

VOTES CAST FOR SEVEN PUBLIC QUESTIONS

Public Question Number 1

WASTEWATER TREATMENT BOND FUND ISSUE

Should the "Wastewater Treatment Bond Act of 1985", which authorizes the State to issue bonds in the amount of \$190,000,000.00 for the purpose of providing local government units with loans, grants, and other forms of financial aid for the construction of wastewater treatment systems, providing the ways and means to pay the interest on these bonds, and also to pay and discharge the principal thereof, be approved?

INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT

Approval of this act would authorize the sale of \$190,000,000.00 in State bonds to provide financial assistance to local government units for the construction of wastewater treatment systems. Of the total amount of bond monies, \$150,000,000.00 would be used to establish a grant and revolving loan program administered by the Department of Environmental Protection. The remaining \$40,000,000.00 would be deposited in the "New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust," an authority established pursuant to law. The "New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust" would be authorized to use these bond monies to secure local debt and to secure revenue bonds or other debt issued by the trust, the proceeds of which will be used to make loans to local government units for the construction of wastewater treatment systems. If the "New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust" is not established, the entire amount of this bond issue would be used to establish the grant and revolving loan program administered by the Department of Environmental Protection.

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic	30,375	11,195
Bergen	136,981	62,329
Burlington	49,873	20,360
Camden	64,509	27,026
Cape May	18,008	6,935
Cumberland	13,285	8,990
Essex	88,481	25,530
Gloucester	34,266	18,101
Hudson	58,751	24,109
Hunterdon	13,261	6,501
Mercer	48,232	18,381
Middlesex	88,405	36,454
Monmouth	89,892	30,912
Morris	67,500	24,182
Ocean	60,850	28,232
Passaic	39,701	17,887
Salem	9,103	7,204
Somerset	33,903	12,403
Sussex	16,885	9,589
Union	72,114	26,713
Warren	11,350	6,542
TOTAL	1,045,725	429,575

1985 PUBLIC QUESTIONS

-- continued --

Public Question Number 2

**RESOURCE RECOVERY AND SOLID WASTE
DISPOSAL FACILITY FUND BOND ISSUE**

Should the "Resource Recovery and Solid Waste Disposal Facility Bond Act of 1985," which authorizes the State to issue bonds in the amount of \$85,000,000.00 for the purpose of making State loans to local governments units for the construction of resource recovery facilities and environmentally sound sanitary landfill facilities, providing the ways and means to pay the interest on these bonds, and also to pay and discharge the principal thereof, be approved?

INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT

Approval of this act would authorize the sale of \$85,000,000.00 in State bonds, which would be used to establish revolving fund to make low cost loans to local governments for the construction of resource recovery facilities and environmentally sound sanitary landfill facilities. Construction of these facilities would encourage and facilitate the environmentally safe disposal of solid waste.

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic	30,256	11,006
Bergen	138,610	57,788
Burlington ..	50,085	19,459
Camden	65,261	25,960
Cape May	17,745	6,782
Cumberland ..	13,551	8,623
Essex	91,497	26,510
Gloucester ..	34,504	17,366
Hudson	57,502	23,380
Hunterdon ...	13,806	5,944
Mercer	48,677	17,868
Middlesex ...	89,309	35,778
Monmouth	90,082	29,208
Morris	69,749	21,779
Ocean	64,831	26,831
Passaic	38,876	19,227
Salem	9,661	6,386
Somerset	35,105	11,394
Sussex	19,015	7,539
Union	75,758	26,514
Warren	12,425	5,535
TOTAL	1,066,305	410,877

Public Question Number 3

PINELANDS PROTECTION BOND ACT

Shall the act entitled the "Pinelands Infrastructure Trust Bond Act of 1985," which authorizes the State to issue bonds in the amount of \$30,000,000.00 for the purpose of providing grants and loans to local units in the pinelands area for infrastructure capital projects necessary to accommodate development in the regional growth area in a manner prescribed by law, and which provides ways and means to pay the interest on the debt by the sale of the bonds, be approved?

INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT

Approval of this act will provide \$30,000,000.00 for appropriation by the Legislature for grants and loans for transportation, wastewater treatment, water supply, and other infrastructure systems in the pinelands area. These projects would be approved only upon a finding that the master plan and zoning ordinance of the municipality, and the master plan of the county wherein the project is to take place has been certified by the pinelands commission to be in conformance with a comprehensive management plan and that the project conforms with the infrastructure master plan adopted by the pinelands commission.

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic	28,163	12,775
Bergen	115,256	77,853
Burlington ..	46,652	23,394
Camden	62,082	28,989
Cape May	16,305	8,268
Cumberland ..	12,387	9,864
Essex	80,137	34,739
Gloucester ..	34,144	17,436
Hudson	52,739	27,344
Hunterdon ...	11,346	8,235
Mercer	43,251	22,394
Middlesex ...	74,526	48,727
Monmouth	78,191	39,307
Morris	56,784	32,938
Ocean	57,329	32,304
Passaic	36,821	25,189
Salem	8,439	8,419
Somerset	28,795	17,092
Sussex	13,039	13,099
Union	64,802	34,983
Warren	9,062	8,765
TOTAL	930,250	532,114

1985 PUBLIC QUESTIONS

-- continued --

Public Question Number 4

FINANCING RESOURCE RECOVERY FACILITIES
FROM THE "NATURAL RESOURCES FUND"

Shall the "Natural Resources Bond Act of 1980" be supplemented to provide that payments of principal and interest on loans made for resource recovery facilities from the "Natural Resources Fund," and interest derived from the investment of that portion of the "Natural Resources Fund" allocated for resource recovery facilities, be deposited in the "Resource Recovery and Solid Waste Disposal Facility Fund" established pursuant to the "Resource Recovery and Solid Waste Disposal Facility Bond Act of 1985"?

INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT

Approval of this act would permit repayments of loans for resource recovery facilities made from the "Natural Resources Fund" established by the "Natural Resources Bond Act of 1980" to be made to the "Resource Recovery and Solid Waste Disposal Facility Fund" established pursuant to the "Resource Recovery and Solid Waste Disposal Facility Bond Act of 1985." This act would also permit interest earnings derived from the investment of that portion of the "Natural Resources Fund" allocated for resource recovery to be deposited in the "Resource Recovery and Solid Waste Disposal Facility Fund."

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic	27,352	12,258
Bergen	118,063	67,526
Burlington ..	45,074	22,437
Camden	59,253	28,530
Cape May	16,145	7,572
Cumberland ..	12,074	9,459
Essex	80,324	31,113
Gloucester ..	30,147	20,262
Hudson	51,546	25,356
Hunterdon ...	12,367	6,611
Mercer	43,573	20,051
Middlesex ...	79,141	40,548
Monmouth	78,903	34,595
Morris	60,448	26,695
Ocean	58,772	29,899
Passaic	37,765	24,071
Salem	8,327	8,137
Somerset	28,145	16,448
Sussex	15,305	10,492
Union	66,292	30,772
Warren	10,250	7,367
TOTAL	939,266	480,199

Public Question Number 5

SIMULCASTING HORSE RACING

Shall the "Simulcasting Racing Act" and amendments to the horse racing laws, which authorize the simultaneous transmission by picture of horse races from one racetrack to another and the wagering thereon, all as regulated by the State, be approved and become operative?

INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT

Approval of this act would again permit horse race-tracks to send and receive televised pictures of races and accept betting on those races. In addition, the act would permit "simulcasting" of certain races from out-of-State, such as the Kentucky Derby, and betting on those races. As shown while it was in effect, simulcasting would create off-season jobs at race tracks that would otherwise be closed, and would produce additional tax revenue to the State treasury at no cost to the taxpayer. Simulcasting would be licensed and regulated by the New Jersey Racing Commission.

