	. •	Judge Phili	p Pale	y, J.S.	.C.		
Master Motion List							
.,	Mot	ions Returi	1able (06/21	/2019)		
ASBESTOS MOTIONS							
Docket	Case Name	Motion Type	Motion No.	Opp Rec'd	Movant's Attny	Plaintiff's Attny	Disposition
L-549-17	DOUCHERTY V. J.H. FRANCE	S/J	128		Montgomery Chapin	Cohen Placitella	GRANTED
L-1484-18	MAS V. FISHER CONTROLS	PHV PATRICK L. WELLS	161		McElroy Deutsch	Weitz & Luxenberg	GRANTED
L-5427-17	RIZZO V. DAP	S/J	126		McGivney Kluger	Cohen Placitella	GRANTED
L-5427-17	RIZZO V, GENERAL ELECTRIC	S/J	113		Speziali Greenwald	Cohen Placitella	GRANTED
L-6670-16	RUSSO V. PORT AUTHORITY OF NY AND NJ	RECONSIDER ATION	609	YES	Segal McCambridge	Maune	ADJ 7/1/19

John S. Fetten, Esq. (003911984)
MONTGOMERY, CHAPIN & FETTEN, P.C.
745 Route 202/206
Suite 101
Bridgewater, New Jersey 08807
(908) 203-8833
Attorneys for defendant, J.H. France Refractories Co.
Our File No. JF 20,584 MID-4

6-21-19

FILED

JUN 21 2019

Hon. Phillip Lewis Paley

CATHERINE DOCHERTY, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of her Husband THOMAS DOCHERTY,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY DOCKET NO. MID-L-549-17AS

Plaintiff,

VS.

Civil Action

3M COMPANY, INC., ET AL.

ORDER

Defendant(s).

the date hereof.

This matter having been brought before the Court by the law firm of Montgomery, Chapin & Fetten, PC on behalf of the defendant moving party J.H.France Refractories Co. and the Court having reviewed the moving papers and for good cause having been shown,

It is on this $2^{15^{t}}$ Day of $\sqrt{1000}$, 2019

ORDERED that J.H.France Refractories Co. be and the same is hereby granted summary judgment dismissing plaintiff's complaint together with any and all cross-claims with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that a copy of this order shall be served upon all counsel with seven(7) days from

HON. PHILLIP LEWIS PALEY, J.S.C.

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R.1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers." Nancy McDonald, Esq. NJ Bar ID: 034631988

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP

1300 Mt. Kemble Avenue

P.O. Box 2075

Morristown, New Jersey 07962-2075

(973) 993-8100

Attorneys for Defendant Fisher Controls International LLC, improperly sued as Fisher Control Valves

ROBERT MAS AND CONNIE ANNE MAS, H/W,

Plaintiffs,

17

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

JUN 21 2019

Hon. Phillip Lewis Paley

DOCKET NO.: MID-L-1484-18 AS

Civil Action

Asbestos Litigation

ORDER

THIS MATTER having been opened to the Court by McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP, attorneys for Defendant Fisher Controls International LLC, improperly sued as Fisher Control Valves (hereinafter "Defendant") upon application for an Order pursuant to Rule 1:21-1 granting *pro hac vice* admission of Patrick Wells, Esq., and the Court having considered the submission of the *pro hac vice* application, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 25t day of June, 2019;

ORDERED that the application of Patrick Wells, Esq. for admission *pro hac vice* for the limited purpose of participating in the representation of Defendant in the within matter due to the complex area of the law and the long standing attorney client relationship, be hereby granted and

