

Parole Revocation Hearings

A Primer for Assigned Counsel

<u>Note</u>: This document is provided as a reference tool for attorneys who are appointed via the <u>Madden v. Delran</u> list. It is current through the date of publication. It does not replace the attorney's own research and evaluation of the legal and procedural issues involved. An attorney has an ongoing duty to be informed of current statutes, cases, and Court Rules.

Updated: October 2, 2018 Original issue date: October 5, 2010

Working Group on Pro Bono Attorney Training Materials—Parole Revocation Hearings

Hon. Robert B. Reed, P.J.Cr., Chair Vance Hagins, Esq., Chief, Criminal Court Services Gregory Embley, Parole Revocation Unit Chief, State Parole Board Rosemarie Gallagher, Criminal Division Manager, Vicinage 15 April R. Bailey, Admin. Supervisor, NJ Supreme Court Clerk's Office Julie A. Higgs, Esq., Chief (Staff) Marti Bartuska, Administrative Specialist (Staff) Christine T. O'Drain, Administrative Specialist (Staff)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FIRST STEPS
CHAPTER 1: ASSIGNMENT4
CHAPTER 2: PAROLE REVOCATION HEARINGS BACKGROUND / OVERVIEW
CHAPTER 3: DISCOVERY / SCHEDULING11
CHAPTER 4: THE HEARING13
CHAPTER 5: ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL PROCESS
APPENDIX A - RESOURCES
New Jersey State Parole Handbook;
New Jersey State Parole Reference Guide;
New Jersey State District Parole Offices & State Correctional Facilities;
New Jersey State Parole Board Community Programs;
New Jersey County Correctional Facilities
<u>APPENDIX B</u>
The Constitutional Basis for a Parole Revocation Hearing in New Jersey
<u>APPENDIX C</u>
The Statutes and Regulations that Govern the Hearing Process in New Jersey26
<u>APPENDIX D</u>
List of Frequently Asked Questions – Parole Revocation Process
<u>APPENDIX E</u>
Relevant Case Law and Summary of Recent Case Law Relevant to Parole Revocation
<u>APPENDIX F</u>
Sample Assignment of Counsel Notices and Letters
2 Parole Revocation Hearin

Parole Revocation Hearings A Primer for Assigned Counsel Updated: October 2, 2018

FIRST STEPS

You have received a parole revocation pro bono case assignment.....what's next?

- 1. Obtain all files and relevant information from the Parole Division on the case by contacting the New Jersey State Parole Board's Central Office Revocation Unit. Website: <u>http://www.state.nj.us/parole/revocation.html</u>
- 2. Review this manual for an overview of the legal issues involved, as well as conduct your own research; consider reviewing the website of the Parole Board for general information about the parole process: <u>http://www.nj.gov/parole/hearings.html</u>
- 3. Contact your client to introduce yourself and explain that you will be assuming responsibility for his/her case. If you need contact information, you may reach out to the county *pro bono* coordinator who assigned you the case (phone numbers and addresses of the coordinators are found on the Judiciary's public website, www.njcourts.gov under "attorneys" and under "pro bono"). Webpage: <u>http://www.njcourts.gov/attorneys/probono.html</u>. You may also reach out to the State Parole Board's Central Office Revocation Unit to obtain contact information and details on how to arrange a meeting or phone call or video teleconference with your client. The State Parole Board's Central Office Revocation Unit may also be able to assist you with arranging a video teleconferencing meeting, using their equipment.
- 4. Arrange a meeting with your client (by phone, in person, or, where possible, via video teleconferencing). At that meeting, obtain relevant information from your client that may be presented to the Parole Board to defend against his/her revocation of parole as well as to support an argument for possible modification of conditions.
- 5. Provide to the Parole Board, to the extent you are able, substantiation/evidence for the assertions that you intend to make. This is a two-pronged analysis i) the first prong is whether the Division of Parole has presented clear and convincing evidence the violations were committed, ii) whether the violations (sustained or admitted) were serious or persistent.
- 6. Note that you may argue not only that your client is not guilty but that your client may be guilty but that a modification (rather than a rescission) of parole is warranted in the case.
- 7. Inform your client of legal recourse available to him/her if the revocation of parole is granted (administrative appeal of the Parole Board Panel's decision to the full Parole Board, then appeal to the Appellate Division).

(Note: details on the above steps are provided in this manual)

CHAPTER 1 ASSIGNMENT

You have been assigned to represent an indigent defendant who has been charged with a violation of parole under The Parole Act, <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.45 to - 123.76. In <u>Bolyard v. Berman</u>, 274 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 565 (App. Div.), <u>certif. denied</u>, 138 <u>N.J.</u> 272 (1994), the Appellate Division held that it was constitutionally permissible to assign private counsel to represent indigent defendants at parole revocation hearings. Since that time, counsel for indigent parolees has been assigned from a list of private attorneys maintained by the Administrative Office of the Courts. The purpose of this chapter is to explain how you were assigned a *pro bono* case.

In <u>Madden v. Delran</u>, 126 <u>N.J.</u> 591 (1992), the Supreme Court reaffirmed the bar's duty to represent indigent defendants without pay where the Legislature has made no provision for the Public Defender to represent defendants who are entitled to counsel. The Court recognized that it was placing a burden on the bar, which should be more generally shared by the public at large. The Court said: "We realize it is the bar that is bearing the burden \ldots . We trust that the bar understands the strong policy considerations that have persuaded us. As has so often been the case, it is the bar that makes the system work, often without compensation." <u>Id.</u> at 614.

An attorney admitted in New Jersey is responsible for mandatory *pro bono* service unless he or she fits within one of the exemption categories established by the Supreme Court. Currently there are 11 exemption categories numbered 81-91. Most of these categories relate to various kinds of government service, but there are also exemptions for retired attorneys (exemption code 86), attorneys who do other types of *pro bono* service (exemption code 88), and out-of-state attorneys (exemption code 90). As part of the annual attorney registration each spring, attorneys are asked to fill out the *pro bono* questionnaire, where they certify their exemption status.

Attorneys are assigned *pro bono* cases through the *pro bono* computer system, which was developed and is currently maintained by the Administrative Office of the Courts. The Supreme Court in <u>Madden</u> chose the current system of *pro bono* assignments in an effort to spread the burden among as many attorneys as possible. The system maintains a list of attorneys eligible for *pro bono* assignment for each county. Attorneys are listed in the order

mandated by the Supreme Court in <u>Madden</u>; that is, the attorneys are ordered by the number of *pro bono* cases the attorney has handled in the past and then alphabetically. At the top of the list are the attorneys who have never been assigned a *pro bono* case, arranged in alphabetical order. Next on the list are attorneys who have only done one case, arranged in alphabetical order. Attorneys are called upon whenever their name reaches the top of the list. The number of *pro bono* cases an attorney is required to undertake depends on the number of attorneys in the county and the number of *pro bono* assignments in that county.

When the county *pro bono* coordinator needs to assign a *pro bono* case, he or she requests a name and the computer generates the next name on the list. Attorneys are not required to perform a certain number of hours per year. Rather, attorneys are required to complete an assigned *pro bono* case, no matter how many hours that may require.

The *pro bono* attorney who handles the parole revocation hearing will also be required to represent the indigent client in any administrative appeal of the Board panel's decision to the full Parole Board. The defendant's next option for recourse after a decision by the full Parole Board is an appeal before the Appellate Division. <u>Rule</u> 2:2-3(a)(2). If the parolee wishes to appeal the Parole Board's final decision, the *pro bono* attorney should file the notice of appeal with the Appellate Division. The *pro bono* attorney may also chose to file a motion to be relieved as counsel on the Appellate appeal. If the Appellate Division grants the motion to be removed, the *pro bono* attorney should then notify the vicinage's *pro bono* coordinator who will then appoint another attorney to represent the indigent parolee in the appeal before the Appellate Division. <u>See</u> Chapter 5, <u>infra</u>, for details on filing an appeal in the Appellate Division.

