

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP
Four Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
P.O. Box 652
Newark, New Jersey 07101-0652
(973) 622-4444

Attorneys for Defendants

*AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP,
AstraZeneca LP, Astra USA Inc., KBI Sub
Inc. and Zeneca, Inc.*

FILED

JUN 13 2008

Judge Jamie D. Haggas

VIRGIAL HILL,	:	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
	:	LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	DOCKET NO. MID-L-10269-06-MT
vs.	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP;	:	
ASTRAZENECA LP; ASTRA USA, INC.; KBI	:	In Re Risperdal/Seroquel/Zyprexa Litigation
SUB, INC.; ZENECA, INC.; ASTRA USA	:	Case No. 274
HOLDINGS CORPORATION;	:	
ASTRAZENECA, AB; ASTRAZENECA, PLC;	:	ORDER COMPELLING AND SETTING A
and ASTRAZENECA, UK LIMITED; JOHN	:	DATE CERTAIN FOR PLAINTIFFS'
DOE(S) 1 through 20; an JANE DOE(S) 1	:	DEPOSITION
through 20,	:	
Defendants.	:	

This matter having been opened to the Court by McCarter & English, LLP, attorneys for defendants AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, AstraZeneca LP, Astra USA Inc., KBI Sub Inc., and Zeneca, Inc. (hereafter "AstraZeneca"), for an Order compelling plaintiff Virgial Hill to submit to his deposition on a date certain; the court having received and reviewed the moving papers and any opposition thereto; and for good cause shown;


IT IS on this 13th day of June, 2008,

ORDERED that defendant AstraZeneca's motion be and hereby is granted; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff Virgial Hill shall submit to his deposition on within 30 days of this order ~~on _____~~ at ~~10:00~~ a.m., at the offices of McCarter & English, LLP, Four Gateway Center, 100 Mulberry Street, Newark, New Jersey; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon failure of plaintiff Virgial Hill to comply with this Order, plaintiff's Amended Complaint shall be dismissed with prejudice upon submission of a motion indicating such noncompliance; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of the within Order shall be served upon all parties within seven (7) days of receipt.



J.S.C.

____ Opposed

Unopposed

Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R.1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.