

Kelly S. Crawford – NJ Attorney ID #029141993
RIKER DANZIG LLP
7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250
Madison, NJ 07940-1051
(973) 538-0800

Attorney for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson and Johnson
ELIZABETH PONS,

Plaintiff

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON and ETHICON,
INC.,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY
DOCKET NO. BER-L- 000467-23 MCL

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-11575-14

In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation
Case No. 291

CIVIL ACTION

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AND ~~REQUEST~~
TO STAY

The parties would like to advise the Court that a settlement in principle has been reached in this matter.

It will likely take several months before the settlement will be finalized. As a result, the parties jointly request that this case be moved to inactive status and/or that any deadlines and other requirements be stayed until such time as the settlement can be concluded and this case can be dismissed with prejudice.

In the event the settlement is not finalized, the parties will promptly inform Your Honor so that any such case can be restored to the active docket of this Court.

IT IS on this 11TH day of December, 2025, **ORDERED** as follows:

Any deadlines and proceedings in this matter, including, but not limited to those established in CMO 119, are stayed pending further order of the Court.


HON. GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:

Rachel A. Placitella, Esq.
Cohen, Placitella & Roth, P.C.
127 Maple Avenue
Red Bank, NJ 07701

*Attorney for Plaintiff,
Elizabeth Pons*

By: /s/Rachel Placitella¹
Rachel A. Placitella

Dated: December 9, 2025

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.
RIKER DANZIG LLP
7 Giralda Farms, Suite 250
Madison, NJ 07940-1051

*Attorney for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹
Kelly S. Crawford

Dated: December 8, 2025

Jackalyn A. Olinger Rochelle, Esq.
Samira Z. Bode, Esq.
BAILEY & GLASSER, LLP 210 W.
Division Street
Maryville, IL 62062

*Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Elizabeth Pons*

4889-6991-3325, v. 2

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... ."