

Ellen Relkin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
A New York Professional Corporation
220 Lake Drive East, Suite 210
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
(856) 755-1115
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

#0495
~~#0457~~
~~12-16-16~~
1/6/17

JAN 06 2017

JUDGE JESSICA R. MAYER

Jeffery Sumida,

Plaintiff

v.

WYETH LLC, WYETH INC., WYETH
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., INDIVIDUALLY
AND D/B/A ESI LEDERLE, INC., WYETH
HOLDINGS CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY
AND d/b/a LEDERLE, JOHN DOE DRUG
COMPANY DEFENDANTS, JOHN DOE
DRUG DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS

Defendants

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX
COUNTY

IN RE: REGLAN LITIGATION
Case No. 289

DOCKET NO. MID-L-10116-14

**ORDER TO AMEND COMPLAINT TO
SUBSTITUTE JOHN DOE
DEFENDANTS TO DEFENDANTS
PLIVA, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND
F/K/A SIDMAK LABORATORIES,
INC.**


THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court upon motion by plaintiffs, on a motion pursuant to R. 4:9-1 for an Order granting plaintiff leave to amend his Complaint to include the following defendant: PLIVA, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND F/K/A SIDMAK LABORATORIES, INC. in substitution for John Doe Defendants; and the Court having read the moving papers and the opposition, if any, thereto; and ~~having considered the arguments of counsel;~~ and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 6th day of January, 2017.

ORDERED that the caption of the above Complaint be amended to "Jeffery Sumida v. WYETH LLC, WYETH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a ESI LEDERLE, INC., WYETH, INC., WYETH HOLDINGS CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a LEDERLE AND PLIVA, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND F/K/A SIDMAK LABORATORIES,

INC.”; and it is further ordered that ~~counsel for plaintiffs shall serve~~ a copy of this Order ^{be posted online} ~~on~~
^{for all counsel} ~~counsel for defendant~~ within seven (7) days of the date of this Order.

UNOPPOSED



HON. JESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C

Motion _____ Opposed

Unopposed - counsel for PLIVA having submitted a letter stating no "opposition" to the motion but not waiving any defenses that may be applicable to the amended pleading.

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to B. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."