

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

It is ORDERED that, effective March 1, 2018 and until further order, the following Superior Court judges are designated as “**Wiretap Judges**” to receive applications and issue orders authorizing interceptions of wire, electronic, or oral communications pursuant to the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Act, *N.J.S.A. 2A:156A-1 et seq.* (hereinafter cited as “the Act”); and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the designated Wiretap Judges are assigned to supervise the Informal Communications Data Warrant (“CDW”) Vicinages as indicated:

<u>Designated Wiretap Judges</u>	<u>Supervisory Vicinages</u>
Judge Bernard E. DeLury, Jr. Judge Patricia M. Wild	Atlantic and Cape May Counties
Judge Bonnie Mizdol Judge Margaret M. Foti Judge James J. Guida Judge Edward A. Jerejian Judge Robert M. Vinci	Bergen County
Judge Ronald E. Bookbinder	Burlington County
Judge Edward J. McBride, Jr. Judge Michele M. Fox	Camden County
Judge Benjamin C. Telsey Judge Linda L. Lawhun	Cumberland, Gloucester, Salem Counties
Judge Ronald D. Wigler Judge Martin G. Cronin	Essex County
Judge Sheila A. Venable Judge Mark J. Nelson Judge John A. Young, Jr.	Hudson County
Judge Mary C. Jacobson Judge Peter E. Warshaw Judge Timothy P. Lydon	Mercer County
Judge Alberto Rivas	Middlesex County
Judge Lisa P. Thornton Judge David F. Bauman	Monmouth County
Judge Stuart A. Minkowitz Judge Stephen J. Taylor	Morris, Sussex Counties

Designated Wiretap Judges

Supervisory Vicinages

Judge Wendel E. Daniels

Ocean County

Judge Marilyn C. Clark
Judge Miguel A. de la Carrera

Passaic County

Judge Yolanda Ciccone

Somerset, Hunterdon, Warren Counties

Judge Regina Caufield

Union County

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the judges so designated by this Order as Wiretap Judges shall each have statewide jurisdiction for purposes of the Act; thus any applications made under the Act may be made to any such designated judge at the election of the applicant; and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, in the absence or unavailability of the designated judge who authorized a particular interception, the applicant may make any further applications to or take any further action appropriate under the Act before any other judge designated by the Order; provided, however, that orders to seal tapes, wires, and all other recordings may be entered by (1) any other designated Wiretap Judge, or (2) the designated Communications Data Warrant Judge in the county or vicinage in which the investigation occurred, or (3) the Assignment Judge or Acting Assignment Judge for the county or vicinage in which the judge who authorized the interception sits; and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall supersede any and all prior orders, including the consolidated Wiretap Judge order dated July 28, 2015 and all intervening orders designating judges to receive applications for, and to enter, orders authorizing interceptions of wire, electronic, or oral communications under the Act, and any and all prior orders designating judges authorized to seal tapes, wires and other recordings.



Chief Justice

Dated: February 26, 2018