Kelly S. Crawford – NJ Attorney ID #029141993 **RIKER DANZIG LLP**7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250 Madison, NJ 07940-1051 (973) 538-0800

DEC 11 2025
GREGG A. PADOVANO. J.S.O.

Attorneys for Defendants

TIFFANY ORTEGA-MELENDEZ,

Plaintiff,

V.

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-001275-24

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation Case No. 291

CIVIL ACTION

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AND REQUEST TO STAY

The parties would like to advise the Court that a settlement in principle has been reached in this matter.

It will likely take several months before the settlement will be finalized. As a result, the parties jointly request that this case be moved to inactive status and/or that any deadlines and other requirements be stayed until such time as the settlement can be concluded and this case can be dismissed with prejudice.

In the event the settlement is not finalized, the parties will promptly inform

Your Honor so that any such case can be restored to the active docket of this Court.

IT IS on this day of December, 2025, ORDERED as follows:

Any deadlines and proceedings in this matter, including, but not limited to those established in CMO 123, are stayed pending further order of the Court.

HON. GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:

Rachel A. Placitella, Esq. Cohen, Placitella & Roth, P.C. 127 Maple Avenue Red Bank, NJ 07701

Attorney for Plaintiff, Tiffany Ortega-Melendez

By: /s/ Rachel Placitella 1
Rachel A. Placitella

Dated: December 9, 2025

Jackalyn A. Olinger Rochelle, Esq. Samira Z. Bode, Esq. Anne M. Dennison, Esq. BAILEY & GLASSER, LLP 210 W. Division Street Maryville, IL 62062

Attorney for Plaintiff, Tiffany Ortega-Melendez Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. Riker Danzig LLP 7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250 Madison, NJ 07940-1051

Attorney for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: <u>/s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹</u> Kelly S. Crawford

Dated: December 8, 2025

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."