquire  
Mazie Slater Katz & Freeman, LLC  
103 Eisenhower Parkway  
Roseland, NJ 07068  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Edward Ruffo, Esquire  
Rheingold Giuffra Ruffo & Plotkin, LLP  
551 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10176  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Peter Samberg, Esquire  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
220 Lake Drive East, Suite 210  
Cherry Hill, NJ 08802  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Martin P. Schrama, Esquire  
Stark & Stark, P.C.  
993 Lenox Drive  
Lawrenceville, NJ 08646  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Stefanie Colella-Walsh, Esquire  
Stark & Stark, P.C.  
993 Lenox Drive  
Lawrenceville, NJ 08646  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Sindhu S. Daniel, Esquire  
Baron & Budd, P.C.  
3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 1100  
Dallas, TX 75219  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Karen Beyea-Schroeder, Esquire  
Burnett Law Firm  
3737 Buffalo Speedway, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Houston, TX 77089  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

**RE:** In Re Physiomesh Litigation (Flexible  
Composite Mesh)

**DOCKET NO.** ATL-L-2122-18

---

**NATURE OF MOTION: Motion to Admit Robin Shah, Esquire *Pro Hac Vice* on behalf of Defendants.**

**HAVING CAREFULLY REVIEWED THE MOVING PAPERS AND ANY RESPONSE FILED, I HAVE RULED ON THE ABOVE CAPTIONED MOTION(S) AS FOLLOWS:**

Defendants, represented by David R. Knott, Esquire, brings this motion seeking an order to admit Robin Shah, Esquire *pro hac vice*. Mr. Knott is licensed to practice law within the State of New Jersey in accordance with Rule 1:21-1 and is a member of the law firm of McCarter & English, LLP. Robin Shah, Esquire is currently a member in good standing of the bar in the highest court for the State of New York and United States District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. Ms. Shah is counsel to the law firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP. Ms. Shah has been a licensed attorney since 2011 and has developed expertise in the claims made against the Defendants. Moreover, Ms. Shah's representation was requested by Defendants. This motion is unopposed and is governed by R. 1:21-2, *Appearances Pro Hac Vice*.

R. 1:21-2 states in pertinent part that an attorney in good standing of another jurisdiction, whether practicing law in such other jurisdiction as an individual or a member or employee of a partnership or an employee of a professional corporation or limited liability entity authorized to practice law in such other jurisdiction, or an attorney admitted in this state, of good standing, who


does not maintain a *bona fide* office in this state, may, at the discretion of the court in which any matter is pending, be permitted, *pro hac vice*, to speak in such matter in the same manner as an attorney of this state who maintains a *bona fide* office for the practice of law in this state and who is therefore, pursuant to R. 1:21-1(a), authorized to practice in this state. No attorney shall be admitted under this rule without annually complying with R. 1:20-1(b) and R. 1:28-2 during the period of admission. Additionally, a party must demonstrate good cause for admission *pro hac vice*. R. 1:21-2(b)(3) provides:

In civil actions the motion shall be granted only if the court finds, from the supporting affidavit, that there is good cause for such admission, which shall include at least one of the following: (emphasis added)

- (A) the cause in which the attorney seeks admission involves a complex field of law in which the attorney is a specialist, or
- (B) there has been an attorney-client relationship with the client for an extended period of time, or
- (C) there is a lack of local counsel with adequate expertise in the field involved, or
- (D) the cause presents questions of law involving the law of the foreign jurisdiction in which the applicant is licensed, or
- (E) there is need for extensive discovery or other proceedings in the foreign jurisdiction in which the applicant is licensed, or
- (F) such other reason similar to those set forth in this subsection as would present good cause for the *pro hac vice* admission.

This Court finds, based on the certifications submitted in support of the application that the moving party articulated that Defendants requested the representation of Ms. Shah in this litigation. The Court finds the two certifications of counsel address a necessary element required under R. 1:21-1(a) and R. 1:21-2 for the Court to make the findings necessary at this time for *pro hac vice*. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Defendant's Motion.

An appropriate Order consistent with R. 1:21-2(c) is entered. Conformed copies will accompany this Memorandum of Decision. A copy of the Order and this Memorandum of Decision shall be served by the movant on all counsel of record within seven (7) days of the date hereof.



\_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN C. PORTO, J.S.C.

Date: 10/4/18