

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.  
RIKER DANZIG LLP  
7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250  
Madison, NJ 07940-1051  
(973) 538-0800

*Attorneys for Defendants,  
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

MARIE SAVERCOOL and HAROLD J.  
SAVERCOOL, JR.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S  
HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division  
of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE,  
JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET ALS.

Defendants.

**FILED**  
FEB 27 2026  
GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY  
DOCKET NO. BER-L-015750-14

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION


In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation  
Case No. 291

**CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH  
PREJUDICE**

**THIS MATTER**, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiffs, through their counsel Freese & Goss and The D'Onofrio Firm, and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 27<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2026;

**ORDERED** that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

**CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:**

The D'Onofrio Firm  
PO Box 16  
Wallingford, PA 19086  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
Marie Savercool and  
Harold J. Savercool, Jr.*

RIKER DANZIG LLP  
7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250  
Madison, NJ 07940-1051  
*Attorney for Defendants,  
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

By: /s/ Heather K. D'Onofrio<sup>1</sup>  
Heather K. D'Onofrio, Esq.

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford<sup>1</sup>  
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: February 25, 2026

Dated: February 25, 2026

Freese & Goss, PLLC  
3500 Maple Ave.  
Suite 1100  
Dallas, Texas 75219  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
Marie Savercool and  
Harold J. Savercool, Jr.*

4908-1128-8873, v. 1

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergency applications, submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."