IN RE:

: SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

: LAW DIVISON: BERGEN COUNTY

MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY

LITIGATION

CASE NO. 297

This Document Relates to All Actions

CIVIL ACTION

FILED

OCT, 11 2018

RAGHELLE L. HARZ

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 57

Dismissal of Claims for Failure to Appear and Participate in the Litigation

The Parties have advised the Court that they have entered into a Confidential Master Settlement Agreement ("MSA"). Although the terms of the settlement are confidential, the MSA seeks to include all plaintiffs, including derivative claimants, who have asserted claims against one or more of the following Defendants: Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., Bayer Oy and Bayer Pharma AG ("Bayer Defendants"), including those claims asserted in *In Re: Mirena Litigation*, Multi-County Litigation Case No. 297 pending in the Bergen County Law Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey ("MCL"). The deadline to participate in the MSA expired on June 29, 2018. Subsequently, this Court has been advised that various individual counsel for Plaintiffs have advised Co-Lead Counsel for the Plaintiffs that approximately a few hundred Plaintiffs have failed to respond to their individual counsel regarding whether or not they intended to participate in the MSA. This Court has also been advised that an additional significant number of other Plaintiffs have not participated in the Confidential Master Settlement Agreement, but Co-Lead Counsel for the Plaintiffs do not know and cannot determine whether these Plaintiffs are non-responsive to their individual counsel or if they do not intend to participate in the MSA.

While the terms of the MSA do not require a Plaintiff who wishes to reject her/his settlement offer to affirmatively opt-out of the settlement, this court recognizes that individual counsel for hundreds of Plaintiffs have advised Co-Lead Counsel that their clients have failed to respond regarding their intent to participate in the MSA and that an additional significant number of Plaintiffs may also be failing to respond to their individual counsel regarding their intent to participate in the MSA. In turn, this Court finds that it is in the best interest of the Parties and this Court's resources, before continuing with this MCL, to determine the intentions of these two groups of Plaintiffs who have not expressed their intent with regards to participation in the MSA.

This Case Management Order No. 57-mandates the procedure by which these two groups of Plaintiffs (hereinafter referred to as "Non-Responsive Plaintiffs" and identified by way of the spreadsheet attached to this CMO as Exhibit "A") must declare their intentions with respect to participating in the MSA, and if they chose not to participate in the MSA, whether they intend to continue to litigate their claims in this MCL. In addition, this Case Management Order sets forth the conditions under which the Court will dismiss the cases of those Non-Responsive Plaintiffs who fail to respond to this Order:

- 1. The Bayer Defendants and Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel have advised the Court that each plaintiff listed on Exhibit A to this CMO is a Non-Responsive Plaintiff.
- 2. Within three (3) days of entry of this CMO, counsel of record for each Non-Responsive Plaintiff shall send a letter to that plaintiff's last known address advising plaintiff of: (a) the obligations set forth in paragraphs 3 and 4 below; and that (b) the failure to comply with those obligations will result in the dismissal of their claims with prejudice. Counsel shall send this letter to the Non-Responsive Plaintiff by regular and certified mail, return receipt requested, and shall be prepared to share it with the Court in camera. For pro se plaintiffs, Co-Lead counsel for Plaintiffs shall be responsible for mailing such letters to addresses available via Court filings, if applicable.

- 3. To promote the efficient resolution of this litigation, by October 18, 2018, each Non-Responsive Plaintiff must file and serve a completed Notice of Intent to Proceed (in a form substantially similar to that attached as Exhibit B to this CMO) and must also email the completed Notice of Intent to Proceed form to Mirena Release@shb.com and mirena@motleyrice.com. The Notice of Intent to Proceed must be signed by the individual plaintiff and shall unambiguously identify which position the Non-Responsive Plaintiff is taking with respect to the MSA.
- 4. In addition to filing and serving a Notice of Intent to Proceed form, each Non-Responsive Plaintiff must also submit documents in accordance with the subparagraph below that reflects their MSA participation decision:
 - a. If the individual plaintiff intends to participate in the MSA she/he must, in addition to filing and serving a Notice of Intent to Proceed form, submit a signed and notarized Release (Exhibit C to this CMO) with a signed Stipulation of Dismissal (Exhibit D to this CMO) to the settlement's enrollment email addresses of Mirena Release@shb.com and mirena@motleyrice.com before 12:00 p.m. EST on October 18, 2018. In addition, she/he must also submit a Claim Form (attached hereto as Exhibit "E" to each individual firm's ShareFile Account) also before 12:00 p.m. EST on October 18, 2018.
 - b. If the Non-Responsive Plaintiff does not intend to participate in the MSA but has given their counsel permission to execute a Stipulation for Dismissal with prejudice on their behalf, she/he must, in addition to filing and serving a Notice of Intent to Proceed form, must submit a signed Stipulation of Dismissal (Exhibit D to this CMO) to the email addresses of Mirena_Release@shb.com and mirena@motleyrice.com before 12:00 p.m. EST on October 18, 2018.
 - c. If the Non-Responsive Plaintiff does not intend to participate in the MSA but wishes to pursue her/his claim in Court, she/he must file and serve a Notice of Intent to Proceed form and must also email the Notice of Intent to Proceed Form to Mirena Release@shb.com and

