MONIKA MASTELLONE, ESQUIRE - Attorney ID #122942014

Assistant Deputy Public Defender

Monmouth Trial Region

7 Broad Street, Freehold, NJ 07728

Phone: (732) 308-4320 Fax: (732) 761-3679

Email: Monika.Mastellone@opd.nj.gov

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT, PAUL CANEIRO

STATE OF NEW JERSEY, : SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

MONMOUTH COUNTY COURT

Plaintiff, : LAW DIVISION - CRIMINAL

:

v. : INDICTMENT NO.: 19-02-283-I

PROSECUTOR FILE NO.: 18-4915

PAUL CANEIRO,

NOTICE OF MOTION

Defendant. : TO DISMISS COUNTS 13 & 14 OF

THE INDICTMENT

TO: AP Christopher Decker & AP Nicole Wallace Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office 132 Jerseyville Avenue Freehold, NJ 07728

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on a date set by the Court, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, Monika Mastellone, Esq., attorney for Defendant, Paul Caneiro, shall move before the Honorable Marc C. Lemieux, A.J.S.C., at the Monmouth County Superior Courthouse, 71 Monument Street, Freehold, New Jersey, for an Order granting dismissal of Counts 13 and 14 of the Indictment. The defendant will rely upon oral argument and a forthcoming brief in support of this Motion.

/s/ Monika Mastellone

Monika Mastellone, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Dated: June 29, 2025

MONIKA MASTELLONE, ESQUIRE - Attorney ID #122942014

Assistant Deputy Public Defender

Monmouth Trial Region

7 Broad Street, Freehold, NJ 07728

Phone: (732) 308-4320 Fax: (732) 761-3679

Email: Monika.Mastellone@opd.nj.gov

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT, PAUL CANEIRO

STATE OF NEW JERSEY, : SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

MONMOUTH COUNTY COURT

Plaintiff, : LAW DIVISION - CRIMINAL

:

v. : INDICTMENT NO.: 19-02-283-I

PROSECUTOR FILE NO.: 18-4915

PAUL CANEIRO,

ORDER GRANTING

Defendant. : DISMISSAL OF COUNTS 13 & 14

OF THE INDICTMENT

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by Monika Mastellone, Esquire, appearing, on behalf of the Defendant, Paul Caneiro, and Christopher Decker and Nicole Wallace, Assistant Prosecutors, Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office, appearing for the State, and the Court having heard arguments of counsel and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this day of 2025, hereby

ORDERED that defendant's Motion to Dismiss Counts 13 & 14 of the Indictment is GRANTED.

Honorable Marc C. Lemieux, A.J.S.C.

MCPO/18004915/00054811

MONMOUTH COUNTY GRAND JURY

CASE NO.: 18004915

INDICTMENT NO.: 19-02-0283

STATE OF NEW JERSEY :

: TRANSCRIPT

-vs- :

OF

:

PROCEEDINGS

47

PAUL CANEIRO,

Defendant. :

-- ----x

Heard on: February 11, 2019

APPEARANCES:

NICOLE WALLACE, ESQ., Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office

Audio Operator:

Kim Hamilton

TRACY GRIBBEN TRANSCRIPTION, LLC

859 Nutswamp Road RED BANK, NEW JERSEY 07701 (732) 263-0044 * FAX (732) 865-7179 800 603-6212 www.tgribbentranscription.com

```
2
 1
                                INDEX
 234
        WITNESS
                                                    DIRECT
 56
        DET. PATRICK PETRUZZIELLO
                                                       5
 7
 8
        EXHIBITS
                                                     PAGE
 9
                                                      43
        GJ-1
              Keith Caneiro autopsy report
10
                                                      52
        GJ-2
               Jennifer Caneiro autopsy report
11
                                                      55
        GJ-3
                             autopsy report
        GJ-4
                                                      59
12
                             autopsy report
13
        GJ-5
                                                      70
               Ballistics report
14
                                                      77
        GJ-6
               Forensic report, knife
15
        GJ-7
               Forensic report, jeans & glove
                                                      78
16
        GJ-8
                                                     120
               Email from Keith, 11/19/18
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Colloquy

MS. WALLACE: I'm assistant Prosecutor Nicole Wallace. Just a little bit about this case. This case involves charges of murder, felony murder, arson, weapons offenses, hindering and theft charges. This case was newsworthy. It involved the deaths of Keith Caneiro, his wife Jennifer Caneiro and their two children and at their home in Colts Neck back in November before Thanksgiving. I would imagine that many of you probably heard news accounts or read news stories about this or have seen or heard things on social media.

 Now, when you heard or read things in the news about this incident, you're obviously seeing and hearing accounts that aren't always necessarily accurate. So what I'm going to do right now is I'm going to instruct you that you may only consider that which comes before you in this room from that witness stand. You may not consider or factor into your deliberations anything that did not come from the witness in this particular room. Anything that you heard outside you may not consider.

Now, does everyone understand that instruction? Okay. Can everyone follow that instruction? Okay, I see all positive responses. Now, can everyone be fair and impartial as to these charges

22

23

24 25

1

2 3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just have to say something. A JUROR: have a friend who's a counselor in that school and she's been telling me, you know, how upset the kids were and everything. I don't know if that's going to affect --

MS. WALLACE: Okay. In an abundance of caution I'm going to ask that you refrain from hearing this particular case then, okay?

> A JUROR: Okay.

MS. WALLACE: Can we just go off the record for one second?

> (recording paused @ 9:12:34) (recording resumed @ 9:13:35)

MS. WALLACE: We're back on the record. for purposes of the record, we have excused that particular juror. Based upon that juror's response, is there anyone that cannot be fair and impartial in this particular case? If you cannot, please raise your I see no positive responses.

Finally, I'm going to ask if anyone knows any individuals involved in this particular case. You're going to be hearing the following names. Paul Caneiro, Keith Caneiro, Jennifer Caneiro,

5

, Susan Caneiro, Marissa Caneiro, Katelyn Caneiro, Corey Caneiro, Michael Abraham of the ATF, Anne Fitch, Dennis Corpora, Det. Christopher Clayton of the New Jersey State Police, Det. Patrick Petruzziello of the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office, Det. Brian Weisbrot of the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office, Det. Richard Zarrillo of Colts Neck Police Department, Chris Szymkowiak of the New Jersey State Police, John Hager (phonetic) from the FBI, Det. Debra Bassinder from the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office, Tiffany Rivera, Matthew Kisner (phonetic), Ronald Artiges (phonetic), Steven Weinstein, and Kimberly Patton. Does anyone know any of those individuals? I see no positive responses.

Okay. In that case, can you please get Det. Patrick Petruzziello.

> (Witness enters Grand Jury room) DET. PATRICK PETRUZZIELLO, SWORN

THE OFFICER: Have a seat, sir. Thank you. EXAMINATION BY MS. WALLACE:

Good morning, Detective.

Good morning.

Can you please state your full name and spell your last name.

Patrick Petruzziello, P E T R U Z Z I E L L O.

Petruzziello-Direct 1 Where are you employed? 2 I'm a detective with the Monmouth County 3 Prosecutor's Office. 4 What unit do you work in? 5 I'm assigned to the Major Crimes Bureau. 6 Okay. How long have you been in that 7 particular unit? 8 Since December of 2014. 9 Do you have any prior law enforcement 10 experience? 11 I do. I was hired in 1996 as a Class II Special Officer. I was hired as a full-time police officer in 12 13 2000, assigned to the Detective Bureau in a municipal 14 police department in 2003 where I remained until coming 15 to the Prosecutor's Office in 2014. So almost 23 16 years. 17 All right. Are you familiar with an 18 investigation surrounding the death of a family of 19 four, specifically Keith, Jennifer, 20 Caneiro? 21 I am. 22 And that occurred on November 20th of 2018 at 23 15 Willow Brook Road in Colts Neck? 24 Yes. 25 You were assigned as the lead detective from 0