CHAPTER 2 PAROLE REVOCATION HEARINGS

Pursuant to the Parole Act of 1979, individuals who have been convicted of a criminal offense and sentenced to a term of incarceration are afforded the opportunity to participate in the parole release hearing process. If a grant of parole is rendered, the parolee is released to discretionary parole supervision in the community. There are two additional types of parole supervision that are ordered by the Court as a component of the parolee's sentence, Parole Supervision for Life and Mandatory Supervision. Service of Parole Supervision for Life and Mandatory Supervision.

Prior to an individual's release into the community, he/she signs a Certificate of Release which indicates the type of supervision and the conditions of supervision with which the parolee must comply. While the individual is released, if the Division of Parole believes that probable cause exists that he or she is in noncompliance with the conditions of the parolee's supervision conditions, the Division of Parole may initiate revocation proceedings to address the parolee's alleged noncompliant conduct.

In most states, parole is solely within the discretion of a parole agency and is granted only after an inmate completes a portion of his or her sentence. Parole is intended to help the inmate reintegrate into society as a constructive individual without the necessity of confinement for the full term of the sentence imposed. It also serves to ameliorate the costs to society of keeping an individual in prison. The granting of parole depends upon a determination that convicted persons can now safely rejoin the society whose rules they have broken. In New Jersey, there are essentially three types of parole release that an offender may be subject to: (1) the traditional parole granted to an offender who has completed service of the punitive portion of the term of incarceration (either 1/3 of the total term less applicable work and commutation credit or a mandated period of parole ineligibility); (2) a period of "mandatory parole supervision" following the service of a custodial term for a prescribed 1st or 2nd degree offense pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C:43-7.2; or (3) the special sentence of Parole Supervision for Life imposed by the court upon conviction of an enumerated offense pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C:43-6.4. However, regardless of the type of supervision the offender is subject to, the parole revocation procedures employed are identical and vary only with respect to final dispositions.

The procedures employed in the revocation of parole are dictated by the constitutional precepts as articulated in the landmark U.S. Supreme Court decision of Morrissey v. Brewer, 408 U.S. 471, 480, 33 L. Ed. 2d 484, 92 S. Ct. 2593 (1972). Morrissey triggered a new era in the field of corrections and parole procedures. Speaking for the Court, Chief Justice Burger concluded that the liberty of a parolee included many of the core values of unqualified liberty and that the termination of that liberty required an informal hearing to give assurance that a parole violation leading to revocation would be based on verified facts. In this landmark decision, the Court rejected the "right vs. privilege" distinction and held that parole was something more than a mere privilege to be extended or withdrawn at the state's whim. Chief Justice Burger wrote that society had a stake in the conditional liberty enjoyed by the parolee because such liberty afforded society an opportunity to restore the parolee to a normal and useful life within the law. Also, society had a further interest in treating the parolee with basic fairness, as fair treatment in parole revocation proceedings would enhance the chance of rehabilitation by avoiding reactions to arbitrariness. The Court ruled that states have no justifiable interest that would necessitate the revocation of parole without affording the parolee minimal procedural safeguards.

Having made this determination, the Court turned to a consideration of what procedures would apply in the parole revocation process. The Court noted that there were few absolute procedures mandated by due process and that procedures should be tailored to the needs of each situation. The underlying goal of procedural due process is to assure that the proceedings afford the individual fundamental fairness. Hence, the essence of due process is fairness, and the competing interests of the parolee and of the state must be weighed in order to determine the appropriate procedures.

According to <u>Morrissey</u>, continuing liberty is the primary interest of the parolee. On the other hand, since the parolee has already been convicted of a criminal offense, the state has an overwhelming interest in being able to return the unsuccessful parolee to an institutional setting without the burden of having to conduct a new adversarial criminal proceeding. By balancing these competing interests, the Court was able to identify the minimum procedural protections that should apply.

The Court identified two important stages in the typical parole revocation. The first stage occurs when the parolee is arrested and detained, often at the discretion of his/her

parole officer. The second occurs when parole is formally revoked. The Court reasoned that due process would seem to require that some minimal inquiry be conducted at or reasonably near the place of the alleged parole violation or arrest, and as promptly as is convenient after arrest while information is fresh and sources are available. This inquiry would be in the nature of a preliminary hearing to determine whether there is probable cause or reasonable grounds to believe that the arrested parolee has committed acts that would constitute a violation of parole conditions. In addition to this preliminary hearing, the Court concluded that there must also be an opportunity for a hearing prior to the final decision on revocation by the parole authority. This hearing must be the basis for more than a determination of probable cause; it must lead to a final evaluation of any contested relevant facts and it must invoke consideration of whether the facts as determined warrant revocation.

Both the preliminary hearing, commonly referred to as the probable cause hearing, and the revocation hearing are to be governed by six basic and minimum due process protections. These six requirements are: (1) written notice of the claimed violations of parole; (2) disclosure of evidence against the parolee; (3) opportunity to be heard in person and to present witnesses and documentary evidence; (4) the right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses (unless the hearing officer specifically finds good cause for not allowing confrontation); (5) a "neutral and detached" hearing body such as a traditional parole board, members of which need not be judicial officers or lawyers; and (6) a written statement by the fact finders as to the evidence relied on and the reasons for the action taken. The Court was careful to emphasize that flexibility and informality were important aspects and that the above requirements should not impose a great burden on any state's parole system. In New Jersey, the Parole Act of 1979 and the Administrative Code regulations codify the parole revocation procedures and incorporate the <u>Morrissey</u> due process concepts.

The <u>Morrissey</u> decision did not address the issue of the parolee's right to the assistance of counsel, instead leaving this question unanswered until 1973, in the case of <u>Gagnon v. Scarpelli</u>, 411 <u>U.S.</u> 778, 36 <u>L. Ed.</u> 2d 656, 93 <u>S. Ct.</u> 1756 (1973). The <u>Gagnon</u> decision establishes a conditional right to counsel. The attorney determination is to be made on a case-by-case basis with the overriding standard being "fundamental fairness." Basically, <u>Gagnon</u> held that in some situations fundamental fairness requires the assistance

of counsel, but left this decision to the parole authorities based on the exercise of "sound discretion". <u>Gagnon v. Scarpelli</u>, 411 <u>U.S.</u> at 790.

The initial determination regarding whether counsel should be appointed is generally made when the parolee is served with formal notice of the preliminary hearing. However, the ultimate responsibility rests with the assigned hearing officer to ensure that an adequate attorney determination was made and that the determination conforms to the flexible standard of <u>Gagnon</u>. This responsibility does not require a formal review of the attorney determination; indeed, only that the hearing officer be aware of the problems involved and take the necessary remedial steps in situations where counsel is clearly necessary.

The <u>Gagnon</u> decision establishes two primary factual situations, creating a rebuttable presumption that the parolee has the right to be represented by counsel. First, a parolee may make a "colorable claim" of innocence of the violation charged. The validity of this claim depends on the plausibility of the claim, whether there had been any admission of guilt, or a prior finding of guilt making the claim of innocence frivolous. Second, a parolee may admit guilt, but still claim substantial mitigating factors. The validity of the claim depends on the whether there had been approved the claim depends on the way admit guilt, but still claim substantial mitigating factors. The validity of the claim depends on the extent of the mitigating factors, whether they might affect the revocation decision, and whether they are complex or difficult to present.

Generally, if neither of the above presumptions are established, counsel will be denied. On the other hand, if either of the presumptions are established counsel will usually be provided. However, as fundamental fairness is the ultimate standard, the presumptions can be overcome by considering the totality of the circumstances. Other considerations such as age, intelligence, criminal experience, ability to communicate, and complexity of case must be considered and may require that counsel be provided without the above criteria being fulfilled or that counsel be denied even though the presumptions are present. Essentially, the presumptions control unless there are special considerations to override or rebut them.