mirena@motleyrice.com before 12:00 p.m. EST on October 18, 2018..

5. Before 5:00 p.m. EST on October 18, 2018, the Parties shall jointly submit a list of Non-Responsive Plaintiffs that have not filed and served a Notice of Intent to Proceed pursuant to Paragraph 3 herein. Unless counsel for any of the identified Plaintiffs on this list or, alternatively, any of the Plaintiffs themselves, appears in Judge Harz's Courtroom on October 19, 2018 at 2:00 p.m. EST and shows cause why the Court should not issue an Order for Dismissal with Prejudice, the Court will consider such Non-Responsive Plaintiffs who fail to appear to have abandoned her/his case and will dismiss with prejudice, the Non-Responsive Plaintiffs' Claims at that time.

DATED:

The Honorable Rachelle L. Harz, J.S.C.

EXHIBIT A

U. Y. L. S. Name U. W. K. H. S. Name U. W. S. J. Name U. W. S.	Hammett	Herring	Phillips	Reid	Bridges	Buchman	Magnani	McCain	McMillan	Mundy	Perez	
Dexter	Drew	Julius	Alton	Samuel	Judith	Katelyn	Amber	Elizabeth	Megan	Jessica	Theima	Camann
Ellorín, Belen	Hammett, Jessica	Herring, Shalonda and	Phillips, Anisha	Reid, Patricia	Bridges, Judith	Buchman, Katelyn	Magnani, Amber	McCain, Elizabeth	McMillan, Megan	Mundy, Jessica	Perez, Thelma	Perez, Camarin
NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Morris County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Morris County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	
NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	N) - Bergen County	NJ - Bergen County	NI - Berner County
8ER-L-9092-14	BER-L-4797-13	BER-L-8405-15	BER-L-2933-14	BER-1-4705-13	BER-L-04517-15	BER-1-05693-15	BER-L-02014-15	BER-L-02008-15	8ER-L-02017-15	BER-L-10708-15	BER-L-08210-14	
Parker Waichman	Meyers & Flowers	Parker Waichman	Cohen, Placitella & Roth	Parker Waichman	Bemstein Liebhard LLP	Bernstein Liebhard LLP	Domestic lightness in I					

•

EXHIBIT B

[ADD APPROPRIATE CASE CAPTION]

NOTICE OF INTENT TO PROCEED

Insert nam	e of plaintiff(s)]	hereby notifies the Court of [his/her] intent to:
		Participate in the Confidential Master Settlement Agreement;
,	***********	Not Participate in the Confidential Master Settlement Agreement, but
		authorize my counsel to submit a Stipulation of Dismissal, with prejudice
		on my behalf; or,
	Managery Andrews - Augustanisms	Litigate his/her claims against the Bayer Defendant(s).
Dated:		
		[Signature of plaintiff]

EXHIBIT C

EXHIBIT D

[Counsel] [Firm] [Address] [Tel.]		
Attorneys for Plaintiff	х	
CAPTION .	:	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: BERGEN COUNTY IN RE MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS
·	:	LIABILITY LITIGATION
	X	CASE NO. 297

STIPULATION FOR VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO RULE 4:37-1(2)

This matter having been amicably resolved by and among the parties, it is hereby stipulated and agreed that the above-captioned action may be, and is hereby is, dismissed, with prejudice against all Defendants and without costs as to any party. The Complaint and all claims therein are being dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Rule 4:37-1(a).

PLAINTIFFS

DEFENDANTS

	•		
[Counsel]		[Counsel]	
[Firm]	•	[Firm]	
[Address]	•	[Address]	
[Tel.]		[Tel.]	

