SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

HONORABLE VIRGINIA A. LONG, CHAIR
HONORABLE STEPHEN SKILLMAN, VICE CHAIR
HONORABLE EDWIN H. STERN
HONORABLE GEORGIA M. CURIO
MR. DAVID P. ANDERSON, JR.
A. MATTHEW BOXER, ESQUIRE
MR. PAUL J. WALKER
SUSAN A. FEENEY, ESQUIRE
MS. KAREN KESSLER
VINCENT E. GENTILE, ESQUIRE



MAILING ADDRESS
THE ACJC
PO BOX 037
TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0037

PRINCIPAL OFFICE:
RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX
TRENTON, NEW JERSEY
(609) 815-2900 EXT. 51910
CANDACE MOODY, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR/COUNSEL
DANIEL BURNS, ASSISTANT COUNSEL
LOUIS H. TARANTO, CHIEF INVESTIGATOR

CONFIDENTIAL

May 12, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMITTAL

Supreme Court of New Jersey Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex 25 W. Market Street P.O. Box 970 Trenton, N.J. 08625

Re: In the Matter of Lilia A. Munoz, J.M.C.

ACJC 2018-255

Dear Chief Justice and Associate Justices:

Pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 2:15-15A(b)(3), please find enclosed herewith an application for discipline by consent filed with the Advisory Committee on Judicial Conduct ("the Committee" or "ACJC") on April 20, 2020 by Maureen G. Bauman, Esq., ACJC Presenter, and Respondent, Lilia A. Munoz, J.M.C., through her counsel, Robert S. Feder, Esq. Respondent has conceded to violating Canon 1, <u>Rule</u> 1.1, Canon 2, <u>Rule</u> 2.1 and Canon 3, <u>Rule</u> 3.17(B), of the <u>Code of Judicial Conduct</u>, and <u>Rule</u> 1:12-1(g), when she presided over multiple court matters for a period of seven years (2008-2015) despite a conflict of interest with counsel for the defendants in those matters from whom she leased professional office space.

The Committee granted the application on April 29, 2020. Pursuant to the enclosed stipulation, Presenter and Respondent agreed to a recommended disciplinary sanction within the range of a public admonition to a public reprimand for Respondent's judicial misconduct. After careful consideration, and for the reasons stated below, the Committee respectfully recommends to

this Court that the appropriate sanction for Respondent's misconduct is a public reprimand.

The Court, in similar circumstances, has imposed a public reprimand for a jurist's involvement in a conflict of interest. E.g. In re Miniman, 195 N.J. 276 (2008) (reprimanding a for engaging in a conflict of interest when he granted his municipal court administrator's application for a temporary restraining order); In re Bowkley, 195 N.J. (2008) (admonishing а judge for engaging in two distinct conflicts of interest when issuing an arrest warrant against a defendant despite representing the mother of the defendant's child in a family court matter, and in presiding over the arraignment of a client); In re Elias, 195 N.J. 276 (2008) (censuring a judge for engaging in an ex parte communication with a defendant, disposing of a case despite the existence of a conflict of interest, and for dismissing a ticket off the record). The Committee, weighing Respondent's on involvement in conflicts of interest against her otherwise unblemished judicial disciplinary history and acknowledgement of wrongdoing, recommends the imposition of a public reprimand as the appropriate quantum of discipline consistent with case precedent.

Please find enclosed herewith the record in this matter, which consists of the following documents:

- 1. Stipulation of Discipline by Consent;
- 2. Affidavit of Consent;
- 3. All material exhibits (#1-4) in support of Stipulation;
- 4. Formal Complaint filed August 2, 2019; and
- 5. Verified Answer filed August 27, 2019.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Virginia A. Long

Virginia A. Long, Chair

Enclosures

Cc: Candace Moody, Esq., ACJC Chief Counsel / Exec. Director Maureen G. Bauman, Esq., ACJC Presenter Robert S. Feder, Esq., Counsel to Respondent