

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

It is ORDERED that, effective immediately, and until further order, the following Superior Court judges are designated as “Wiretap Judges” to receive applications and issue orders authorizing interceptions of wire, electronic, or oral communications pursuant to the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Act, *N.J.S.A. 2A:156A-1 et seq.* (hereinafter cited as “the Act”); and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the designated Wiretap Judges are assigned to supervise the Informal Communications Data Warrant (“CDW”) Vicinages as indicated:

Designated Wiretap Judges

Informal Supervisory Vicinages

Judge Albert J. Garofolo

Atlantic, Cape May, Cumberland, Ocean
and Salem Counties

Judge Peter E. Doyne
Judge Harry G. Carroll

Bergen County

Judge Marilyn C. Clark

Passaic and Sussex Counties

Judge Linda R. Feinberg
Judge Maria M. Sypek

Burlington, Hunterdon, Mercer, Somerset,
and Warren Counties

Judge Samuel Natal

Camden and Gloucester Counties

Judge Peter J. Vazquez

Essex County

Judge Maurice Gallipoli
Judge Kevin G. Callahan

Hudson County

Judge Thomas V. Manahan
Judge Joseph P. Donohue

Morris and Union Counties

Judge Travis L. Francis

Middlesex County

Judge Lawrence M. Lawson

Monmouth County

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the judges so designated by this Order as Wiretap Judges shall each have statewide jurisdiction for purposes of the Act; thus any applications made under the Act may be made to any such designated judge at the election of the applicant; and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, in the absence or unavailability of the designated judge who authorized a particular interception, the applicant may make any further applications to or take any further action appropriate under the Act before any other judge designated by the Order;

provided, however, that orders to seal tapes, wires, and all other recordings may be entered by (1) any other designated Wiretap Judge, or (2) the designated Communications Data Warrant Judge in the county or vicinage in which the investigation occurred, or (3) the Assignment Judge or Acting Assignment Judge for the county or vicinage in which the judge who authorized the interception sits; and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall supersede any and all prior orders designating judges to receive applications for, and to enter, orders authorizing interceptions of wire, electronic, or oral communications under the Act, and any and all prior orders designating judges authorized to seal tapes, wires and other recordings.

/s/ Stuart Rabner

Chief Justice

Dated: February 18, 2009