that the aforesaid shall abide by the New Jersey Court Rules including all disciplinary rules, Rule 1:20-1 and Rule 1:28-2; and Rule 1:28B-1.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Patrick Wells, Esq. shall not be designated as trial counsel;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Patrick Wells, Esq. shall notify the Court immediately of any matter affecting his standing at the bar of any other Court where he is admitted to practice; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Patrick Wells, Esq. shall have all pleadings, briefs and other papers filed with the Court signed by an attorney of record authorized to practice in this State who shall be held responsible for them, the conduct of the cause and the admitted attorneys herein; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Patrick Wells, Esq. shall consent to the appointment of the Clerk of the New Jersey Supreme Court as agent upon whom service of process may be made for all actions against him or his firm that may arise out of his participation in this matter; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no delay in discovery, motions, trial or any other proceeding shall occur or be requested by reason of the inability of Patrick Wells, Esq. to be in attendance; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Patrick Wells, Esq. must, within ten days, pay the fees required by Rule 1:20-1(b), Rule 1:28B-1(e) and Rule 1:28-2 and submit affidavits of compliance; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that automatic termination of *pro hac vice* admission will occur for failure to make the required annual payment to the Ethics Financial Committee and the

New Jersey Lawyer's Fund for Client Protection. Proof of payment, after filing proof of initial payment, shall be made no later than February 1 of each year; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that noncompliance with any of these requirements shall constitute grounds for termination; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be served upon on all parties within seven (7) days.

(√) Unopposed

() Opposed

FILED

JUN 21 2019

Hon. Phillip Lewis Paley

129-14

604-1130

McGivney, Kluger & Cook, P.C. Jonathan C. Lee, Esq. NJ ID #244342018

18 Columbia Turnpike, 3rd Floor Florham Park, New Jersey 07932

Phone: (973) 822-1110

Attorneys for Defendant, DAP, Inc. k/n/a La Mirada Products Co., Inc.

SAMUEL RIZZO and GAIL RIZZO, Husband and Wife

Plaintiff(s),

v.

3M COMPANY, INC., et al.

DOCKET NO. MID- L-5427-17AS

LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

Civil Action Asbestos Litigation

ORDER

Defendants.

THIS MATTER having been opened to the court on motion by McGivney, Kluger & Cook, P.C., attorneys for defendant DAP, Inc. k/n/a La Mirada Products Co., Inc. for an order granting summary judgment and a dismissal of all claims and crossclaims with prejudice, and the court having reviewed the moving papers, and any opposition thereto, and for good cause having been shown:

IT IS on this 21st day of Jone , 2019:

ORDERED that summary judgment shall be and hereby is granted in favor of defendant DAP, Inc. k/n/a La Mirada Products Co., Inc.; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that all claims and crossclaims against defendant DAP, Inc. k/n/a La Mirada Products Co., Inc. shall be and hereby are dismissed with prejudice; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order shall be served upon all attorneys of record

within seven (7) days of receipt by counsel for the moving part

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R.1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."

6-27-19

SPEZIALI, GREENWALD & HAWKINS, PC FILED 1081 Winslow Road P.O. BOX 1086 JUN 21 2019 WILLIAMSTOWN, NJ 08094 (856) 728-3600 Hon. Phillip Lewis Paley Attorneys for Defendant, General Electric Company Joanne Hawkins, Esq. Attorney ID#004301993 David A. Speziali, Esq. Attorney ID#019201980 SAMUEL RIZZO AND GAIL RIZZO, SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY DOCKET NO. MID-L-5427-17AS Plaintiff, VS Civil Action 3M COMPANY, INC., et al ORDER GRANTING GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR Defendant, SUMMARY JUDGMENT, DISMISSING THE COMPLAINT AND CROSSCLAIMS THIS MATTER, having been opened to the Court by Joanne Hawkins of Speziali, Greenwald & Hawkins, PC as attorney for defendant, General Electric Company, and the court having reviewed the moving and opposition papers, if any, and for good cause shown; IT IS on this 21st day of June 2019, **ORDERED** that the motion of Defendant General Electric Company for summary judgment is hereby granted and the Complaint and any Counterclaims and Crossclaims are hereby dismissed with prejudice; **ORDERED** that a copy of this Order shall be serviced on all counsel within seven (7) days of the date hereof. HON. PHILLIP LEWIS PALEY. J.S.C.

Opposed

Unopposed

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R.1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."