It should also be remembered that the parolee must make a timely request for counsel. Once the parolee has waived the right to counsel, the parolee may not retract the waiver provided it was a knowing and voluntary waiver and no new factors are present. It is the responsibility of the assigned hearing officer to determine that a waiver was knowing and voluntary. The hearing officer must be satisfied that the parolee knew what rights were available, the consequences of the waiver, that he/she had the capacity to make the decision,

and that the waiver was made by free choice and not based on coercion or misunderstanding.

Once a determination is made that counsel should be assigned, the matter is referred to the appropriate office for the appointment of counsel by the Assignment Judge in the vicinage where the parolee's hearing shall be conducted.

CHAPTER 3 DISCOVERY / SCHEDULING

1. Discovery Requests: Your assignment order should provide the local contact information for the Revocation Unit to obtain your discovery materials as well as the local contact information for the Parole District Office (see also Appendix A – Resources for telephone numbers of Parole Board District Offices). The assignment order will also indicate the custody location of the parole violator, whether it is a county jail facility, state prison, or other custody location. Revocation hearings may also be scheduled in the District Parole Office when the parole violator has been permitted to remain at liberty pending the outcome of the parole revocation hearing. Upon contacting the Revocation Unit, you may be referred to an alternate supervising parole office as different offices handle particular types of parole supervision, such as sex offender supervision, electronic monitoring/home confinement supervision, or community treatment program supervision. At the initial Revocation Unit contact, defense counsel may request a copy of the discovery materials, which is the revocation hearing documentation that has also been provided to the parole violator.

2. Discovery Materials: The discovery package will minimally include the following:

a) Notice of Probable Cause Hearing and accompanying list of parole violation charges to be addressed at the revocation hearing. Included on the Hearing Notice will be information on the date of parole, commitment offense and sentence, and "end of supervision" date commonly known as the max date. The state prison and State Bureau of Identification (SBI) numbers, two State Parole Board tracking numbers used to identify all cases under parole supervision will be included. Any correspondence should include at least one of the two available tracking numbers for identification purposes. The Notice will indicate the custody location of the revocation hearing and will indicate that the date and time of the hearing "will be determined."

b) Special Parole Reports, which are the reports submitted by the assigned parole officer such as Arrest Reports (indicating how the parole violator was returned to custody), Missing Reports (indicating absconding information), and Disposition Reports (indicating the outcome of court hearings for charges that occurred while under parole supervision).

c) Chronological Supervision Reports are the parole supervision entries recorded by the assigned officer at the time of all significant supervision contacts, resulting in the violations charged in the Notice of Violation. The chronological record is the contemporary supervision record of events detailing the supervision contacts and decisions made concerning the parole violation charges.

d) The Parole Supervision Certificate is the Certificate of Parole issued by the State Parole Board prior to an individual being released from the state or county institution. The Parole Certificate indicates the sentence information, the general conditions of parole (conditions directed by administrative regulation), and special conditions of parole (conditions directed by the State Parole Board). The parole violation charges on the Notice of Violation refer specifically to violations of the general or special conditions noted on the parole certificate.

3. Interview: Upon receipt of the discovery materials, you may elect to interview the parolee at the county or state correctional facility. It is recommended that you contact the jail in advance to review the institutional regulations for appropriate dress, recording devices, and interview hours. You must have valid identification before being permitted in the correctional facility.

4. Scheduling: When you are prepared to proceed, the Revocation Unit's hearing scheduling coordinator is to be contacted for scheduling of the Probable Cause Hearing.

CHAPTER 4 THE HEARING

Upon an individual's release to parole supervision, he or she agrees to abide by general conditions of parole plus any special conditions of parole that may be imposed by either a Board panel or by the Division of Parole. Parole revocation involves two hearings: 1) a probable cause (or preliminary) hearing, and 2) a revocation hearing.

Under the precepts of <u>Morrissey</u>, <u>supra</u>, 408 <u>U.S.</u> 471, once a parolee is taken into custody for alleged violations of parole he or she will be scheduled for a probable cause hearing. The probable cause hearing is in the nature of a preliminary hearing to determine if there is probable cause to believe that a violation of the conditions of parole has occurred. It is a limited inquiry to discover whether there is a factual basis warranting detention of the parolee pending the outcome of the parole revocation hearing and whether there are reasonable grounds to believe that the arrested parolee has committed acts constituting a violation of parole.

The burden of proving that probable cause exists is placed upon the state. Although the parolee has a right to offer evidence on his or her own behalf, there is no affirmative obligation to disprove the allegations. At such a hearing, the parolee generally has no right to introduce mitigating circumstances unless such circumstances are so intertwined with the violation that they cannot be distinguished from or unless the parolee wishes to waive the probable cause hearing and proceed directly to the parole revocation hearing (discussed <u>infra</u>).

Procedural Requirements

<u>Morrissey</u> indicated that the probable cause determination should be made by someone not directly involved with the case. It is sufficient in the probable cause hearing context that the individual conducting the hearing be someone other than the parole officer who made the report of parole violations or recommended parole revocation.

To satisfy due process requirements, the parolee must be given adequate notice that the probable cause hearing will take place. Such notice should include the time and date of the hearing, its purpose, and the parole violations which have been alleged. Under <u>Morrissey</u>, the parolee is guaranteed the right to appear and to be heard on his or her own behalf and is entitled to present evidence in the form of letters and documents. The parolee may also bring forward witnesses who can provide relevant information to the hearing officer. The only evidence admissible at the probable cause hearing is that which is pertinent to the charged violation(s). In cases where a person has given adverse information on which parole revocation is to be based, the parolee has the right to have that person made available for questioning at the hearing. The Court in <u>Morrissey</u> placed the responsibility of producing an adequate record of the hearing on the hearing officer.

Scheduling

Upon receipt of your appointment letter, you should immediately contact the Revocation Unit in order to receive a copy of the Notice of Probable Cause Hearing and the Disclosure of Evidence ("discovery"). At that time, you may also choose to schedule a mutually convenient hearing date. You may ask for a continuance because of calendar conflicts. While such requests are liberally granted, please keep in mind that your client will remain in continued custody without bail pending the hearing process, so the hearing should be scheduled as expeditiously as possible.

When appointed counsel fails to appear at the hearing, efforts will be made to contact the attorney and determine why he or she is not present. At this point, the hearing officer may: (1) proceed without counsel, if the parolee wishes to do so; (2) continue the matter to another date when counsel can be present, if counsel's absence is excusable; or (3) retain or have a new attorney appointed and a new date set. If appointed counsel is absent without justification, the matter may be referred back to the Assignment Judge for appropriate action.

Initiating the Hearing Process

Prior to taking testimony at the hearing, the hearing officer will explain the bifurcated structure of the hearing process (probable cause/preliminary hearing v. revocation hearing) and will review the parolee's hearing rights. The hearing officer will also answer any questions that the attorney may have regarding the differences between a formal criminal proceeding and this administrative hearing (e.g., that generally the formal Rules of Evidence do not apply at administrative hearings).

Probable Cause Hearing v. Parole Revocation Hearing

If all of the State's witnesses are present and accounted for, the parolee may choose to waive the probable cause hearing and proceed directly to the parole revocation hearing (barring any objection on behalf of the State to produce additional testimony or evidence at the parole revocation hearing). As most revocation hearings involve only the testimony of the assigned parole officer in detailing the alleged parole violations, it is often desirable to proceed with the parole revocation hearing at this juncture rather than to wait an additional time period in order to reconvene the revocation hearing to hear testimony from the same witness regarding the same allegations (assuming that probable cause is either established or stipulated). If the probable cause hearing is waived and the matter proceeds as a parole revocation hearing, the only difference from an evidentiary standpoint is that the State must prove the allegations by clear and convincing evidence (rather than a mere showing of probable cause).

