

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.  
RIKER DANZIG LLP  
7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250  
Madison, NJ 07940-1051  
(973) 538-0800

FILED

APR 06 2026

GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

*Attorneys for Defendants,  
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

JUDITH K. HESTER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S  
HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division  
of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE,  
JOHNSON & JOHNSON, and JOHN  
DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY  
DOCKET NO. BER-L- 015365-14

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION

In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation  
Case No. 291

**CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL  
WITH PREJUDICE**

**THIS MATTER**, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiffs, through their counsel Anapol Weiss, and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 6<sup>TH</sup> day of APRIL, 2026;

**ORDERED** that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

**CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:**

Kila B. Baldwin, Esq.  
ANAPOL WEISS  
One Logan Square  
130 North 18<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1600  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff,*  
*Judith K. Hester*

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.  
RIKER DANZIG LLP  
7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250  
Madison, NJ 07940-1051  
*Attorney for Defendants,*  
*Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

By: /s/ Kila B. Baldwin<sup>1</sup>  
Kila B. Baldwin, Esq.

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford  
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: March 31, 2026

Dated: March 31, 2026

Chad Cook, Esq.  
Beasley Allen Law Firm  
218 Commerce Street  
Montgomery, AL 36104  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff,*  
*Judith K. Hester*

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergency applications, submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... ."