Kila Baldwin, Esq.
Catelyn McDonough, Esq.
Anapol Weiss
One Logan Square
130 N 18th Street, Suite 1600
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Tel: (215) 735-1130 Fax: (215) 875-7727

Attorneys for Plaintiff Monica De La Rosa

MONICA DE LA ROSA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

F11_ED OCT 23 2024 GEGGA. P. 2004AMO, J.S.C.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-001745-24

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff
Monica De la Rosa, through her counsel, and Defendants Ethicon,
Inc., on its own behalf and on behalf of its division, Ethicon
Women's Health and Urology (also incorrectly named as "Gynecare"),
and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, and
the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims
cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, and for
good cause shown;

IT IS on this day of October , 2024;

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

Hon. Regg A. Padovano, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal without Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson Monica De La Rosa v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.

Docket No. BER-L-001745-24

Kila Baldwin, Esq.
Catelyn McDonough, Esq.
Anapol Weiss
One Logan Square
130 N 18th Street, Suite 1600
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Tel: (215) 735-1130 Fax: (215) 875-7727

Attorneys for Plaintiff Monica De La Rosa RIKER DANZIG LLP One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962

Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: October 23, 2024

By: /s/ Kila Baldwin, Esq. 1
Kila Baldwin, Esq.

Dated: October 23, 2024

4884-8617-2907, v. 1

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, " The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system...