

# 0428  
-6/28

**WILLIAMS CUKER BEREZOFSKY**

Woodland Falls Corporate Center  
210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101  
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002  
(856) 667-0500

**FILED**

**JUL 31 2009**

Judge Jamie D. Happs

**WATTS GUERRA CRAFT LLP**

555 North Carancahua  
Tower II, 14th Floor  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78478  
(361) 887-0500

*Attorneys for Plaintiff.*

---

LORI STRACALUZI,

Plaintiff,

v.

ORTHO-McNEIL PHARMACEUTICAL,  
INC.; JOHNSON & JOHNSON; JOHNSON &  
JOHNSON PHARMACEUTICAL  
RESEARCH and DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C.  
f/k/a R.W. JOHNSON PHARMACEUTICAL  
RESEARCH INSTITUTE, JANE DOE  
DISTRIBUTORS (1-50); JILL DOE  
MANUFACTURERS (1-50); JACK DOE  
WHOLESALERS (1-50); JAKE DOE  
SELLERS (1-50); JOHN DOE MARKETERS  
(1-50); JOAN DOE FORMULATORS (1-50);  
JIM DOE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS (1-  
50); and JEAN DOE (1-50),

Defendants.

---

**SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION  
MIDDLESEX COUNTY**

Docket No. MID-L-10962-08 MT

CIVIL ACTION

**IN RE ORTHO EVRA BIRTH CONTROL  
PATCH LITIGATION**

**CASE CODE: 275**

**ORDER**

**THIS MATTER** having been opened to the Court by application of Esther Berezofsky,  
Esquire, attorney for Plaintiff, for an Order granting leave for Williams Cuker Berezofsky and

Watts Guerra Craft LLP to withdraw as counsel for the plaintiff, and the Court having considered the moving papers, and any opposition thereto, and for good cause having been shown,

IT IS, on this 31st day of July, 2009,

**ORDERED** that the motion for leave for Williams Cuker Berezofsky and Watts Guerra Craft LLP to withdraw as counsel for the plaintiff in the within action be and is hereby **GRANTED**; and

~~IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all proceedings including discovery, in this matter shall be stayed pending substitution of counsel, but in no event more than \_\_\_\_\_ days of the date of this Order; and~~

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of the within Order shall be served on all counsel and plaintiff within 7 days of the date of this Order.

*Jamie D. Haynes*

\_\_\_\_\_  
J.S.C.

Papers Considered:

- Notice of Motion
- Movant's Affidavits
- Movant's Brief
- Answering Affidavits
- Answering Brief
- Cross-Motion
- Movant's Reply
- Other

Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R.1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.