Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.
RIKER DANZIG LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
(973) 538-0800
Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

JAN 02 2025

CITEMA PRODUMO, J.S.C.

JAME MONDORE,

. 1: CC

VS.

Plaintiff,

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET ALS.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-004522-17

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation
Case No. 291

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Defendants.

THIS MATTER, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiff, through her counsel Bernstein Liebhard LLP, and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS day of JANSANY

ORDERED that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

ORDERED that copies of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record and all

liaison counsel within five (5) days of the date hereof.

GREGO A PADOVANO, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal Without Prejudice as to all Defendants

The Law Offices of Jan Meyer and Associates, P.C. 1029 Teaneck Road Second Floor Teaneck, NJ 07666 jmeyer@janmeyerlaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Jane Mondore

By: /s/ Jan Meyer ¹
Jan Meyer, Esq.

Dated: December 23, 2024

BERNSTEIN LIEBHARD LLP 10 East 40th Street New York, NY 10016 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Jane Mondore

4921-4279-9878, v. 1

RIKER DANZIG LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
Attorney for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: <u>/s/ Kelly S. Crawford</u>^t Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: December 23, 2024

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergency applications, submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."