Whether the matter proceeds as a probable cause hearing or as a parole revocation hearing, the following procedures will be followed by the hearing officer unless noted otherwise:

If the parolee or the attorney makes specific objections, the hearing officer will allow the objections to be fully stated prior to the beginning of the evidentiary phase of the hearing. The hearing officer may rule on each objection as it is made or note the objection for the record and hold the final ruling to a later stage of the hearing process. A particularly difficult objection may necessitate a brief recess in order to allow the hearing officer to obtain assistance in making a ruling. If a preliminary objection is significant enough to warrant a continuance, the hearing officer will be aware of this fact early in the proceedings. The hearing officer may require the parolee or the attorney to put a lengthy series of objections in writing.

The hearing officer will place all witnesses under oath prior to receiving their testimony. The oath will be administered to all parties present at the beginning of the hearing. Other witnesses will be placed under oath at the time they are called. The attorney, as an officer of the court, should not be placed under oath unless he or she will be giving testimony. If the attorney declines to take the oath, but later begins to give testimony as opposed to merely asking questions or commenting upon the evidence, the attorney shall then be placed under oath.

Evidentiary Phase

After administering the oath, the hearing officer will recite each alleged violation of parole and the parolee will offer a pleading of guilty, not guilty or guilty with an explanation. If the parolee denies the alleged violation of parole, the hearing officer will ask the parole officer (or other relevant witness) to testify regarding the relevant facts surrounding any contested violation(s). Upon conclusion of the parole officer's (or other relevant witness's) testimony, the parolee and/or attorney may cross-examine the parole officer (or other relevant witness).

If the parolee admits to the parole violation(s) with an explanation, the hearing officer will allow the parolee an opportunity to provide his or her explanation as to why the parole violation occurred and to submit evidence of any relevant mitigating circumstances surrounding the parole violation. Upon conclusion of the testimony, the hearing officer will ask the attorney for any closing statements.

The hearing officer will then ask for a recommended disposition from the parole officer based upon their supervision history with the parolee and in conjunction with the present allegations of violations of parole.

Hearing Summary Report

Upon conclusion of the hearing, the hearing officer will prepare a written summary based on the evidence presented. The hearing summary report will also include a factual determination from the hearing officer regarding whether the standard of evidence has been met by the State.

If a hearing officer makes a determination that probable cause does not exist in a case, the hearing officer will still refer the case to a Board panel with the recommendation of "Continue on Parole - Probable Cause not found" for the Board's review. If the Board panel is in agreement with the recommendation, the parolee may be released to the community with the imposition of special conditions as needed.

If the matter proceeded as a probable cause hearing only and a hearing officer makes a determination that probable cause exists to believe that the parolee has seriously or persistently violated the condition(s) of his or her parole and that revocation is desirable, then the hearing summary report will only contain a recommendation from the hearing officer to either detain the parolee pending the parole revocation hearing or to allow the parolee to be released pending the parole revocation hearing. However, if probable cause has been determined and the matter proceeded as a parole revocation hearing, the hearing summary report will contain a recommendation by the hearing officer as to whether the alleged violations, if found, are either serious and/or persistent and whether parole revocation is desirable.

Within approximately 10-14 days upon conclusion of the hearing, a copy of the hearing summary report will be forwarded to the attorney and the parolee for review. The attorney will have seven days upon receipt of the hearing summary report in which to respond. Within 21 days of the hearing, the hearing officer's summary report will be reviewed by a Board panel for a final determination.

Revocation Hearing Dispositions

Upon review of the hearing officer's summary report, as well as any additional comments provided by counsel, the Board panel will make a final determination in the parolee's case. If the hearing officer made a finding that the standard of evidence was met based upon the evidence submitted at the parole revocation hearing, the determination by the Board panel is whether the violations of parole are either serious and/or persistent and whether revocation is desirable.

If the matter was heard as a probable cause hearing only, the Board panel may either elect to continue the parolee in custody pending the parole revocation hearing or may release the parolee pending the parole revocation hearing. If the matter was heard as a parole revocation hearing, the Board panel may either revoke parole and impose a future parole eligibility term or may elect to continue the individual's parole status. If the Board panel elects to continue the individual's parole status, the Board panel may impose special conditions of parole that will assist him or her in reintegrating back into society. Such special conditions may include that the parolee participate in a community-based program, participate in either inpatient or outpatient substance abuse or alcohol treatment, participate in the electronic monitoring/home confinement program, or any other special condition that may seek to lessen the likelihood of recurrence of criminal behavior.¹

¹ The State Parole Board and its District Parole Offices work in partnership with community-based programs to develop and maintain a continuum of treatment, support services, and supervision that encourage and aid offenders in completing their supervision in the community. For a full listing of such programs, see <u>Appendix</u> <u>A – Resources</u>.

Notice of Decision

Regardless of the outcome, the Board panel will issue a written Notice of Decision citing the particular reasons for the decision and the facts relied upon. If parole is revoked, the Notice of Decision will include the future parole eligibility term that has been imposed. If the parolee's parole status is continued, the Notice of Decision will include any special conditions of parole that may be imposed by the Board panel.

Any decision of the Board panel may be administratively appealed to the full Board. Accordingly, included in the Notice of Decision will be a form regarding how the parolee may administratively appeal the decision of the Board panel and you should also advise your client of this right.² The form for an administrative appeal to the full Board is also found on the Parole Board website: <u>https://www.nj.gov/parole/docs/AppealForm-Revocation.pdf</u>.

 $^{^{2}}$ As explained in Chapter 5, if the full Parole Board determines that your client's parole should be revoked, the next available recourse is an appeal to the Appellate Division.

CHAPTER 5

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL AND APPELLATE APPEAL PROCESS

Administrative Appeal

<u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-4.1(e) provides that any revocation of parole by a Board panel may be appealed to the Board provided one of the following criteria is met:

1. The Board panel failed to consider material facts or failed to document that clear and convincing evidence indicates that the parolee has seriously or persistently violated the conditions of parole;

2. The Board panel failed to demonstrate, in the case of parole revoked for other than new criminal convictions, that revocation of parole is desirable;

3. The Board panel's decision is contrary to written Board policy or procedure;

4. A Board member has failed to comply with the Board's professional code of conduct.

<u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-4.2 includes the following guidelines on appellate procedure:

1. All appeals submitted pursuant to <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-4.1 shall be filed in writing and within **90 days of written notice of action or decision being received** by the inmate and shall contain the reasons for the appeal and the criteria under which the appeal is submitted.

2. Appeals filed by inmates shall be considered by the Board panel, Board or Chairperson, as appropriate, within 90 days of the date the appeal was received.

3. The Chairperson or Board panel member shall notify the inmate in writing of the decision within 14 days of such decision. [emphasis added]

The Board panel, Board, or Chairperson, as appropriate, may affirm, modify, or reverse the decision being appealed, or may remand the case to the Board's staff, hearing officer, Board member, or Board panel for further consideration.

Please be cognizant of the following:

• Pursuant to <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-4.2(b), appeals may be accepted out of time only for good cause shown.

• Appeals are considered based on the written record and appeal. There is no oral argument before the full Board.

• If you are representing the parolee in the appeal, you may request the documents in the record by sending a written request to:

Records Custodian NJ State Parole Board 171 Jersey Street, Bldg. #1 P.O. Box 862 Trenton, New Jersey 08625 Fax at (609) 292-4493

You may need to file a request pursuant to the Open Public Records Act in order to obtain certain records outside the scope of ordinary discovery.

• If you wish to obtain a transcript of the parole violation hearing(s), you are required to submit a written request to the Parole Revocation Hearing Unit at:

New Jersey State Parole Board Parole Revocation Hearing Unit P.O. Box 862 Trenton, New Jersey 08625

You must include the name of the inmate, the inmate's prison number and/or State Bureau of Identification (SBI) number, and the date(s) of the hearing(s). Upon receipt of the request, you will be contacted by an agency representative regarding further procedures.

