## Baker v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al. Docket No. L-1099-07

## Jury Verdict Shect

concerning a risk of dial	betes from Seroquel® that A	ng to Mr. Baker's prescribing physicians a straZencea either knew or should have ntiff up nutil the time that Plaintiff was
Yes 📗	No	Vote 8
	ion No. 1 is "Yes," proceed ease your deliberations and	to Question No. 2. If your answer to return your verdict sheet.
their prescribing decisions	- · ·	ntely warned, would that have altered preseribed Seroquel® for Mr. Baker or ould not have occurred?
Ycs	No	Vote
If your answer to Question No. 2 is "Yes," proceed to Question No. 3. If your answer to Question No. 2 is "No," cease your deliberations and return your verdict sheet.  3. Was Seroquel® a substantial contributing factor in causing Mr. Baker's diabetes?		
Yes	No	Vote
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	on No. 3 is "Yes," proceed ease your deliberations and	to Question No. 4. If your answer to return your verdict sheet.
		compensate Mr. Baker for pain, suffering, nent of life as a result of his diabetes?
\$		Vote
Proceed to Question 5.		
<b>-</b>	would fairly and reasonably cvices provided by her husband	compensate Mrs. Baker for any loss of d as a result of his diabetes?
\$	————·	Vote
Jury Foreperson April 10	n River	•