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic	35,962	8,636
Bergen	132,286	80,029
Burlington ..	47,584	24,899
Camden	65,971	29,669
Cape May	18,421	7,365
Cumberland ..	15,047	8,344
Essex	83,584	42,888
Gloucester ..	33,361	19,678
Hudson	65,806	24,647
Hunterdon ...	11,883	8,237
Mercer	43,625	24,851
Middlesex ...	87,252	46,035
Monmouth	95,871	35,469
Morris	58,891	34,440
Ocean	66,894	30,219
Passaic	41,219	25,260
Salem	9,562	7,678
Somerset	29,541	18,659
Sussex	15,954	11,092
Union	70,435	38,255
Warren	10,968	7,576
TOTAL	1,040,117	533,926

1985 PUBLIC QUESTIONS

-- continued --

Public Question Number 6

**CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT:
USE OF "CASINO REVENUE FUND" MONIES**

Shall the amendment, of Article IV, Section VII, paragraph 2, of the Constitution, agreed to by the Legislature providing that "Casino Revenue Fund" monies not be used to finance homestead rebates after June 30, 1985 for senior citizens and disabled residents, be adopted?

INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT

This constitutional amendment provides that "Casino Revenue Fund" monies shall not be used to finance homestead rebates after June 30, 1985 for senior citizens and disabled residents.

Public Question Number 7

**CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT LEGISLATIVE
DISAPPROVAL OF RULES AND REGULATIONS**

Shall the amendment to Article V, section IV, paragraph 6 of the Constitution, agreed to by the Legislature, authorizing the Legislature to prohibit proposed administrative rules and regulations from taking effect and to invalidate existing rules and regulations, be adopted?

INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT

"State executive agencies are authorized to issue rules and regulations which have the force and effect of law. The Legislature may review those rules and regulations from time to time in order to determine whether they conform with the intent of the statutes. The Supreme Court of New Jersey has ruled that under the New Jersey Constitution in general the Legislature may not invalidate an executive rule or regulation except by adopting legislation subject to the Governor's veto. This amendment addresses that Supreme Court ruling by modifying the New Jersey Constitution to allow the Legislature to invalidate by resolution executive rules and regulations by a majority of the authorized membership of both the Senate and General Assembly and without presenting the resolution to the Governor for his approval. Its enactment would constitute a fundamental change in the relationship between the co-equal branches of government."

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic	22,033	20,555
Bergen	72,133	128,369
Burlington ..	24,241	46,425
Camden	36,311	56,550
Cape May	11,119	14,300
Cumberland ..	7,492	15,869
Essex	43,967	74,971
Gloucester ..	22,616	29,968
Hudson	39,619	44,855
Hunterdon ...	5,835	13,699
Mercer	26,417	40,986
Middlesex ...	47,120	81,117
Monmouth	48,070	74,263
Morris	31,111	59,275
Ocean	34,642	62,768
Passaic	28,385	38,586
Salem	5,379	11,866
Somerset	15,539	31,133
Sussex	8,874	17,851
Union	36,629	68,110
Warren	6,023	12,224
TOTAL	573,555	943,740

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic	14,513	24,639
Bergen	73,772	102,501
Burlington ..	23,531	42,043
Camden	31,616	52,859
Cape May	8,095	15,188
Cumberland ..	6,294	15,519
Essex	40,091	67,980
Gloucester ..	17,205	32,492
Hudson	36,829	37,280
Hunterdon ...	6,278	11,856
Mercer	20,838	41,496
Middlesex ...	42,475	73,112
Monmouth	41,596	67,021
Morris	32,063	51,113
Ocean	29,849	54,507
Passaic	19,723	33,655
Salem	4,805	11,664
Somerset	14,527	27,770
Sussex	8,541	16,462
Union	31,852	62,269
Warren	6,622	10,457
TOTAL	511,115	851,883