Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.
RIKER DANZIG LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
(973) 538-0800
Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

CAROLYN DOBBINS,

Plaintiffs.

VS.

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET ALS.

Defendants.

FILED

FEB 06 2025

GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-001631-19

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-11575-14

CIVIL ACTION

In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation

Case No. 291

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

THIS MATTER, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiff, through her counsel Napoli Shkolnik PLLC, and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 6 that of FEBRURY, 2025;

ORDERED that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, upon delivery of settlement funds to Plaintiff's counsel, Defendants may submit under the five day rule an Order converting the dismissal to WITH prejudice.

ORDERED that copies of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record and all

liaison counsel within five (5) days of the date hereof.

GREGGA. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:

Napoli Shkolnik PLLC 360 Lexington Avenue 11th Floor New York, NY 10017 Attorney for Plaintiff, Carolyn Dobbins RIKER DANZIG LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
Attorney for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: /s/ Shayna E. Sacks¹ Shayna E. Sacks, Esq. By: <u>/s/ Kelly S. Crawford</u>¹ Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: February 3, 2025

Dated: February 3, 2025

4935-7988-6357, v. 1

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergency applications, submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."