

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.  
RIKER DANZIG, LLP  
7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250  
Madison, NJ 07840-1951  
(973) 538-0800  
*Attorney for Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and  
Johnson & Johnson*

**FILED**  
SEP 30 2025  
GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

KELLY M. CHANEY and SHELBY CHANEY,  <i>Plaintiffs,</i>  v.  ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON & JOHNSON, AND JOHN DOES 1-20,  <i>Defendants.</i>	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: BERGEN COUNTY MASTER CASE NO. BER-L-11575-14  DOCKET NO. BER-L-013045 -14  Civil Action Gynecare Litigation, Case No. 291  <b>CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE NUNC PRO</b>
---	---

**THIS MATTER**, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiffs, through their counsel Simmons Hanly Conroy LLP, and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

**IT IS ON THIS** 30<sup>th</sup> day of SEPTEMBER, 2025;

**ORDERED** that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice with the order being effective *nunc pro tunc* as of June 29, 2025. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

**ORDERED** that copies of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record and all liaison counsel within five (5) days of the date hereof.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

**CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:**

SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLP  
One Court Street  
Alton, Illinois 62002  
*Attorney for Plaintiffs*

By: /s/ Dennis M. Geier<sup>1</sup>  
Dennis M. Geier, Esq.

Dated: August 28, 2025

RIKER DANZIG LLP  
Headquarters Plaza  
One Speedwell Avenue  
Morristown, NJ 07962  
*Attorney for Defendants,  
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford<sup>1</sup>  
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: August 28, 2025

4911-1603-2740, v. 1

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergency applications, submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... ."