Petruzziello-Direct

7

the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office? A I was.

Q Now, you were part of a larger investigative team that included other members of the Prosecutor's Office, of the Colts Neck Police Department and other agencies, is that correct?

A That's correct.

 $\,\,$ Q $\,\,$ As the lead detective you reviewed the materials related to this particular case, is that right?

A I have.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

- Q And that included the reports from other officers and other agencies involved, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q And you've spoken with other members of the investigative team, is that correct?
 A I have.
- Q And so you're familiar with the facts and circumstances surrounding this case, is that right?
- Q Now, on Tuesday November 20th of 2018 at approximately 4:59 a.m. members of the Ocean Township Police Department and emergency services personnel were dispatched to the residence of 27 Tilton Drive in Ocean Township, is that correct?

Petruzziello-Direct 1 That's correct. 2 And was that for a report of smoke inside 3 that residence? 4 Yes. 5 Now, in addition to Ocean Township Police 6 Department and emergency services personnel, members of 7 other agencies including detectives from the Monmouth 8 County Prosecutor's Office ultimately arrived on-scene 9 also, is that correct? 10 Yes. 11 You didn't respond there yourself, is that 12 right? 13 I did not. 14 Okay. And so what is going to follow is 0 15 information that you learned from other officers and 16 detectives that responded there, is that correct? 17 Yes. 18 And to be clear, the information to follow is Q 19 just a summary of the information that you obtained 20 from them, is that correct? 21 Correct. 22 Okay. Now, 27 Tilton Drive is a two-story residential dwelling, is that right? 23 24 Yes. 25 And it has a basement, is that correct? 0

Petruzziello-Direct

9

A It does.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And does it have an attached two-car garage? It does.
- Q Now, the houses adjacent to 27 Tilton Drive had to be evacuated for safety purposes, is that correct?

A They did.

- Q Now, who are the homeowners of 27 Tilton Drive?
- A Paul and Susan Caneiro, and his two adult daughters also reside there.
- $\ensuremath{\text{Q}}$ Okay. And Paul and Susan, were they husband and wife?

A They are.

- Q Upon arrival, first responders observed fire activity in the rear of the residence, is that correct? A They did.
- Q A small fire was also observed on the lower portion of the garage door, is that right?
 A Correct.
- Q Now, it was learned that the residence had been occupied by Paul and Susan Caneiro and their adult daughters Katelyn and Marissa Caneiro at the time of the fire, is that right?

 A Yes.

Q However, upon arrival of first responders all four of them were located in a vehicle that was parked outside of the home, is that correct?

A They were.

Q Okay. And again, we're talking about the home in Ocean Township, 27 Tilton, is that right? A Yes.

Q Now, the vehicle that the family of four that they were in, was a Porsche Cayenne, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q That Porsche Cayenne had initially been parked in the garage at the time of the fire, it was learned, is that right? A Yes.

Q But it was learned that Paul Caneiro subsequently drove it out of the garage, is that right? A He did.

 ${\tt Q} {\tt And}$ that vehicle was registered or leased to Susan Caneiro?

A Yes.

Q And that vehicle was reported to be primarily operated by Susan Caneiro, correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, there were other vehicles that were parked in the driveway at 27 Tilton as well, is that

Petruzziello-Direct

right?

A Yes.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ One of them was a Porsche Macan, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And Paul Caneiro was reported to be the operator of that vehicle, is that right?

A He was.

Q And it was learned that that was in fact a loaner car as his car was reportedly being serviced, is that right?

A Yes.

Q Now, a gasoline can was located in the driveway of 27 Tilton Drive in close proximity to the Porsche Macan, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q The nozzle of that gasoline can was burnt, is that right?

A It was.

Q The Porsche Macan had some brown colored staining on the hood, is that correct?

A It did.

Q And that was indicative of a surface pattern burn, is that right?

A It was.

Q Was there also a charred rubber glove located on the ground in front of the Porsche Macan and the garage door that was burnt?

A Yes.

- ${\tt Q}$ $\,$ All right. Paul Caneiro ultimately provided consent to search that Porsche Macan, is that correct? A $\,$ He did.
- Q Now, while officers were speaking with Paul Caneiro, he indicated that he sustained an injury to the back portion of his left hand, the opposite side of his palm, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And officers observed what appeared to be some kind of bruising and swelling on that particular hand, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, were fire suppression efforts being conducted at that point?

A They were.

- Q Okay. And an investigation into the cause and original of the fire at 27 Tilton Drive in Ocean Township was ultimately conducted by professionals that were trained in fire investigations, is that right? A Correct.