Appeal in the Appellate Division

An adverse decision by the Parole Board may be appealed to the Appellate Division and you should advise your client of this right. <u>Rule</u> 2:2-3(a); <u>Rule</u> 2:7-2(c). All administrative remedies must be exhausted prior to an appeal to the Appellate Division. <u>Rule</u> 2:2-3(a).

If your client seeks to appeal to the Appellate Division, you should file a notice of appeal. An appeal shall be taken within 45 days pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 2:4-1(b). If you seek to be relieved as counsel after filing the notice of appeal, you must file a motion with in the Appellate Division for that relief; otherwise, you will be deemed the attorney of record on the appeal.³

³ A *pro bono* attorney may also be assigned via the <u>Madden v. Delran</u> list to represent the defendant on an appeal of the Parole Board's decision to the Appellate Division (after the attorney handling the case up to that point has had his/her motion to be relieved of counsel granted). Consultation with the client and review of the proceedings below are of course necessary to enable proper Appellate representation in the matter.

The Supreme Court has ordered that electronic filing is mandatory in the Appellate Division for all attorneys for all appellate case types. If you file a motion to be relieved as counsel, you must serve your client in paper and provide proof of service to the Appellate Division. Your adversary (the Parole Board) must be served electronically through eCourts Appellate.

For instructions on this process, please see the NJ Courts homepage, <u>www.njcourts.gov</u>, and enter "Appellate Division" in the search bar. The Appellate Division webpage will be the first result. You may also click <u>here</u>. This webpage provides detailed instructions on how to file electronically. The Appellate Division's help desk telephone number is (609) 815-2950. For eFiling information, use extension 52590.

For appeals from Parole Board decisions, you may contact the Parole Board, which will provide an audio recording of the proceeding. To obtain the written transcripts you may order them electronically when filing your appeal or make a motion for transcripts at public expense in the Appellate Division. Please see <u>Rule</u> 2:5-3(d) and <u>Rule</u> 2:7-1 and their comments for further information on the cost of transcripts. You should also submit to the Appellate Division the hearing officer's summary report, any additional comments provided by counsel, and the Notice of Decision from the Board Panel and Notice of Decision from the Parole Board.

The Parole Board's decision regarding parole will not be disturbed unless "arbitrary, capricious, or unreasonable, or not supported by substantial credible evidence in the record as a whole." <u>In re Stallworth</u>, 208 <u>N.J.</u> 182, 194 (2011) (quoting <u>Henry v. Rahway State</u> <u>Prison</u>, 81 <u>N.J.</u> 571, 579 (1980)). <u>See Acoli v. New Jersey State Parole Bd.</u>, 224 <u>N.J.</u> 213, 216 (2016).

APPENDIX A

RESOURCES

New Jersey State Parole Handbook: http://www.state.nj.us/parole/docs/AdultParoleHandbook.pdf

New Jersey State Parole Reference Guide: http://www.state.nj.us/parole/docs/RefGuide.pdf

New Jersey State District Parole Offices & State Correctional Facilities: <u>http://www.state.nj.us/parole/locations.html</u>

Video Teleconferencing Program: https://www.state.nj.us/corrections/pages/VideoConference.html

New Jersey State Parole Board Community Programs: http://www.state.nj.us/corrections/SubSites/OCP/

New Jersey County Correctional Facilities: <u>http://www.njcjwa.org/jails.html</u>

New Jersey Parole Revocation Unit: http://www.state.nj.us/parole/revocation.html

Parole-related Documents: http://www.state.nj.us/parole/docs.html

APPENDIX B

THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS FOR A PAROLE REVOCATION HEARING IN NEW JERSEY

The United States Supreme Court has ruled that before a defendant's parole may be revoked, he or she must be accorded certain due process rights.

1. Morrissey v. Brewer, 408 U.S. 471, 92 S. Ct. 2593, 33 L. Ed. 2d 484 (1972).

In <u>Morrissey</u>, the Court held that since revocation of parole was not part of a criminal prosecution, the due process clause does not demand that a defendant be given the full panoply of rights. However, since the parolee is facing a loss of liberty, he or she is entitled to "some orderly process, however informal." <u>Id.</u> at 482. The Court decided that at a minimum a parolee must be given the following procedural protections. <u>Id.</u> at 485.

A. Preliminary Hearing. As promptly as convenient after the parolee is detained, he or she should be given a preliminary hearing "to determine whether there is probable cause or reasonable grounds to believe that the arrested parolee has committed acts that would constitute a violation of parole conditions." <u>Ibid.</u> The parolee shall be given notice of the hearing, explaining its purpose and stating what parole violation has been alleged. <u>Id.</u> at 487. At the hearing, the parolee shall have an opportunity to speak on his or her own behalf and present documents or witnesses. <u>Ibid.</u> Upon the parolee's request, he or she shall have the opportunity to hear the testimony of a person giving adverse information on which the parole revocation is based. <u>Ibid.</u> The hearing officer must be a person other than the supervising parole officer but need not be a judicial officer. <u>Id.</u> at 485-86. The hearing officer shall make a written summary of the hearing. <u>Id.</u> at 487.

B. Revocation Hearing. If the hearing officer determines at the preliminary hearing that there is probable cause to revoke parole, the parolee is entitled to another hearing prior to the final decision. <u>Id.</u> at 487-88. This revocation hearing must take place within a reasonable time after the parolee has been taken into custody, and "it must lead to a final evaluation of any contested relevant facts and consideration of whether the facts as determined warrant revocation". <u>Id.</u> at 488. The Court held that the minimum requirements of due process demanded that the revocation hearing included the following protections:

(a) [W]ritten notice of the claimed violations of parole; (b) disclosure to the parolee of evidence against him; (c) opportunity to be heard in person and to present witnesses and documentary evidence; (d) the right to confront and crossexamine adverse witnesses (unless the hearing officer specifically finds good cause for not allowing confrontation); (e) a "neutral and detached" hearing body such as a traditional parole board, members of which need not be judicial officers or lawyers; and (f) a written statement by the factfinders as to the evidence relied on and reasons for revoking parole. We emphasize there is no thought to equate this second stage of parole revocation to a criminal prosecution in any sense. It is a narrow inquiry; the process should be flexible enough to consider evidence including letters, affidavits, and other material that would not be admissible in an adversary criminal trial.

[<u>Id.</u> at 489.]

The Court explicitly did not reach the question of whether a parolee was entitled to the assistance of retained counsel or, if the parolee was indigent, appointed counsel. <u>Ibid.</u>

2. <u>Gagnon v. Scarpelli</u>, 411 <u>U.S.</u> 778, 93 <u>S. Ct.</u> 1756, 36 <u>L. Ed.</u> 2d 656 (1973).

Within a year of its decision in <u>Morrissey</u>, the Court returned in <u>Gagnon</u> to the question of whether a parolee or probationer has a right to counsel at a revocation of probation or parole hearing. It held that the right to assigned counsel in such proceedings must be determined on a case-by-case basis in the exercise of sound discretion by the state agency charged with responsibility for administering the parole and probation system. <u>Id.</u> at 790. The Court stated that, in general, counsel should be assigned where the defendant requests counsel and asserts a colorable claim that he or she has not committed the alleged violation or that there are substantial mitigating circumstances that make revocation inappropriate. <u>Ibid.</u> Especially in doubtful cases, the state agency should consider the ability of the defendant to speak effectively on his or her own behalf. <u>Id.</u> at 790-91.

3. Bolyard v. Berman, 274 N.J. Super. 565 (App. Div. 1994).

The Public Defender Act requires the Office of the Public Defender (Public Defender) to provide legal representation to indigent parolees charged with violations of parole. <u>Id.</u> at 569. Nonetheless, in 1991 the Legislature declined to appropriate money to

fund the legal representation of parolees before the Parole Board. <u>Ibid.</u> In response, the Public Defender announced that it would no longer be able to provide representation to parolees charged with violations of parole. <u>Id.</u> at 570. To provide the assigned counsel required by <u>Gagnon</u>, the Parole Board developed a system whereby parolees entitled to counsel would be provided private attorneys assigned from the <u>Madden</u> list. <u>Id.</u> at 572.

