

#0226
1-7-11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG
A New York Professional Corporation
210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
Tel. (856) 755-1115

BAILEY PERRIN BAILEY
440 Louisiana St., Suite 2100
Houston, Texas 77002
Tel. (713) 425-7100

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FILED

JAN 07 2011

JUDGE JESSICA R. MAYER

SENORA COLLINS, <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p>	: SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY : LAW DIVISION : MIDDLESEX COUNTY : :
vs.	: :
JOHNSON & JOHNSON COMPANY; JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA PRODUCTS, L.P. a/k/a JANSSEN, L.P., a/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, L.P., a/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, INC.; ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. f/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA; JOHN DOE Nos. 1 through 20; and JANE DOE Nos. 1 through 20, <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	: CIVIL ACTION : : CASE CODE 274 : (Risperdal/Seroquel/Zyprexa Litigation) : : DOCKET NO. MID-L-7000-06 (MT) : : ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO : AMEND COMPLAINT AND : DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL : : : :

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by Leslie LaMacchia, counsel for plaintiff, on a Motion pursuant to R. 4:9-1 for an Order granting leave to amend plaintiff's Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial to add the proper party to the litigation; and the Court having read the moving papers and ~~the opposition, if any, thereto~~; and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 7 day of January, 2010

ORDERED that plaintiff be and hereby is granted leave to file an Amended Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial in the form submitted to the Court on this Motion; and it is further

ORDERED that plaintiff's Amended Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court, Law Division, Middlesex County, within ^{and served on parties} ~~10~~ 15 days of the date of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED that ~~counsel for plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Order on counsel for defendant.~~ a copy of this Order shall be posted within 7 days of the date hereof.



Hon. Jessica R. Mayer, J.S.C.

Motion _____ Opposed
 X Unopposed

UNOPPOSED

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."