

Kelly S. Crawford - NJ Attorney ID #029141993
RIKER DANZIG LLP
7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250
Madison, NJ 07940-1051
(973) 538-0800

Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

LISA STONE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY

DOCKET NO. BER-L-05764-23

MASTER DOCKET. NO.
BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION

In re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

**CONSENT ORDER VACATING
DISMISSAL**

THIS MATTER, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiff,
through her counsel Cohen Placitella and Roth, P.C., and Defendants,
through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, and

WHEREAS two separate complaints had been filed for this
plaintiff, one under this docket number and one under docket number
BER-L-L-5720-23, which was administratively dismissed on 10/24/2023
due to the fact that it had been filed on eCourts instead of JEDS; and

WHEREAS the dismissal of this matter on November 21, 2023, was
based on the erroneous assumption that this matter was duplicative of
the earlier docket number when in fact it is this second filed

FILED
JAN 28 2026
GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

(present) docket number correctly filed on JEDS that should be operative, and

WHEREAS the parties now seek an Order vacating the prior erroneous dismissal of this matter;

IT IS ON THIS 28TH day of January, 2025⁶

The dismissal of this matter on November 21, 2023, is hereby vacated and this matter is restored to the active docket.



Hon. Gregg A. Padovano, J.S.C.

CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:

COHEN PLACITELLA AND ROTH, PC
127 Maple Avenue
Red Bank, NJ 07710
Attorney for Plaintiff,
Julie Gramoll

By: /s/ Rachel A. Placitella¹
Rachel A. Placitella, Esq.

Dated: September 30, 2025

RIKER DANZIG LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
Attorney for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: September 30, 2025

¹Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergency applications, submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... ."