In <u>Bolyard</u>, plaintiffs challenged the system of assigning members of the private bar to represent parolees, arguing that <u>Gagnon</u> required the Legislature to restore funds to the Public Defender to perform this responsibility. <u>Id.</u> at 568. Judge Stephen Skillman, writing for the Appellate Division, rejected this challenge by holding that the system of assigning private counsel to defendant parolees at parole revocation hearings was constitutionally adequate. <u>Id.</u> at 585.

APPENDIX C

THE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS THAT GOVERN THE HEARING PROCESS IN NEW JERSEY

1. Preliminary/Probable Cause Hearing—<u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.62; <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-7.4 to 7.10

A parolee who has "seriously or persistently violated the conditions of his parole" or who has been convicted of a crime while on release may have his parole revoked. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.60; <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.60(c). <u>Hobson v. New Jersey State Parole Board</u>, 435 <u>N.J. Super</u>. 377 (App. Div. 2014).

A parolee who has been taken into custody for an alleged violation of parole shall have a preliminary hearing within 14 days, unless a postponement is requested. That postponement must not exceed 14 days. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.62 (b); <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-7.4 to - 7.5. The purpose of the preliminary hearing is to determine whether there is probable cause to believe the parolee has violated the conditions of parole and should be returned to custody. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.62(c); <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-7.4. A preliminary hearing may be conducted by videoconference. <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-7.5(b). The hearing officer shall be an impartial official who is not directly involved in supervising the parolee or otherwise involved in the parolee's case. <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-7.6(b).

Before the hearing, the parolee is to be provided with written notice of the hearing and the circumstances of the alleged violation. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.62(d); <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-7.7. At the hearing, the parolee has a right to retain counsel or counsel may be appointed from the *pro bono* assignment program when the parolee makes a colorable claim that he or she did not commit the violation or that there are substantial mitigating factors. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.62(d); <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-7.7(c) (2). The parolee also has the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses, to remain silent, to testify on his or her own behalf, and to present documentary evidence. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.62(d); <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-7.7(c). The hearing officer is to make a summary of the record and forward it to the parolee and the parolee's attorney. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.62(e); <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-7.10.

If the evidence at the preliminary hearing does not establish probable cause to believe that the parolee violated a condition of parole or does not establish that revocation is desirable, the parolee may then be released. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.62(f). If the evidence presented at the preliminary hearing does establish probable cause to believe the parolee violated a condition of parole, the hearing officer shall determine whether the parolee should be retained in custody. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.62(g).

2. Revocation Hearing—<u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.63; <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-7.12 to 7.18

If a parolee is retained in custody after the preliminary hearing, then a revocation hearing shall be conducted within 60 days of the date the parolee was taken into custody unless a postponement, which must not exceed 120 days, is requested. N.J.S.A. 30:4-123.63(a); N.J.A.C. 10A:71-7.12 to -7.13. The parolee shall be given written notice of the hearing. N.J.S.A. 30:4-123.63(b); N.J.A.C.10A:71-7.14. At the hearing, the parolee has the right to representation by an attorney or other person the parolee chooses, or, if the parolee is indigent, the right to an attorney appointed under the *pro bono* assignment program, if the parolee makes a colorable claim that he or she did not commit the violation or that there are substantial mitigating factors. N.J.S.A. 30:4-123.63(b); N.J.A.C. 10A:71-7.14. The parolee also has the right to: (1) remain silent; (2) confront and cross-examine witnesses; (3) rebut documentary evidence; (4) testify; (5) present evidence; and (6) subpoena witnesses (providing a prima facie showing is made that witness will provide material testimony). N.J.S.A. 30:4-123.63(b); N.J.A.C. 10A:71-7.14. The hearing officer shall record the revocation hearing by an electronic recording device and prepare a written summary of the hearing and the reasons for the officer's opinion. N.J.S.A. 30:4-123.63(c); N.J.A.C. 10A:71-7.16. The parolee or his or her attorney may object to or comment on the hearing summary by submitting written exceptions. N.J.A.C. 10A:71-7.16(b) (2).

At the hearing, the Board must prove by clear and convincing evidence that the parolee "has seriously or persistently violated the conditions of parole," <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.60(b); <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.63(d) or that the person was convicted of a crime while released, <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.60(c); <u>Hobson v. New Jersey State Parole Board</u>, <u>supra</u>, 435 <u>N.J. Super.</u> at 382. In <u>Hobson</u>, the Appellate Division held that testimony that the parolee possessed a green vegetative substance that was packaged as CDS was not clear and convincing evidence that the parolee had violated a parole condition that he refrain from using CDS. <u>Id.</u> at <u>389</u>. Further, the Appellate Division held that the parolee's admission that he had drank two shots of rum did not demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence

that the parolee had "seriously or persistently" violated a special condition that he not drink alcohol. <u>Id.</u> at 390. The Appellate Division, therefore, reversed and vacated the Board's revocation of the parolee's release status. <u>Id.</u> at 390-91.

If the hearing officer finds by clear and convincing evidence that the parolee has violated parole and that it is desirable to return the parolee to custody, the Board panel may revoke parole and return the parolee to custody. <u>Hobson v. New Jersey State Parole Board</u>, <u>supra</u>, 435 <u>N.J. Super.</u> at 382. In the alternative, the Board panel may issue an order continuing or modifying parole and releasing the parolee. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.63(d).

Within 21 days after the hearing, the parolee shall be informed in writing of the decision, the reasons for it, and the facts on which it was based. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.63(e); <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 10A:71-7.18.

APPENDIX D

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS FROM PAROLEES/ATTORNEYS REGARDING THE PAROLE REVOCATION PROCESS

What happens if I do not follow the conditions of parole?

When you are paroled, you must sign a parole certificate. By doing so, you promise to comply with the conditions of parole. Your parole officer's job is to help you and make sure you follow these conditions. If you fail to comply with the conditions of parole, your parole officer may elect to counsel you and keep working with you. However, if your non-compliance with the condition of parole is serious or persistent, the parole officer may decide that the revocation process should be started.

What if I am charged with a new offense while I am on parole?

You must contact your parole officer. Your parole cannot be revoked just because you have been arrested and charged with a new offense except as described in the question below. You can make bail or be released on your own recognizance; however, you must tell your parole officer. It is unwise not to report to your parole officer because of a new arrest or charges. You will be subject to parole revocation action if you stop reporting. Further, the failure to notify your parole officer of your arrest or being served with a summons or complaint, accepting any pre-trial release, or failure to report to your parole officer all constitute violations of parole.

When can my parole be revoked because of pending criminal charges?

Unless a request is received from the prosecutor or the Director of the Division of Parole to start the revocation hearing process, the Board cannot revoke your parole before the pending criminal charges are disposed of in court. If a request is received, a Board panel will decide if a warrant will be issued to detain you and whether you will receive a revocation hearing before disposition of the pending criminal charges. If it is determined that a revocation hearing should be conducted, a warrant will be issued for your arrest. Upon your arrest, you will have a preliminary/probable cause hearing. If probable cause is found, you will have a revocation hearing. At the revocation hearing, there must be "clear and convincing evidence" that you committed a crime before your parole can be revoked.

There are separate general conditions of parole that require you to refrain from owning or possessing a firearm or weapon and to refrain from the use, possession, or distribution of a controlled dangerous substance, controlled substance analog, or imitation dangerous controlled substance. A formal request from a prosecutor or the Director of the Division of Parole is not required to be submitted (and approved by a Board panel) prior to the revocation hearing process being started for an alleged violation of such a condition of parole.

When can my parole officer issue a warrant for my arrest?