 - Q And the conclusions of that investigation

Petruzziello-Direct

MCPO/18004915/00054817

were relayed to the investigative team, including yourself, is that correct?

A They were.

- Q And what was determined was that there were three separate areas of fire origin at the Caneiro residence at 27 Tilton Drive in Ocean Township, is that correct?
- A Correct.
- Q The first area of origin identified was the rear corner of the house where the basement window was located, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Now, the window glass was broken and pieces of the glass were observed inside the basement, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q And the fire was determined to be incendiary in nature, meaning it was set on purpose, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q The second area of origin identified was the lower left corner of the left side of the garage door, is that right?
- A Yes.
 - And that fire was also determined to be

incendiary in nature, is that right? A Correct.

Petruzziello-Direct

A It was.

- Q The third area of origin identified was on the front exterior portion of the Porsche Macan, is that correct?
- A Correct.
- Q Now, two butane lighters were located inside the residence?
- A They were.
- Q During the course of the investigation the residence was determined to have video surveillance cameras affixed to the home, is that correct?
- A Correct.
- Q Okay. Now, was the video, the DVR portion of it, was that located in the garage?
- A It was.
- Q Paul Caneiro advised that he could not recall whether his video surveillance cameras were operational at the time of the fire, is that right?

 A Yes.
 - Q And he was asked to explain, is that correct?

Petruzziello-Direct

- A He was.
- Q And did he indicate that he could not recall whether he turned off the video recording system the previous day, is that right?
- A Yes.
- Q And he advised that he periodically turns off the surveillance cameras because he suspected that the system causes his wi-fi system to run slow, is that right?
- A That's what he said, yes.
- Q Paul voluntarily consented to allow law enforcement to search his DVR equipment, is that right? A He did.
- Q And one of the things that was discovered was that those video cameras maintained on his residence were hard-wired, not internet wi-fi based, is that correct?
- A Correct.
- Q Okay. And law enforcement's review of that DVR equipment further revealed that the DVR system had stored data without interruption dating back to October 27th of 2018, is that right?
- A Yes.
- Q And ultimately during the course of the investigation it was revealed that the last activity

17

Petruzziello-Direct 16 recorded on the DVR system was earlier that very 1 2 morning, is that correct? 3 Correct. Α 4 So that would have been November 20th of 2018 5 at approximately 1:29 a.m., is that correct? 6 Yes. 7 Yes. Specifically the investigative team 8 reviewed that surveillance, is that correct? 9 They did. 10 And you viewed it yourself too, is that 11 correct? 12 I did. 13 And you see at 1:29 a.m. Paul Caneiro walk 14 into his garage, turn on the light, is that correct? 15 Correct. 16 And then you see him walk back toward the 17 area where the DVR system was stored, is that correct? 18 Correct. 19 And then the surveillance goes black and the 20 camera stops working, is that correct? 21 It does. 22 So that was at 1:29 a.m. in the Okay. 23 morning approximately? 24 1:29 in the morning, yes. Q Okay. And when the first responders arrived 25

Petruzziello-Direct

it was approximately between 4:59 and 5:02 a.m., is that right? Correct.

A little later that morning Paul, Susan and their daughters travel to Ocean Township headquarters voluntarily so that detectives could further speak with them regarding the fire investigation into their house, is that correct?

Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, at about 3:47 p.m. that particular day, November 20th, Susan Caneiro was interviewed by Det. Weisbrot, is that correct?

Yes.

And that interview was transcribed in writing, is that right?

It was.

You had the opportunity to review that? I did.

In summary, Susan advised that on November 19th, so the day prior, Paul had gone for a stress test, is that correct?

Correct.

And that he arrived home at about 3:00 p.m., is that correct?

Correct. Α

Q And she stated that he went to the spare bedroom where he normally sleeps as he was not feeling well, is that right?

Petruzziello-Direct

A He did, yes.

Q And she advised that she went to bed at about 10:30 p.m., is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And that she did not see or hear Paul get up until the next morning, is that right?
A Yes.

Q And she said that's when he came into her bedroom stating that the house was on fire, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Now, that wasn't the entirety of her statement but that's essentially a summary of the information that she provided, is that correct?

A Right. Yes.

Q On that particular, that same date, so November 20th, Katelyn Caneiro, the daughter, was also interviewed by Dets. Condon and Orbach, is that correct?

A Yes.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ Okay. And that interview was transcribed in writing.

Petruzziello-Direct

A It was.

Q And did you have the opportunity to review it?

A I did.

Q And in summary, Katelyn advised that she arrived home at about 6:15 p.m. on November 19th of 2018, is that right?

