

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.  
RIKER DANZIG LLP  
Headquarters Plaza  
One Speedwell Avenue  
Morristown, NJ 07962  
(973) 538-0800  
*Attorneys for Defendants,  
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

LORRAINE B. BARTON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S  
HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division  
of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE,  
JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET ALS.

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY  
DOCKET NO. BER-L- 014983-14

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION  
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation  
Case No. 291

**CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL  
WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

**THIS MATTER**, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiff, through her counsel Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC, and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 13<sup>TH</sup> day of January, 2025;

**ORDERED** that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

**ORDERED** that copies of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record and all liaison counsel within five (5) days of the date hereof.

  
GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

FILED  
JAN 13 2025  
GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

**CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:**

Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis &  
Overholtz, PLLC  
17 E. Main Street Suite 200  
Pensacola, FL 32502  
*Attorney for Plaintiff,*  
*Lorraine B. Barton*

RIKER DANZIG LLP  
Headquarters Plaza  
One Speedwell Avenue  
Morristown, NJ 07962  
*Attorney for Defendants,*  
*Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

By: /s/ James D. Barger<sup>1</sup>  
James D. Barger, Esq.

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford<sup>1</sup>  
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: January 8, 2025

Dated: January 8, 2025

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergency applications, submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... ."