WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

A New York Professional Corporation 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003

Tel #: (212)558-5548

Fax #: (212)344-5461 Attorneys for Plaintiffs FILED

FEB 1 6 2012

BRIAN R. MARTINOTTI, J.S.C.

In re Alleged Environmental Contamination of Pompton Lakes

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: BERGEN COUNTY

CM CASE NO. 290 (Hon. Brian R. Martinotti)

Civil Action

STIPULATION AND ORDER OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL, WITH PREJUDICE, AS TO CERTAIN PLAINTIFFS

THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO ALL PLAINTIFFS ON EXHIBIT A

Nemours and Company ("DuPont") pursuant to an application for leave to file a dispositive motion with respect to certain Plaintiffs in this matter who were plaintiffs in *Armona*, et al. v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours Co., Docket No. MID-L-5116-93 (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Subject Plaintiffs" in the "Armona Action"); and the Court having been advised that each of the Subject Plaintiffs settled and released his or her claims against DuPont in the Armona Action, and executed releases similar to the releases executed by the Plaintiffs in Agnes, et al. v. E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, et al., Civil Action No. 98-1405; and the Honorable Dennis M. Cavanaugh, U.S.D.J., having filed an Opinion and Order on March 31, 2011, in which he enforced the terms of the releases signed by the Agnes Plaintiffs and barred them from

pursuing their claims against DuPont in the within New Jersey State Court Actions; and this Court having been advised that the parties have agreed that the claims of the Subject Plaintiffs, who are set forth on Exhibit A, shall be immediately dismissed, with prejudice and without costs against any party; it is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the undersigned parties as follows:

- 1. The claims of the 19 Subject Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit A attached hereto are dismissed, with prejudice and without costs against any party; and
- 2. This Stipulation and Order shall not affect the right of any of the Subject Plaintiffs to assert a personal injury claim in the future to the extent that such claim is not barred by the provisions of his or her release in the *Armona* Action.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for the Subject Plaintiffs

MCELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY & CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

By:

BERNARD WEINTRAUB

Dated: February 14, 2012

Dated: February 14, 2012

SO ORDERED, this

day of

HON. BRIAN R. MARTINOTTI, J.S.C.

2012

EXHIBIT A

Bruno v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Bruno, Albert	BER-L-6510-11
Company, Inc.		
Conforth v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Conforth, Linda	BER-L-10782-10
Company, Inc.		
Conforth v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Conforth, Patricia	BER-L-10794-10
Company, Inc.		
Courter v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Courter, George	BER-L-10673-10
Company, Inc.	Courter, Teresa	
Dowd v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Dowd, Patricia	BER-L-10694-10
Company, Inc.	Dowd, David	
Godsey v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Godsey, Christina	BER-L-12340-10
Company, Inc.	(nee Rokoscak)	
Guagliardi v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Guagliardi, Frank	BER-L-10585-10
Company, Inc.		
Guagliardi v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Guagliardi, Daniel	BER-L-10572-10
Company, Inc.		
Marsh v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Marsh, Cathy	BER-L-10762-10
Company, Inc.		
Marsh v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Marsh, Tiffany	BER-L-10764-10
Company, Inc.		
Marsh v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Marsh, William	BER-L-6527-11
Company, Inc.		
Mason v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Mason, Margaret	BER-L-9974-11
Company, Inc.		
Mason v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Mason, Robert	BER-L-10796-10
Company, Inc.		
Rubino v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Rubino, Diana	BER-L-10583-10
Company, Inc.	Rubino, Cheryl	
	Rubino, Lorraine	
Simonson v. E.I DuPont DeNemours and	Simonson, Kathleen	BER-L-10790-10
Company, Inc.	1	