A Yes.

Q And that she went to bed at approximately 11:00 p.m., is that right?

A Correct.

Q And she stated that she was awoken the next morning when her father Paul Caneiro knocked on her bedroom door and told her the house was on fire, is that right?

A Yes.

- Q Now, again, that's not the entirety of her statement, that's just a summary of some of the information that she provided, is that correct?

 A Correct.
- Q On that same date Marissa Caneiro was interviewed by Dets. Condon and Martin, is that correct?
- A She was.
 - And that interview was also transcribed in

Petruzziello-Direct 20 1 writing, is that correct? 2 It was. 3 Did you have the opportunity to review it? 4 Yes, I did. Α 5 And Marissa Caneiro advised that she arrived 6 home at about 7:30 p.m. on November 19th of 2018, is 7 that right? 8 Yes. And that her father Paul Caneiro was in his 9 Q 10 room, is that correct? 11 Correct. 12 And she stated that she went to bed at about, 13 between nine and 9:30 p.m., is that right? 14 Α Yes. 15 And that she was awoken the next morning when 16 she heard her father advising that the house was on 17 fire, is that right? 18 Correct. 19 Now, she also indicated that her uncle Keith 20 Caneiro had texted her father at three in the morning, 21 saying his power was out, is that correct? 22 Yes. 23 But that her dad was asleep and didn't reply 24 to the text, according to her, is that correct? 25 Α

Petruzziello-Direct

21

Q Now, again, that's not the entirety of her statement but a summary of some of the information she provided, is that right?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q On that same date, so November 20th of 2018, you and Det. Zarrillo also interview, you interviewed Corey Caneiro, is that correct?

A We did.

Q That is Keith and Paul's other brother, is that right?

A Yes.

Q And he lives in Fair Haven with his wife and children, is that correct?

A He does.

Q Now, was his interview transcribed in writing?

A It was.

- Q Okay. So in sum, Corey stated that his brothers Keith and Paul are in business together and that they own three companies, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q Corey identified the businesses as Jay Martin Consulting, which is computer consultant business, is that right?

A Yes.

	Petruzziello-Direct 22
1 2 3	Q Square One, a computer security business, is that right? A Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And Eco-Star, a pest management business, is that correct? A Yes.
7 8 9	Q Corey indicated that he has multiple sclerosis and is disabled so he is not involved in the businesses, is that right?
10	A That's correct.
11 12	Q He stated that prior to going on disability he worked in a field of computers as well, is that
13	right?
14 15	A Yes. Q Corey indicated that his brother Paul was
16	involved in a motor vehicle accident about two to three
17	years ago and is on disability, is that correct?
18 19	A Correct. Q He stated that Paul Caneiro also had back
20 21	surgery a couple of months prior, is that right? A Yes.
22 23	Q He stated that he actually saw Paul that particular morning, so November 20th, is that right?
24 25	A Yes. Q And he said that he went to Paul's house when

he learned of the fire there, is that correct? A Correct.

- Q And that Corey, himself, he gave Susan Caneiro some cash, is that correct?
- A He did.
 Q And then he took Paul's daughters to get bagels, is that correct?

A Yes, he did.

- Q Corey indicated that in the morning when he arrived at Paul's house he observed that Paul had an ice pack on his hand, is that correct?

 A Correct.
- ${\tt Q}$ ${\tt And}$ that he was reportedly given the ice pack by fire or first aid, is that right? A Yes.
- Q Corey indicated that Paul had told him that he hurt his hand getting out of the garage, is that correct?

A Correct.

- ${\tt Q}$ Corey indicated that Paul did not provide him any details regarding the fire. A Yes.
- Q And he stated that he believed Paul had been wearing pajama bottoms when he was speaking with him, is that correct?

A	Yes.
7 7	

Q Now, did you ask Corey about the relationship between Paul and Keith?

Petruzziello-Direct

A I did.

Q And Corey indicated that Keith would always complain about Paul but classified it as "normal brother stuff", is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Corey also indicated that Keith would routinely call him or send him emails complaining about Paul, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Corey then indicated that he recently received an email from Keith regarding Paul which he ultimately forwarded to you, is that correct?

A Yes.

- Q Now, we're going to talk about that email a little later on. But essentially that was not the entirety of the interview but it was a summary of some of the information that Corey provided, is that right? A Yes. That's correct.
- Q All right. So just to recap. Tuesday November 20th, 2018 at about 4:59 a.m. there's the report of smoke inside Paul Caneiro's residence in Ocean Township, is that right?

Petruzziello-Direct

A Yes.

Q All right. Fast forward about seven and a half hours now, to November 20th, 12:34 p.m. in the afternoon on that same date. Okay?

A Uh hum.

Q Paul and his family had been with first responders and law enforcement at that point in Ocean Township and they had been with them all morning, is that right?

A They were.

Q Okay. So while Paul and his family are at the Ocean Township Police Department the Colts Neck Police and fire departments were called to respond to smoke coming from a residence in Colts Neck, is that correct?

