Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. RIKER DANZIG LLP 7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250 Madison, NJ 07940-1051 (973) 538-0800 Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

FILED

JUN 27 2025

GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

IN RE: PELVIC MESH/ GYNECARE LITIGATION,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY
MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14
Civil Action
CASE NO. 291
BER-L-9687-15 -MCL

## CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

THIS MATTER, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiff Susannah Franklin, through her counsel Simmons Hanley Conroy LLP, and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 27 day of Inc., 2025;

ORDERED that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties in the matter filed in Atlantic County on behalf of Plaintiff Susannah Franklin by the law firm of Simmons Hanley Conroy LLP:

• Susannah Franklin v. Ethicon, Inc., et als, Superior Court of New Jersey, Atlantic County, Docket No. ATL-L- 001640-13, filed on April 1, 2013,

be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

ORDERED that copies of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record and all liaison counsel within five (5) days of the date hereof.

GREGO A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

## CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:

SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLP New York, New York 112 Madison Avenue, 7th Floor New York, New York 10016-7416 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Susannah Franklin

By: /s/ John J. Foley<sup>1</sup>
John J. Foley, Esq.

Dated: June 18, 2025

RIKER DANZIG LLP 7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250 Madison, NJ 07940-1051

Attorney for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: June 18, 2025

4913-0640-2860, v. 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergency applications, submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."