Stephen C. Matthews (#055801994)

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

51 John F. Kennedy Parkway, Suite 120 Short Hills, New Jersey 07078-2704 Telephone No.: (973) 520-2541

Telephone No.: (973) 520 Fax No.: (973) 215-2602

stephen.matthews@dlapiper.com

Attorneys for Defendants Sanofi U.S. Services, Inc.

and sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC

FILED

May 12, 2023

HON. BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

JEAN NAIDOO,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SANOFI U.S. SERVICES INC., formerly known as SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. INC.; SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC, separately and doing business as WINTHROP U.S.; JOHN DOE DRUG COMPANY DEFENDANTS #1-10,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - MIDDLESEX COUNTY DOCKET NO. MID-L-7789-18

CASE TYPE: MCL NO. 628

Civil Action

In Re Taxotere Litigation

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW PRO HAC VICE APPEARANCE OF HANNAH DALBEY

WHEREAS, Defendants, Sanofi U.S. Services, Inc. and sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC., by and through its attorneys DLA PIPER LLP (US), upon notice to all interested parties, has moved before this Court for withdrawal of the *pro hac vice* admission of Hannah Dalbey; and the Court having considered the papers submitted in support thereof; and for other good cause shown,

IT IS on this 12th day of May, 2023;

ORDERED that the motion is granted, and the pro hac vice admission of Hannah Dalbey, Esquire, is hereby withdrawn for all purposes and in all proceedings in connection with the above-captioned matter, with an effective date of as of the date of this Order, and it is further

ORDERED that DLA PIPER LLP (US), shall forward a copy of this Order to the Treasurer of the New Jersey Fund for Client Protection within ten (10) days of the date of this Order, and it is further

ORDERED that the posting of this Order on eCourts shall constitute service upon all counsel of record. Pursuant to \underline{R} . 1:5-1(a), the movant shall serve a copy of this Order upon all parties not served electronically within seven (7) days of receipt of this Order.

— ISI Bruce J. Kaplan HONORABLE BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

Unopposed

Having reviewed the within motion, this Court finds it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to \underline{R} . 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.