EXHIBIT E

	Mirena	UD SETTLEMEN	T PROGRAM CLAIM FO	RM (POSE)					
		INSTRUCTIONS	FOR ATTORNEYS	90° A3 38 Å 3					
Claims packages, including a completed copy of this Claim Form, are to be uploaded by claimant's counsel to the firm ShareFile folder and submitted following these guidelines:									
File format: Searchable pdf (Submission of non-searchable files will delay the review process)									
File name: lawfirm.claimantlastname.claimantfirstinitial.pdf									
Example: motleyrice.smith.j.pdf									
requires documentary evid	ence of Miren	IUD insertion and	dition to this Claim Form. Ac a perforation/non-perforatio i removal record shall be incli	n Injury that					
necessary for eligibility wi categorized as a result of resulting in a cost for the ti	li result in a cl incomplete or me necessary i	almant being assig Insufficient records or Judge Corodemu	submitting insufficient recoined a lower injury category. will be required to appeal sto review the appeal.*** ON (MIRENA PRODUCT USE	Claimants to Judge Con	who are incorrectly				
· Construction Control of the Contro	last	(MANTANTOKWAT)	nu toukena skonost ost	Middle	ver Calling ster for in this Edit				
Claimant Name			,						
Alternate Name(s)	last		First.	Middle					
Social Security Number (SSN) XXX-XX-XXXX	u	M	Date of Birth	/	/				
Address	Street		Cky, State	Zip code					
The state of the s		II. PRIMARY COL	INSEL INFORMATION A		新发展的				
Firm Name	Altorney Name		Straet Address / Suite	City, State Zip					
E-mail for settlement information		Secondary email for settle	ement leformation	Phone .					
		LAVIS TITH CASE II	VEORMATION 3						
Case Status: Filed	Unfile	d	Current Case Number						
Date Case Filed	. ,	,	Current Court/						
мм/σσ/үүү	1	1	Jurisdiction						
THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH		HIV. INSERTION	VINFORMATION SICK OF	STATE STATE					
Date Mirena Inserted* MM/DD/YYYY	j ,	/	*If there are additional insertion dates, please provide	/	/				
ix, 1: To be correctly categ	orized, a com	plete medical reco	ord created at or about the In the upper right-hand cor	time of the	Mirena insertion				

,

The state of the s		V. INJÜR	Y.INFORMATION 6					
Injury	Perforation '							
(Check One)	Embedment							
	Other; Please describe							
	None			,				
Ex. 2: To be correctly categorized, a complete medical record created at or about the time of the injury checked above must be included with this Claim Form and clearly labeled in the upper right-hand corner of the record as Ex. 2.								
Was the Mirena Removed?	Yes	□ No						
If the Mirena IUD was NOT r removed, Claimant must lea	emoved, and no	documente Is section an	d medical reason explains wh d Section VI. blank and skip d	y the Mirana IUD was not own to Section VII, below.				
Date of Mirena Removal**	/ /		State where removal of					
ΥΥΥΥ/ἀα/ΜΜ			Mirena occurred					
How was Mirena	Open s	urgical remo	val (laparotomy)					
Removed7	Laparo:	scopic surgic	al removal					
(Check One or Leave Blank	ı —	oscopic remo						
		Please descr		a. A. abd				
1	Mirena	was not ren	noved due to documented m	edical reason**				
** If the Mirena was not ren documented medical reason provide medical reason/ exp	ı, please			·				
Ex. 3: To be correctly categor must be included with this Cl	laim Form and cl	learly labeled	i in the upper right-hand corr	ner of the record as Ex. 3.				
** If the Mirena was not removed due to a medical reason, to be correctly categorized, a complete medical record created at or about the time clearly stating that the Mirena could not be removed due to medical reasons must be included with this Claim Form and clearly labeled in the upper right-hand corner of the record as Ex. 3.								
AVI EXTRAORDINARYINIURY RUNDINEORMATION								
Claimant is making an EIF claim Yes No								
EIF Claims are Being Made	A hyste	rectomy						
For	-	•	cess of 7 days	V				
(Check All That Apply)			excess of \$100,000,00					
EIF: An additional 10 pages of supporting documentation may be submitted for each EIF claim made. To be eligible for EIF categorization, complete records created at or about the time of the EIF injury being claimed must be included with this Claim Form and clearly labeled in the upper right-hand corner of the record as EIF.								

The state of the s	VIII.	on Regarding I	3ANKRÚPTC	Y	<u> </u>			
Claimant certifies that she	IS a party in a bankruptcy pending at the time of the remove sought bankruptcy protection.							
is NOT a party in a bankruptcy action either currently pending or which was pending at the time of the removal of her Mirena IUD in which she is seeking/sought bankruptcy protection.								
	MILL MARKATTORNEYS DECLA							
	perjury subject to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 t the best of my knowledge, informa			rovided in t	his Claim			
Attorney's Signature		Date	/	1	•			
Attorney's Printed Name		Firm Name						