BER-L-013643-14 06/05/2025 11:22:29 AM Pg 1 of 2 Trans ID: LCV20251680689

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. RIKER DANZIG LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962 (973) 538-0800 Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

LOURDES ACOSTA AND ROBERTA ACOSTA,

vs.

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON & JOHNSON, AND JOHN DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs,

FILED JUN 09 2025 CRECCIAL PASDUMAD, J.S.C.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-013643-14

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-11575-14

CIVIL ACTION In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation Case No. 291

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

THIS MATTER, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiffs, through their counsel Simmons Hanly Conroy LLP, and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

day of Jung IT IS ON THIS , 2025;

ORDERED that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be

and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

ORDERED that copies of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record and all

liaison counsel within five (5) days of the date hereof.

GREGG A PADOVANO, J.S.C.

BER-L-013643-14 06/05/2025 11:22:29 AM Pg 2 of 2 Trans ID: LCV20251680689

CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:

SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLP One Court Street Alton, Illinois 62002 Attorney for Plaintiffs, Lourdes and Roberta Acosta

By: <u>/s/ Dennis M. Geier</u>¹ Dennis M. Geier, Esq.

Dated: June 5, 2025

RIKER DANZIG LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962 *Attorney for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

By: <u>/s/ Kelly S. Crawford</u>¹ Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: June 5, 2025

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergency applications, submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system...."