The Board will authorize the issuance of a warrant if it decides to act on a request for accelerated revocation (see above question). Also, your parole officer can ask a designated representative of the Chairman of the Board to issue a warrant if he has probable cause to believe that you have seriously or persistently violated the conditions of your parole and if: (a) the evidence indicates that you may not appear at a probable cause hearing or (b) if you pose a danger to the public safety.

Your parole officer may issue a Division of Parole warrant for your arrest if: (a) your parole officer has probable cause to believe that you have committed a crime, are about to commit a crime or are about to flee the jurisdiction; (b) the violation is a basis for return to custody; and (c) the situation is one of immediate emergency that cannot await the issuance of a warrant by a designated representative. In such a case, it is likely that the Director of the Division of Parole will submit a request to initiate the revocation hearing process to a Board panel.

What happens if I am arrested on a parole warrant?

If you are arrested on a parole warrant, a probable cause hearing should be scheduled within 14 days of your arrest unless a Board panel approves an extension to 28 days. Once you are in custody under a parole warrant, you cannot be released on bail.

What if I am convicted of a new crime?

If you are convicted of a crime committed while on parole (by either pleading guilty or being found guilty) you will have a revocation hearing. There will be no probable cause hearing.

If you receive a suspended sentence or time served for the commission of a new crime, your parole officer can permit you to remain in the community pending the revocation hearing. The hearing can be held in the District Parole Office or some other convenient location in the community. If you receive a new custodial sentence, the hearing will be conducted where you are being held in custody.

What is the purpose of the revocation hearing?

If you have not been convicted of a crime, the purpose of the hearing is to determine if you have violated any condition of parole. A hearing officer who is an employee of the Board conducts the revocation hearing. The hearing officer will evaluate the evidence introduced at the hearing and determine if you have violated your parole. At the hearing, you will have the opportunity to contest (deny) the alleged violation(s) and present evidence on your own behalf. If you admit to the alleged violation(s), you can still offer any mitigating evidence or explanation that you want the Board panel (through the hearing officer) to consider.

If you are convicted of a crime committed while on parole, there is a presumption that you have violated your parole. In this case, the hearing will give you a chance to explain your case and tell the Board panel (through the hearing officer) anything you think the Board panel should know when it decides your case. If you can prove that there is good cause why your parole should not be revoked, this is your opportunity to do so.

When will the revocation hearing be conducted?

The revocation hearing will usually be conducted within 60 days of your arrest on the parole warrant or the date of sentencing for a crime committed while on parole. If you are out of state serving a custodial term for the commission of a crime in the state of confinement, you will receive a hearing upon your return to this state on a parole warrant.

Will I always have a preliminary/probable cause hearing and a revocation hearing?

It is possible for the probable cause hearing to be converted to a revocation hearing. Such conversion may only occur on the scheduled hearing date with your consent and that of all interested parties, including the hearing officer. In such a case, only one hearing, a revocation hearing, will be conducted.

If you elect to proceed with a probable cause hearing, the hearing officer will need to determine whether a revocation hearing will be conducted in your case.

Will I receive notice that a probable cause hearing or revocation hearing will be conducted?

Yes. You will receive written notice of the time, date, and location of the hearing. The notice will state the alleged violations of the parole conditions to be reviewed; the date, place and circumstances of the alleged violations; names of witness(es) scheduled to appear at the hearing; and your rights at the hearing.

What happens after a revocation hearing?

After the revocation hearing, the hearing officer prepares and submits a written report to a Board panel. A copy is sent to your attorney or directly to you if you were not represented by an attorney. You must send any objections or additions to the report within seven days. A decision on your parole will be made within 21 days of your revocation hearing. The hearing officer is also authorized to determine whether you may be released from confinement pending review of your case by the appropriate Board panel.

What can the Board panel do to me after my revocation hearing?

After considering the evidence presented at your hearing, the Board panel will decide whether your parole should be revoked. If parole is revoked, you either will receive a new parole eligibility term or be directed to serve the remainder of your sentence. Further, in cases where only certain conditions of parole were violated, the Board panel may revoke your parole and establish a new parole release date. The Board panel may decide not to revoke your parole, but because of the facts presented at your hearing, may decide to change the conditions of your parole and release you from custody. The Board panel may also decide to continue you on parole without changing your parole conditions. If your parole is not revoked, the Board panel may also direct that you lose all or a part of the commutation credits applied on your sentence.

A decision to revoke your parole status can, of course, be appealed in writing to the Board. A decision of the full Board may be appealed to the Appellate Division. <u>See infra</u>, pp. 20-21.

Is the revocation process the same for sex offenders?

If you were paroled under the Sex Offender Act and the revocation process is implemented, you will receive a probable cause hearing, and, if appropriate, a revocation hearing. Also, if a revocation hearing is conducted, the staff at the Adult Diagnostic and Treatment Center will prepare an evaluation report on your case that the Board panel will consider when making its decision. For further information about this process, contact the Board.

Do I lose my "street time" if my parole is revoked?

You will not lose any credit for time served on parole provided a parole warrant is not issued for your arrest. If a warrant is issued, you will lose the time between the date the warrant was issued and the date you were placed in custody as a parole violator. This time period will be added to your maximum date. This adjustment to your maximum date will occur if probable cause is found that you violated your parole or if you are found to be in violation of a condition(s) of your parole. A final decision to actually revoke your parole need not be made for the adjustment in your maximum date to occur.

Additional information that addresses the frequently asked questions above may be found at <u>www.state.nj.us/parole</u>.

My client is incarcerated. How do I arrange to speak with him or her and how do I get documents reviewed and signed?

New Jersey has three (3) types of facilities: federal prisons, state prisons and county jails. You will need to call the facility and ask for the Warden's Office. For county jails, the Sheriff's Department may also be of help in arranging visits or calls. There will be someone assigned in the administration to assist in communication with prisoners. Depending on the type of facility, you may be able to arrange to have phone or video-conferences with your client. Make sure you are on time for scheduled calls, as the facilities cannot accommodate late starts or time overruns.

The facility will give you an address at which to send documents to your client. You will want to ask for your client's identification number and use that on all written communication. Be prepared for delays as mail goes through inspection and may be delayed in getting to the inmate.

For court appearances, provide the facility information to your judge's staff or the Surrogate's Office. They will be able to arrange for your client to appear by video-conference for most appearances.

Other helpful information may be found at:

www.state.nj.us/corrections

http://www.state.nj.us/corrections/pages/InmateTelephoneSystemInfo.html

http://www.njcjwa.org/jails.html

APPENDIX E

RELEVANT CASES AND SUMMARY OF RECENT CASE LAW RELEVANT TO PAROLE REVOCATION

Listed below is a bibliography of the court cases that reference supervision/revocation matters:

Morrisey v. Brewer 408 U.S. 471 (1972)

Gagnon v. Scarpelli 411 U.S. 778 (1971)

Bolyard v. Berman 274 N.J. Super. 565 (App. Div. 1994)

Hickman v NJ SPB, A-0478-10T2 (App. Div. 2012) (unpublished)

Hobson v. NJ SPB, 433 N.J. Super. 377 (App. Div. 2014)

McPeak v. NJ SPB, A-3047-12T3 (App. Div. 2014) (unpublished)

McQueen v. NJ SPB, A-5427-13T1 (App. Div. 2015) (unpublished)

Acoli v. N.J. State Parole Bd., 224 N.J. 213 (2016)

J.I. v. N.J. State Parole Board, 228 N.J. 204 (2017)*

Packingham v. North Carolina, 137 S. Ct. 1730 (2017)*

* Please note that these two cases - <u>J.I. v. N.J. State Parole Board</u> and <u>Packingham v. North</u> <u>Carolina</u> - do not apply to the parole revocation hearing process and have been incorrectly cited by counsel in revocation hearings. <u>J.I.</u> and <u>Packingham</u> may be referenced when an offender contests the imposition of a special condition of supervision, which is a separate process independent of revocation proceedings.

