AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - UPON LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER (NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF BODILY INJURY WITH A DEADLY WEAPON)

 $(N.J.S.A. 2C:12-1b(5)(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g)^{1}$

Count ___ of this indictment charges the defendant with aggravated assault. (Read appropriate count of indictment).

The defendant is accused of violating a law that provides in pertinent part:

A person is guilty of aggravated assault if he . . . (n)egligently causes bodily injury to . . . with a deadly weapon . . . to . . . (a)ny law enforcement officer acting in the performance of (his/her) duties while in uniform or exhibiting evidence of (his/her) authority or because of (his/her) status as a law enforcement officer.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- that the defendant negligently caused bodily injury (insert name of victim);
- 2. that the defendant caused bodily injury with a deadly weapon;
- 3. that (insert name of victim) was a law-enforcement officer; and
- 4a. that the defendant knew that (**insert name of victim**) was a law-enforcement officer² acting in the performance of (his/her) duties or while in uniform or exhibiting evidence of (his/her) authority;³ or
- 4b. that the defendant knew that (insert name of victim) was a law-

This charge is drafted for the most common situation, where a defendant is charged with aggravated assault upon a law enforcement officer under N.J.S.A. 2C:12-1b(5)(a). Other sections of the statute apply, with differing language, to aggravated assault upon paid and volunteer firemen; emergency first-aid and medical personnel; school board members, school administrators, teachers and other employees of a school board; employees of the Division of Youth and Family Services; the judiciary; and bus drivers and railroad employees. N.J.S.A. 2C:12-1b(5)(b) to (g). As always, the Model Charge must be adapted to fit the facts of each case.

State v. Green, 318 N.J. Super. 361, 376 (App. Div. 1999), aff'd o.b., 163 N.J. 140 (2000) (the defendant must know that the victim is a law-enforcement officer).

If transferred intent is an issue, the charge should be modified accordingly. <u>State in the Interest of S.B.</u>, 333 <u>N.J. Super</u>. 236, 243 (App. Div. 2000).

AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - UPON LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER (NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF BODILY

INJURY WITH A DEADLY WEAPON)

N.J.S.A. 2C:12-1b(5)(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g)

enforcement officer⁴ and purposely committed the act against (him/her) because of (him/her) status as a law-enforcement officer.

The first element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the defendant negligently caused bodily injury to (insert name of victim).

Bodily injury means physical pain, illness or any impairment of physical condition.⁵

A person acts negligently with respect to a material element of an offense, such as the infliction of bodily injury, when he/she should be aware of a substantial and unjustifiable risk that the material element exists or will result from his/her conduct. The risk must be of such a nature and degree that the actor's failure to perceive it, considering the nature and purpose of the actor's conduct and the circumstances known to the actor, involves a gross deviation from the standard of conduct that a reasonable person would observe in the actor's situation.

Negligence is a condition of the mind that cannot be seen and that can often be determined only from inferences from conduct, words or acts. It is not necessary for the State to produce a witness to testify that the defendant stated that he/she acted with a particular state of mind. It is within your power to find that proof of negligence has been furnished beyond a reasonable doubt by inferences that may arise from the nature of the acts and circumstances surrounding the conduct in question.

For you to find that the defendant caused bodily injury to (**insert name of victim**), the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that he/she would not have been injured but for the defendant's conduct.⁶

The second element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the defendant used a deadly weapon to cause bodily injury to (**insert name of victim**).

A deadly weapon is any firearm or other weapon, device, instrument, material or substance, whether animate or inanimate, which in the manner it is used or is intended to be used, is known to be capable of producing death or serious bodily injury, or which in the manner it is fashioned would lead the victim reasonably to believe it to be capable of producing death or

State v. Green, supra.

⁵ N.J.S.A. 2C:11-1a.

⁶ <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:2-3a(1). If causation is contested, a fuller explanation of causation may be needed. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:2-3.

AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - UPON LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER (NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF BODILY

INJURY WITH A DEADLY WEAPON)

 $\overline{\text{N.J.S.A.}}$ 2C:12-1b(5)(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g)

serious bodily injury.⁷

The third element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that (**insert** name of victim) was a law-enforcement officer.

A law-enforcement officer is any person who is employed as a permanent full-time member of any State, county or municipal law-enforcement agency, department or division of those governments and who is statutorily empowered to act for the detection, investigation, arrest, conviction, detention or rehabilitation of persons violating the criminal laws of this state.⁸

The fourth element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is:

- a. that the defendant knew that (**insert name of victim**) was a law-enforcement officer acting in the performance of (his/her) duties or while in uniform or exhibiting evidence of (his/her) authority; or
- b. that the defendant knew that (**insert name of victim**) was a law-enforcement officer and purposely committed the act against (him/her) because of (his/her) status as a law-enforcement officer.

A person acts purposely with respect to the nature of his/her conduct or a result thereof if it is a person's conscious object to engage in conduct of that nature or to cause such a result. A person acts purposely with respect to attendant circumstances if a person is aware of the existence of such circumstances or a person believes or hopes that they exist. One can be deemed to be acting purposely if one acts with design, with a purpose, with a particular object, if one really means to do what he/she does.⁹

A person acts knowingly with respect to the nature of his/her conduct or the attendant circumstances if a person is aware that his/her conduct is of that nature, or that such circumstances exist or a person is aware of a high probability of their existence. A person acts knowingly with respect to a result of his/her conduct if a person is aware that it is practically certain that his/her conduct will cause such a result. One is said to act knowingly if one acts with knowledge, if one acts consciously, if he/she comprehends his/her acts. ¹⁰

⁸ N.J.S.A. 40A:14-152.2.

⁷ N.J.S.A. 2C:11-1c.

^{9 &}lt;u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:2-2b(1).

¹⁰ N.J.S.A. 2C:2-2b(2).

AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - UPON LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER (NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF BODILY **INJURY WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**)

N.J.S.A. 2C:12-1b(5)(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g)

Like negligence, purpose and knowledge are conditions of the mind that cannot be seen and that can often be determined only from inferences from conduct, words or acts.

If you find that the State has proven every element beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty. If, however, the State has failed to prove any element beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find him/her not guilty.

(Where appropriate charge simple assault as a lesser offense.) 11

¹¹ N.J.S.A. 2C:12-1a.