ATL-L-000330-20 08/05/2022 Pg 1 of 3 Trans ID: LCV20222838252

FILED

AUG 05 2022

PREPARED BY THE COURT

IN RE PROLENE HERNIA SYSTEM:

MESH LITIGATION

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LAW DIVISION

ATLANTIC COUNTY

RICHARD TAYLOR,

Case No. 633

Plaintiff,

Master Case No. ATL-L-173-20

CIVIL ACTION

v.

Docket No.: ATL-L-330-20

JOHNSON & JOHNSON and

ETHICON, INC.,

Defendants.

ORDER

:

:

THIS MATTER having been opened to the Court upon the motion of Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A., counsel for Plaintiff, and the Court having considered the moving papers, and for other good and just cause shown;

IT IS on this 5th day of August, 2022, **ORDERED** that:

- 1. Plaintiff is hereby granted leave to file a First Amended Complaint, as set forth in the accompanying Certification, to substitute Jennifer Taylor, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Richard Taylor, for Plaintiff Richard Taylor, deceased; and
- 2. All Defendants who have been served with the motion papers shall be deemed to have been served with the First Amended Complaint and Defendants' previously filed Answers and Cross-Claims shall be deemed responsive to the amended pleadings; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that service of this Order shall be effectuated upon all parties upon its upload to eCourts and pursuant to Rule 1:5-1(a), movant shall serve a copy of this Order on all parties not served electronically within seven (7) days of the date of this Order.

July C. Cw
JOHN C. PORTO, P.J.Cv.

Opposed

IN RE PROLENE HERNIA SYSTEM:

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

MESH LITIGATION

LAW DIVISION
ATLANTIC COUNTY

RICHARD TAYLOR,

Case No. 633

Plaintiff,

Master Case No. ATL-L-173-20

v.

Docket No.: ATL-L-330-20

JOHNSON & JOHNSON and

ETHICON, INC.,

<u>CIVIL ACTION</u>

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

On July 12, 2022, Plaintiff, Richard Taylor, filed a Notice of Motion for Leave to File and Serve a First Amended Complaint substituting Jennifer Taylor, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Richard Taylor, deceased, who passed away on October 7, 2021. The Motion is unopposed.

Having reviewed the above motion and certification, the Court finds it is meritorious on its face and in compliance with \underline{R} . 4:9-1. Pursuant to \underline{R} . 1:6-2(f) the motion is granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.

All Defendants who have been served with the motion papers shall be deemed to have been served with the First Amended Complaint. No responsive pleadings by Defendants are required, and Defendants' Answers and cross-claims are deemed responsive to the First Amended Complaint.

An Order commensurate with this Court's decision is entered on eCourts.

Dated: August 5, 2022

JOHN C. PORTO, P.J.Cv.