SUMMARY OF RECENT CASE LAW

1. <u>Hickman v. New Jersey State Parole Board</u>, A-0478-10T2 (App. Div. 2012)

Gregory Hickman's parole was revoked after a two-member panel of the Parole Board determined that Hickman violated his parole by, among other things, possessing a controlled dangerous substance (CDS). At the revocation hearing, an officer testified that he witnessed someone, who he later identified as Hickman, exit his vehicle and throw a bag into a trash can. The officer testified that, upon retrieving the bag, he observed what he "suspected" to be a CDS inside the bag.

However, the Appellate Division vacated the possession of CDS violation. The Court held that the violation could not be sustained without clear and convincing evidence that the substance in the bag actually was a CDS. According to the Court, the officer's mere suspicion was insufficient to make such a finding.

2. <u>Hobson v. New Jersey State Parole Board</u>, 433 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 377 (App. Div. 2014)

Basim Hobson's parole was revoked after allegedly consuming alcohol and possessing a CDS. At Hobson's parole revocation hearing, officers testified that, during an encounter they had with Hobson in Plainfield, NJ, they discovered him to be in possession of a "green vegetative substance" that they suspected to be marijuana.

However, other than the officers' suspicion, no evidence was offered at the revocation hearing to establish that the "green vegetative substance" was actually marijuana. Accordingly, the Appellate Division reversed the Parole Board's finding that Hobson violated his parole by possessing a CDS because there was no clear and convincing evidence that the "green vegetative substance" was actually marijuana.

3. McPeak v. New Jersey State Parole Board, A-3047-12T3 (App. Div. 2014)

Michael McPeak was on parole supervision for life (PSL) after pleading guilty to sexual assault. Several years after becoming subject to PSL, McPeak was alleged to have violated several conditions of his parole. McPeak confessed to a lot of the prohibited conduct during an interview with a parole officer at the parole division office in Trenton, NJ. Consequently, after a hearing, McPeak's PSL was revoked.

McPeak argued that the parole officers violated his Fifth Amendment rights when they questioned him concerning the parole violations because he was not informed of his <u>Miranda</u> rights while he was subject to what he claimed was a custodial interrogation. However, the Appellate Division held that <u>Miranda</u> warnings were not necessary because McPeak was not in custody when he was being questioned by the parole officers.

4. McQueen v. New Jersey State Parole Board, A-5427-13Tl (App. Div. 2014)

Elijah McQueen was serving a mandatory five-year period of parole supervision after pleading guilty to robbery and unlawful possession of a firearm. The New Jersey State Parole Board found that McQueen violated his parole after he was arrested on charges of possession of heroin with the intent to distribute near a school zone. The criminal charges related to this conduct were downgraded and then dismissed. On appeal, McQueen argued that the Parole Board gave insufficient weight to the downgrade and dismissal of his charges. McQueen argued that the dismissal of the charges indicated that there was not clear and convincing evidence that he committed the charged conduct. However, noting that the "clear and convincing evidence" standard in parole revocation hearings is a lower burden of proof than the "beyond a reasonable doubt" standard in criminal prosecutions, the Appellate Division held that there was sufficient evidence to revoke McQueen's parole.

5. J.I. v. New Jersey State Parole Board, 228 N.J. 204 (2017)

In this case, the Supreme Court of New Jersey considered two issues: (1) whether a total internet ban imposed on a community supervision for life offender is so overbroad and oppressive that it serves no rational penological purpose and (2) whether the New Jersey State Parole Board improperly denied the offender a hearing to challenge the Internet restrictions that he claims were arbitrarily imposed.

The Court held that arbitrarily imposed Internet restrictions that are not tethered to promoting public safety, reducing recidivism, or fostering an offender's reintegration into society are inconsistent with the administrative regime governing community supervision for life regime.

6. Packingham v. North Carolina, 137 S. Ct. 1730 (2017)

A North Carolina Statute made it a felony for a registered sex offender "to access a commercial social networking Web site where the sex offender knows that the site permits minor children to become members or to create or maintain personal Web pages." The Supreme Court of the United States held that the statute impermissibly restricts lawful speech in violation of the First Amendment.

APPENDIX F

ł

vs.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY Plaintiff

John Doe PN 0000 Defendant Parolee

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY MERCER COUNTY LAW DIVISION

ASSIGNMENT OF COUNSEL

Upon application of the above-named defendant/parolee for representation at his/her parole revocation hearing, and after investigation by the New Jersey State Parole Board and Criminal Division, Mercer Vicinage, it has been determined that the defendant/parolee is substantively entitled to counsel, and is indigent.

IT IS on this	day of	, 2018, ORDERED that the	
above defendant/ parolee be granted counsel as an indigent and			
	James Smith, Esq. 123 Any Street Nowhere, NJ 00000		
	EIX X SE		

is hereby assigned to represent the above defendant/parolee.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be served upon Lt.

John Smith, District Parole Supervisor, District Office #6, P.O. Box 865, Trenton, N.J. 08625 and Jane Smth,, Hearing Officer IV, Revocation Unit, N.J. State Parole Board, P.O. Box 862, Trenton, NJ 08625.

Mary C. Jacobson, A.J.S.C.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY MERCER VICINAGE

Sue Regan Trial Court Administrator Janet Vanfossen Criminal Division Manager



Phone: (609) 571-4075 Fax: (609) 571-4150

Mercer County Courthouse P.O. Box 8068 Trenton, NJ 08650-0068

January 17, 2018

Mr. John Doe 123 Any Street Any Town, NJ 00000

Re: John Smith, PN 000000; SBI# 00000

Dear Mr. Doe, Esq.

Your, name has been provided to this office from the Mercer County Pro Bono Assignment List. Enclosed please find an Assignment of Counsel Order signed by the Honorable Mary C. Jacobson, A.J.S.C., assigning you to represent the above-noted defendant/parolee in his <u>Probable Cause Hearing</u> which will be scheduled by the New Jersey State Parole Board. Also, enclosed is a copy of the cover letter sent to the New Jersey State Parole Board and the defendant's 5A application.

If you have any questions regarding the Revocation Hearing, please contact **Ms Smith,** Revocation Unit, at the New Jersey State Parole Board, P.O. Box 862, Revocation Unit 171 Jersey Street, Trenton, NJ 08625 at (609) 633-6404.

Very truly yours,

Mercer Vicinage Criminal Records Department Pro Bono Assignment System

Enclosures

C. Lt. Alexander J. Falbo, District Parole Supervisor, Gregory Embley Hearing officer IV, Chief Independence * Integrity * Fairness * Customer Service



State of New Jersey NEW JERSEY STATE PAROLE BOARD P.O. BOX 862 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625 TELEPHONE NUMBER: (609) 292-4257

Re: P#, SBI#

Dear

:

You have been appointed by the Superior Court of New Jersey to represent the above-named offender in the parole revocation hearing process. Enclosed please find discovery material in this matter. Pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 30:4-123.62(b), a preliminary hearing must be scheduled as soon as possible.

As it is likely that your client will remain in custody pending this hearing, you must contact this office **<u>immediately</u>** in order to confirm your appearance and to schedule the preliminary hearing. Once you contact this office confirming your appearance and the hearing is scheduled, a confirmation e-mail will be sent to you. Failure to immediately contact this office may result in a delay of the hearing and the matter may be referred to the Assignment Judge for further action.

Be advised that when contact is made, staff will discuss with you options concerning where you may appear for the hearing. Your appearance via videoteleconference at either the State Parole Board Central Office located in Trenton, NJ or at one of the State Parole Board's District Parole Offices located throughout the State may be such an option. If such arrangements can be made, then you will not have to travel to your client's current custodial facility in order to participate in the hearing.

It is requested that you please contact at or to schedule this hearing. If she is not available please contact me at or .

Sincerely,

Enclosure

c: , SBI # CO file