A That's correct.

 ${\tt Q}$ $\,$ And that residence that they were called to respond to was 15 Willow Brook Road, Colts Neck, is that right?

A That's correct.

Q And that residence happened to be the residence of Paul Caneiro's brother Keith Caneiro, is that right?

A Yes.

And Keith Caneiro's wife Jennifer Caneiro,

Petruzziello-Direct 26 1 Paul's sister-in-law, is that right? 2 Yes. 3 And their two children, 0 4 Paul's niece and nephew, is that correct? 5 That is correct. 6 Now, during the course of the investigation 7 was 11 years old, is that it was learned that 8 right? 9 Yes. Α 10 was eight years old, is that And 11 right? 12 Correct. 13 And again, that's Keith and Jennifer's 14 children, is that right? 15 Yes. 16 So specifically, the call came in as a 9-1-1 17 call from a neighbor, is that right? 18 Yes. 19 That neighbor reported smoke coming from the 20 residence of 15 Willow Brook Road and what appeared to 21 be a deceased male lying face down on the front lawn of 22 15 Willow Brook Road, is that correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 It was learned that upon the arrival of first 25

responders that the body of the deceased male later

Petruzziello-Direct 27 1 identified as homeowner Keith Caneiro, Paul's brother, 2 was located lying face down on the front lawn, is that 3 correct? 4 He was. 5 6 And his arms were tucked underneath him, is that right? 7 Yes. Α 8 And there was blood pooling around his head, 9 is that correct? 10 Yes. 11 His cell phone was located on the grass near 12 his body, is that correct? 13 Yes. 14 And Keith was fully clothed, is that right? 0 15 He was. Α 16 He was wearing pants, sneakers and a 17 windbreaker style jacket, is that right? 18 Yes. 19 And in fact he had the hood of his jacket up 20 over his head, is that correct? 21 Yes. 22 During the initial fire suppression efforts 23 three more bodies were located inside of 15 Willow 24 Brook Road in Colts Neck, is that correct? 25 Α They were.

Petruzziello-Direct 28 1 The deceased body of Jennifer Caneiro was located on the first floor foyer area on top of the 2 3 ascending stairwell that leads into the basement, is 4 that correct? 5 Yes. A 6 Her body was badly burned, is that right? 0 7 A It was. 8 During the course of the investigation it was 9 learned that Jennifer sustained a gunshot wound to her 10 head, is that right? 11 Yes. 12 And that she also sustained multiple stab 13 wounds, is that correct? 14 A That's correct. Additionally, the deceased body of 15 16 11 years old, was located on the floor in the 17 kitchen, is that correct? 18 He was. 19 During the course of the investigation it was 20 learned that sustained multiple stab wounds, is 21 that correct? 22 That's correct. Additionally, the deceased body of eight year was located on the platform of the 23 24 25 ascending staircase that led from the first to the

29 Petruzziello-Direct 1 second floor, is that correct? 2 That is correct. 3 During the course of the investigation it was 4 learned that sustained multiple stab wounds, is 56 that correct? Yes. 7 Now, you arrived to the Colts Neck scene at 8 about 1:45 p.m., is that correct? 9 I did. 10 And again you were assigned as the lead 11 detective, right? 12 I was. A 13 0 For Monmouth County? 14 Yes. A 15 And when you arrived, was that after all of 16 the bodies had already been discovered? 17 Yes. 18 Okay. And it was well after fire suppression 19 efforts had begun, is that correct? 20 Correct. 21 When you arrived did you observe numerous 22 fire and first aid personnel on location? 23 I did. 24 And did you observe active fire still to the 25 residence?

Petruzziello-Direct 30 1 Α I did. 2 And were fire suppression operations ongoing? 3 Α Yes, they were. 4 Can you describe the condition of that house? 5 There was a lot of fire and water damage. 6 Now, when you arrived there were already 7 multiple other law enforcement officers present, 8 including other detectives from Monmouth County 9 Prosecutor's Office, is that right? 10 Yes, there were. 11 And did you speak with those individuals? 12 I did. 13 Okay. And you were informed as to what 14 transpired prior to your arrival, is that correct? 15 Yes, I was. 16 Q Okay. Now, your counterpart from Colts Neck, Det. Richard Zarrillo, had been assigned as the lead 17 18 detective from Colts Neck, is that correct? 19 Yes, he was. 20 All right. So 15 Willow Brook Road was a 21 large house, is that correct? 22 Yes. 23 And it had a basement, is that right? 24 Yes, it did. Α 25 And it had a long driveway that led up to the

Petruzziello-Direct 31 1 house, is that correct? 2 Correct. 3 And the house was set way back off of the 4 street, is that right? 5 It was. 6 And it had a three-car garage attached to the 7 west side of the residence, is that correct? 8 Yes. 9 So if you're facing the house, the west side Q 10 would be to your right, is that correct? 11 Yes. 12 And then to your left would be the east side? 13 Yes. Α So the body of Keith Caneiro had been located 14 15 on the front lawn on that northwest portion of the property, is that correct? 16 17 That's correct. 18 Also on the west side of the house there was 19 an exterior electrical system and generator, is that 20 correct? 21 Yes. Α 22 The generator was a whole house generator? 23 Α Yes, it was. 24 Can you explain what that means? 25 A whole house generator is essentially a piece of Α

