August 13, 2025

HON. BRUCE J. KAPLAN, P.J.Cv.

IN RE: FOSAMAX® LITIGATION

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

CASE NO. 282

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER (Docket Control Order)

THIS MATTER, having come before the Court and upon agreement of the Parties and for good cause having been shown:

IT IS on this 13th day of August , 2025, **ORDERED** as follows:

Applicability of Order

- 1. This Case Management Order ("CMO") applies to all Plaintiffs alleging personal injury (and related) claims against Defendants Merck & Co., Inc., Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC, ¹ Organon & Co., and/or Organon LLC ("Merck" or "Defendants") in this Multicounty Litigation ("MCL") who have cases pending against Merck as of the date of this CMO and who have not provided to Merck either an executed Release or a Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice by November 30, 2025, i.e., within 120 days of execution of the parties' Master Settlement Agreement ("Litigating Plaintiffs").
- 2. Litigating Plaintiffs who represent themselves *pro se* shall be bound by the requirements of this CMO and shall fully comply with all obligations required of counsel by this CMO, unless otherwise stated.

1

¹ Defendant Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. has merged with and is now known as Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC.

Requirements to Produce Specified Information

- 3. Pursuant to this Docket Control Order, Litigating Plaintiffs shall serve the following documents and/or information on Merck:
 - a. <u>Plaintiff Profile Form ("PPF")</u>: If not already completed, executed, and served, the Litigating Plaintiff must comply with the Order dated April 8, 2009, which requires the completion and execution of a PPF, including the completion of medical record and related authorizations attached as exhibits thereto.
 - b. Proof of Use of Fosamax: If not already completed, executed, and served, the Litigating Plaintiff must comply with all requirements of Case Management Order dated August 10, 2022, and any subsequent amendments such as Case Management Order dated January 13, 2023, including but not limited to producing: (i) "documentary or physical evidence, including but not limited to pharmacy records, medical insurance records and medical records from Plaintiff's prescriber(s) and/or treating providers that confirm Plaintiff's use of Fosamax prior to the date of femur fracture as specified in Plaintiff's fact sheet, unless such evidence of Fosamax use is clearly self-reported by the Plaintiff or a member of Plaintiff's family" as that term is defined by Proof of Use CMO evidencing the Litigating Plaintiff's usage of Fosamax® and/or Alendronate or (ii) where no such "documentary/objective evidence" exists, a certification that complies with the requirements of paragraph 2 of the January 13, 2023 CMO.
 - c. <u>Proof of an Atypical Femur Fracture Injury</u>: The Litigating Plaintiff must provide proof that their alleged injury can qualify as an "Atypical Femur Fracture" ("AFF") as that phrase is defined by the Second Report of the Task Force of the American

Society for Bone and Mineral Research ("ASBMR") on Atypical Femur Fractures.² Such proof of an AFF requires either the production of radiology sufficient to evaluate the fracture vis-à-vis the ASBMR's specific radiographic features, or else the production of medical records or other evidence sufficient to enable the fracture to qualify as an AFF.

d. Theory for Proximate Causation for Post-January 2011 Label Change Injuries: All Litigating Plaintiffs asserting injuries that occurred after January 31, 2011 must provide an explanation of their legal theory for proximate causation in light of the fact that their injury occurred after the January 2011 change to the Fosamax label.

Deadline to Comply

- 4. The time period between execution of the parties' Master Settlement Agreement and 120 days shall be referred to herein as the "Opt-In Period, which shall run from July 28, 2025 through and including November 30, 2025."
- 5. The items required by Paragraph 3 above shall be produced no later than December 30, 2025, i.e., 30 days after the conclusion of the Opt-In Period, except that for a Litigating Plaintiff for whom new counsel enters an appearance, the items required by Paragraph 3 above shall be produced no later than January 29, 2026, i.e., 60 days after the conclusion of the Opt-In Period. Litigating Plaintiffs may make an application to the Court for an extension of the production deadline before the deadline expires but must demonstrate good cause why the deadline should be extended.

² See Shane E, Burr D, Abrahamsen B, Adler RA, Brown TD, Cheung AM, Cosman F, Curtis JR, Dell R, Dempster DW, Ebeling PR, Einhorn TA, Genant HK, Geusens P, Klaushofer K, Lane JM, McKiernan F, McKinney R, Ng A, Nieves J, O'Keefe R, Papapoulos S, Howe TS, van der Meulen MC, Weinstein RS, Whyte MP. Atypical subtrochanteric and diaphyseal femoral fractures: second report of a task force of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. J Bone Miner Res. 2014 Jan;29(1):1-23.

Failure to Comply

6. Should any Litigating Plaintiff fail to comply with the applicable deadline for

compliance set forth in Paragraph 5 above, or should Merck deem a Litigating Plaintiff's attempted

compliance with this Order as deficient, Merck may file a motion to dismiss pursuant to 4:23-

2(b)(3). Before a motion to dismiss is filed, Merck shall notify the Litigating Plaintiff in writing

of their failure to comply with Paragraphs 3 and 5, setting forth any alleged deficiencies, and

provide 14 days for the Litigating Plaintiff to cure the deficiencies. Litigating Plaintiff, either

through counsel or, if applicable, pro se, shall respond to the motion within fourteen (14) days. If

no response is filed within 14 days, the Court shall dismiss the Litigating Plaintiff's case with

prejudice. If a response is filed within 14 days, Merck shall have 7 days to file a reply, and the

Court shall rule on the motion after the completion of all briefing.

Expert Reports

7. At an appropriate time to be determined by the Court following completion of case

specific factual discovery in any non-settling case, expert report(s) will be required.

It is so **ORDERED**.

151 Bruce J. Kaplan

The Honorable Bruce J. Kaplan, P.J. Cv.

4