MCELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY & CARPENTER, LLP

Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street Newark, New Jersey 07102-4079 (973) 622-7711

FILED

PORZIO BROMBERG & NEWMAN, P.C.

MAY 1 2 2011

100 Southgate Parkway Morristown, New Jersey 07962-1997 (973) 538-4006

BRIAN R. MARTINOTTI, J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendant E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

IN RE ALLEGED ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION OF POMPTON LAKES SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: BERGEN COUNTY CASE NO. 290

Civil Action

Applicable To All Plaintiffs
On Exhibit A Attached

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This Court having been advised by counsel for Plaintiffs and E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company ("DuPont") that the Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit A attached hereto were Plaintiffs in Naftali, et al. v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, et al., and Jade Thomas, et al. v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, et al., Passaic County Docket Nos. PAS-L-364-98 and L-5415-02 (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Naftali Plaintiffs" in the "Naftali State Court Action"), who settled with and released their claims against DuPont in the Naftali State Court Action, and executed settlement offers and releases similar to

the settlement offers and releases executed by the Plaintiffs in Agnes, et al. v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, et al., Civil Action No. 98-1405; and the Honorable Dennis M. Cavanaugh, U.S.D.J., having filed an Opinion and Order on March 31, 2011, enjoining the Agnes Plaintiffs from pursuing their claims against DuPont in the within New Jersey State Court Actions; and this Court having been advised that the parties have agreed that the claims of all 34 Naftali Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit A attached hereto shall be dismissed promptly without prejudice against all Defendants, including DuPont, Royle Systems Group, LLC, Alrabwah, Inc. and Valbruna Stainless, Inc.; and that after thirty (30) days from the date of this Order the dismissal of the claims of the 34 Naftali Plaintiffs against DuPont shall be converted to a dismissal with prejudice without further Order of the Court, except with respect to the claims of any Naftali Plaintiff who has advised the Court in writing that he or she has retained alternate counsel; and that Plaintiffs will engage in directed discovery of the three Defendants other than DuPont (i.e., Royle Systems Group, LLC, Alrabwah, Inc., and Valbruna Stainless, Inc.) over the 90-day period following entry of this Order to determine whether Plaintiffs' claims against the Defendants other than DuPont should be dismissed with prejudice:

IT IS on this _____ day of May, 2011,

ORDERED that the claims of the 34 Naftali Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit A attached hereto are dismissed without prejudice against all Defendants, including

DuPont, Royle Systems Group, LLC, Alrabwah, Inc., and Valbruna Stainless, Inc.; and it is

ORDERED that immediately after thirty (30) days has expired from the date of this Order, the claims of the 34 Naftali Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit A attached hereto against DuPont shall be automatically dismissed with prejudice without further Order of the Court, except with respect to the claims of any Naftali Plaintiff who has advised the Court in writing that he or she has retained alternate counsel; and it is

ORDERED that Plaintiffs will engage in directed discovery of the three Defendants other than DuPont (i.e., Royle Systems Group, LLC, Alrabwah, Inc., and Valbruna Stainless, Inc.) over a 90-day period following entry of this Order to determine whether Plaintiffs intend to pursue their claims against the Defendants other than DuPont; and it is understood that Plaintiffs' directed discovery of the Defendants in accordance with this Order is not a commitment to the dismissal of any claims of any Plaintiff against one or more of the Defendants other than DuPont as a result of the directed discovery; and it is

ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall inform the Court within 10 days following the 90-day period of discovery that is the subject of this Order whether they intend to reinstate their actions against one or more of the Defendants other than DuPont; and it is ORDERED that a true copy of this Order shall be served upon on all

counsel of record within seven (7) days of the late hereof.

HORORABLE BRIAN R. MARTINOTTI, J.S.C.

List of Naftali Plaintiffs - State Court Claims Dismissed				
Filed State Court Case Caption	Plaintiffs	Filed State Court Passaic County Case Number	Filed State Court Bergen County Case Number	
Arrica v. E.I. Du Pont DeNemours and	Arrica, Concetta	PAS-L-1052-10	BER L-010560-10	
Company, Inc				
Balestrino v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Balestrino, Roseanne	PAS L-1728-10	BER L-010760-10	
Black v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Black, Joseph Black, Leta	PAS-L-1188-10	BER L-010663-10	
Corazza v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Corazza, Agnes	PAS-L-1062-10	BER L-010569-10	
Curran v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Curran, James Curran, Jessica	PAS L-1736-10	BER L-010732-10	
Dean v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Dean, Karen	PAS-L-1048-10	BER L-010554-10	
Evangelista v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Evangelista, David	PAS-L-1282-10	BER L-010726-10	
Fiorentino v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Fiorentino, Fred Fiorentino, Nina	PAS-L-1181-10	BER L-010637-10	
Gilgar v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Gilgar, Lance	PAS-L-1281-10	BER L-010725-10	
Gleason v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Gleason, Hannah	PAS-L-1170-10	BER L-010656-10	
Jaconetta v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Jaconetta, Barbara Jaconetta, Francisco Jaconetta, Calyn	PAS-L-1109-10	BER L-010625-10	
Ketter v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Ketter, Jerome	PAS-L-1478-10	BER L-010716-10	
Klein v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Klein, Yolanda	PAS-L-1050-10	BER L-010557-10	
LaSala v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	LaSala, James Sr. LaSala, Elaine	PAS L-1729-10	BER L-010757-10	
LaSala v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	LaSala, James	PAS-L-1286-10	BER L-010698-10	
LaSala v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	LaSala, Jefferson	PAS-L-1096-10	BER L-010610-10	
LaSala v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	LaSala, Stephen	PAS-L-1116-10	BER L-010650-10	
Margolin v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Margolin, Diane	PAS-L-1267-10	BER L-010681-10	
Minetti v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Minetti, Christopher	PAS-L-1108-10	BER L-010623-10	
Minetti v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Minetti, Laura	PAS-L-1111-10	BER L-010627-10	
Parrish v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Company, Inc.	Parrish, Christine	PAS-L-1273-10	BER L-010689-10	
Roesch v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and	Roesch, William	PAS-L-1479-10	BER L-010717-10	

Sarno v. E.i. DuPont DeNemours and	Sarno, Joseph	PAS-L-1083-10	BER L-010594-10
Company, Inc.			
Smith v. E.I. DuPont and DeNemours	Smith, Timothy	PAS-L-1110-10	BER L-010626-10
and Company, Inc.			
Smith v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and	Smith, Shane	PAS-L-1114-10	BER L-010644-10
Company, Inc.			
Snyder v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and	Snyder, Elizabeth	PAS L-2247-10	BER L-010798-10
Company, Inc.			
Sweeney v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and	Sweeney, Steven	PAS-L-1077-10	BER L-010588-10
Company, Inc.			
Wolowitz v. E.I. DuPont DeNemours and	Wolowitz, Deana	PAS-L-1103-10	BER L-010617-10
Company, Inc.			