equipment that's permanently set in place on the exterior of a structure, or residence in this case. It's permanently wired into the electrical system of the house, and in the event of power loss the generator automatically kicks on and provides power to the entire residence, or the whole house.

- Q Okay. So the generator that was located on the west side of the house, that was approximately 25 feet from the corner of the residence where the electrical meter was located, is that correct?

 A Correct.
- Q One of the things you learned during the course of the investigation was that the electrical meter and the cover to the meter box had both been removed and were lying on the ground when first responders arrived, is that right?

A That is correct.

1 2

Α

Q During the course of the investigation it was discovered that the power had gone out at 15 Willow Brook Road in the early morning hours of November 20th of 2018, is that right?

A That's correct.

- Q At approximately 2:52 a.m., is that correct? Yes.
 - Q And that was several hours prior to the

Petruzziello-Direct

h o

arrival of the first responders at 12:34 in the afternoon, is that right?

A Yes.

- Q And the power had gone out despite the fact that there was the generator, right?
 A That's correct.
- Q So the generator never kicked in, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q During the course of the investigation members of the investigative team met with a representative from the electric company that was servicing the generator to 15 Willow Brook Road, is that correct?

A We did, yes.

Q That technician examined the generator and found that it was in proper working condition, is that correct?

A Yes.

- Q And he examined the electrical components for the generator located in the basement, is that right? A He did.
- Q And he found that the switch for the generator had been set in the auto position, as it should be, is that correct?

A Correct.

 ${\tt Q}$ ${\tt And}$ so when the power to the house was lost the generator should have come on, is that correct? A Yes.

Q Now, one of the things the technician noticed was that the main electrical breaker was switched to the off position, is that correct? A Yes.

Q And during the course of the investigation it was learned that one of the firefighters had in fact turned that breaker off at some point upon his arrival, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q However, that firefighter advised that he did not manipulate any other controls on the generator outside, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Based upon further investigation and examination of the generator, the technician found that in another area of the generator, another access panel, there was a main power switch that had been manually turned off, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And he explained that that switch being turned off would not have allowed the generator to

Petruzziello-Direct

start up once the power was lost to the house, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Now, an individual named Michael Abraham who worked as the electrical engineer for the ATF was one of the individuals who assisted in the investigation into the fire, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Okay. And we're talking about the ATF is the Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco and Firearms, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Based on his investigation, he advised that he determined that the house was "de-energized", is that right?

A Yes.

Q And that he did not observe any tripped circuit breakers, is that right?

A Yes.

Q And he relayed to you that based on those findings the power was most likely lost to the residence prior to the fire, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q During the course of the investigation, during a walkthrough of the basement it was determined

that the Verizon fiberoptic line leading into the optic network terminal had been physically cut, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Now, Verizon advised that the FiOS optical network terminal went out of service at about 3:42 a.m., is that correct?

A That is correct.

 ${\tt Q} \quad {\tt Okay.} \quad {\tt And again, on November 20th, is that right?}$

A Yes.

Q Okay. Can you just explain what that means? A The optic network terminal is essential a box that Verizon places either on the exterior of your house or inside your house, and the main service fiberoptic line runs into that and thus provides telecommunications to your house. Phone, internet, that kind of thing.

Q Okay. Now, based upon the investigation into the house were any smoke alarms present in that residence?

A There were.

Q Okay. So the fact that that wire leading into the optical network terminal was cut, what if any impact would that have had on the smoke alarm system? A Cutting the fiber line would have caused any

Petruzziello-Direct

communications either coming into the house or going out of the house to not happen. Essentially the alarm panel, if it was monitored by an alarm monitoring company, they would not be able to be alerted to any smoke alarms activations in the house.

Q Okay. So just to recap, we have about 2:52 a.m. the power goes out to 15 Willow Brook Road, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And at about 3:42 a.m. is when that fiberoptic line appeared to have been cut, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q During the course of your investigation a Verizon representative further advised that there were certain alerts related to the Verizon service at 15 Willow Brook Road, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Specifically they advised that the first alert occurred on November 20th of 2018 at 2:52 a.m. for a loss of a/c power to the optical network terminal, is that right?

