

#0118  
01-06-17

Ellen Relkin  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG**  
*A New York Professional Corporation*  
220 Lake Drive East, Suite 210  
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002  
(856) 755-1115  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

JAN 06 2017  
JUDGE JESSICA R. MAYER

Deloris Mayer and Dennis Mayer,

Plaintiffs

v.

WYETH LLC, WYETH INC., WYETH  
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., INDIVIDUALLY  
AND D/B/A ESI LEDERLE, INC., WYETH  
HOLDINGS CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND d/b/a LEDERLE, JOHN DOE DRUG  
COMPANY DEFENDANTS, JOHN DOE  
DRUG DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS

Defendants

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX  
COUNTY

IN RE: REGLAN LITIGATION  
Case No. 289

DOCKET NO. MID-L-10346-14

**ORDER TO AMEND COMPLAINT TO  
SUBSTITUTE JOHN DOE  
DEFENDANTS TO DEFENDANTS  
PLIVA, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND  
F/K/A SIDMAK LABORATORIES,  
INC.**


**THIS MATTER** having been brought before the Court upon motion by plaintiffs, on a motion pursuant to R. 4:9-1 for an Order granting plaintiff leave to amend her Complaint to include the following defendants: Pliva, Inc., Individually and F/K/A Sidmak Laboratories, Inc. in substitution for John Doe Defendants; and the Court having read the moving papers ~~and the opposition, if any, thereto, and having considered the arguments of counsel;~~ and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 6<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2017.

**ORDERED** that the caption of the above Complaint be amended to "Deloris Mayer and Dennis Mayer v. WYETH LLC, WYETH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a ESI LEDERLE, INC., WYETH, INC., WYETH HOLDINGS CORPORATION,

INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a LEDERLE AND PLIVA, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND F/K/A SIDMAK LABORATORIES, INC.”; and it is further ordered that ~~counsel for plaintiffs shall~~ <sup>be posted online for all counsel</sup> ~~serve~~ a copy of this Order on ~~counsel for defendant~~ within seven (7) days of the date of this Order.

**UNOPPOSED**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. JESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C

Motion  Opposed

Unopposed

- counsel for PLIVA having submitted a letter stating no "opposition" to the motion but not ~~waiving~~ waiving any defenses that may be applicable to the amended pleading.

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."