#0620

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

A Delaware Limited Liability Partnership
500 Campus Drive
Florham Park, New Jersey 07932-1047
(973) 360-1100
Attorneys for Defendants
Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
(f/k/a Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc.) and
Johnson & Johnson

FILED OCT 15 2010

JUDGE JESSICA R. MAYER

~~~~~~

IN RE: RISPERDAL/SEROQUEL/ ZYPREXA LITIGATION : SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY : LAW DIVISION : MIDDLESEX COUNTY

: CASE NO. 274

CIVIL ACTION

ORDER

THIS ORDER APPLIES TO:

Jenia Putignano v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., : Docket No. MID-L-0729-06MT

RETURN DATE: October 15, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, attorneys for Defendants Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (f/k/a Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc.)<sup>1</sup> and Johnson & Johnson, to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for failure to serve a Long Form Plaintiff Fact Sheet pursuant to Case Management Order No. 22; such dismissals being authorized by Case Management Order No. 4A; the Court having considered the papers submitted; and the Court having heard the arguments of coursel, if any; and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 15 day of October, 2010;

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Janssen L.P. has been canceled.

ORDERED that Defendants' motion is hereby GRANTED and that the above-captioned Complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice against Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, who were erroneously designated in the Complaint as Johnson & Johnson Company and Janssen Pharmaceutica Products, L.P., pursuant to Case Management Order No. 4A; and it is further

**ORDERED** that, in the event that plaintiff fails to vacate this Order on or before December 14, 2010 Defendants may move for an Order of Dismissal with prejudice pursuant to the procedure set forth in  $\underline{R}$ . 4:23-5(a)(2); and it is further

**ORDERED** that a signed copy of this Order be posted for all counsel.

Jessica R. Mayer, J.S.C

\_\_\_\_\_Unopposed

\_\_\_\_ Opposed

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."

**UNOPPOSED** 

ORDERED that counsel for the delinquent party shall serve upon his or her client in accordance with R. 4:23-5(a)(1) a copy of this Order and the notice set forth in Appendix II-F of the Court Rules

Appundix 11-A