

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - ID #029141993 RIKER, DANZIG SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962 (973) 538-0800

Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

ENEIDA LOPEZ and CARLOS COLLAZO,

VS.

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON & JOHNSON, and JOHN DOES 1-20, et als.,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-00626-18 MCL

Plaintiffs, MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

## CIVIL ACTION

In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation Case No. 291

Defendants. | CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by the parties to this action, Plaintiffs Eneida Lopez and Carlos Collazo and Defendants Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc. (on its own behalf and on behalf of its former divisions, Ethicon Women's Health & Urology, and Gynecare, improperly named in the Complaint), and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 30th day of October, 2020;

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted against Defendants are hereby dismissed with prejudice as to the above referenced matter only. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs. This Order does not affect Plaintiffs' claims pending against the above-referenced Defendants in the MDL, Eneida Lopez v. Ethicon, Inc., et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-01669 (Wave 11), which has been or shortly may be remanded to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Hon. Rachelle Lea Harz, J.S.C.

## THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal with Prejudice Eneida Lopez and Carlos Collazo v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. Docket No. BER-L-00626-18 MCL

KLINE & SPECTER, PC Attorneys for Plaintiffs RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER,
HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson &
Johnson

By: /s/ Priscilla Jimenez $^l$  Priscilla Jimenez, Esq.

By: Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: October 27, 2020

Dated: October 27, 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system...."