A Correct.

Q Again, meaning that the power was lost, is that correct?

Petruzziello-Direct 38 1 The power was lost, yes. 2 Additionally, during the investigation 3 multiple surveillance cameras were located throughout 4 the residence of 15 Willow Brook Road, is that correct? 5 There were. 6 A total of six cameras were located in the 7 residence and the garage, is that correct? 8 Yes. 9 And they were collected as evidence, is that Q 10 correct? 11 They were. 12 You had the opportunity to review those, is 13 that right? 14 Α I did. 15 One of the surveillance cameras in particular 16 was located in the garage, is that correct? 17 It was. 18 And it viewed the single garage door that was 19 located on the west side of the residence, is that

right?
A Yes.
Q And it essentially overlooked the area where the electrical meter and the generator were located, is that correct?
A Yes.

Petruzziello-Direct

39

- Q So the camera was inside of the garage but it essentially looked out the window, is that right?
 A Yes. The window of the garage door.
- Q Of the garage door. And to the right of that camera, just north of the garage door on the exterior of that corner of the house was where the electrical meter was located, is that right?

A Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 ${\tt Q}$ $\,$ And on the left side is where the generator was located, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q So on November -- So what you see basically when you view that, is that on November 20th, 2018 at about 2:47:26 a.m. the motion light affixed to the exterior of the house above the garage door activates, is that correct?

A Yes.

- ${\tt Q} {\tt And}$ then it shuts off, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q And then at 2:47:48 hours you see the motion light activate and come on a second time, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And then at 2:47:56 hours, so about eight seconds later, you see what appears to be a human

40

41

Petruzziello-Direct figure, you see them walking across on the exterior side of the garage across from the generator side to 1 2 3 the side where the electrical meter is, is that 4 correct? 5 Correct. 6 Then at 2:48:20 hours you can hear metal-like 7 mechanical sounds, is that correct? 8 Yes. 9 And then at 2:52 a.m. the cameras stop Q 10 working, is that right? 11 That's correct. 12 And that's consistent when, the time when the 13 power goes out, is that correct? 14 Α Yes. 15 All right. Now, during the course of this 16 investigation it was learned that some text messages 17 had been sent from Keith's cell phone to Paul Caneiro's 18 cell phone in the early morning hours of November 20th, 19 2018, is that correct? 20 Yes. 21 So something called a cell phone extraction 22 was performed on Paul Caneiro's cell phone, is that 23 correct? 24 It was.

25

23

24

25

1 correct? 2 Yes. 3 And it essentially takes information out of 4 the phone and investigators are able to view it, is 5 that correct? That's correct. 7 One of the things reviewed was Paul Caneiro's 8 text message history, is that correct? 9 Yes. 10 And what that review showed was that there 11 were four incoming text messages to Paul's phone from the cell phone number associated with Keith Caneiro's 12 13 phone, is that correct? 14 Yes. Α 15 And they were as follows. 3:14:58 a.m., my 16 power is totally out at home. Total a/c failure. 17 that the first text? 18 Yes. Α 19 And again, these are from Keith's phone to 20 Paul's phone, is that correct? 21 Correct. 22 Then the second one, 3:15:01 a.m. "I used

the manual switch in the basement but nothing is

working." Is that correct?

Yes.

Α

It's also known as a phone dump, is that

Petruzziello-Direct

Petruzziello-Direct 42 Third text, 3:17:59 a.m. "I'm not even sure 1 2 what to do." Is that right? 3 Yes. 4 And then 3:18:13 a.m. "The generator says 5 a/c failure. Going outside to see if the generator is 6 in the right mode." Is that correct? 7 That is correct. 8 Okay. Now, when Paul's phone was viewed, 9 those four text messages were not shown as being "read" 10 until 5:03 a.m., is that correct? 11 That is correct. 12 And that's about the time that first 13 responders came to his house in Ocean Township, is that 14 correct? 15 Yes. 16 All right. I'm going to switch gears for a 17 little bit. On November 21st of 2018 was an examination of Keith Caneiro's body conducted by the 18 19 Middlesex County Medical Examiner's Office? 20 Yes, it was. 21 That examination is more commonly referred to 22 as an autopsy, is that correct? 23 Yes. Α In other words, it's an examination to figure 24 25 out how a person died. Is that right?

Petruzziello-Direct 43 1 Yes. 2 In this case the autopsy was performed by 3 Assistant Medical Examiner Dr. Alex Zhang, is that 4 correct? 5 It was. 6 Did Dr. Zhang prepare a report based upon 7 that examination? 8 He did. 9 Did you have an opportunity to review that Q 10 report? 11 I have. 12 I'm going to show you what's been marked as 13 Grand Jury Exhibit 1. Do you recognize that? 14 I do. Α 15 Okay. And what is that? 16 This is the autopsy report for Keith Caneiro. Okay. 17 During the examination Dr. Zhang identified five gunshot wounds to Keith Caneiro's body, 18 19 is that correct? 20 Α Yes. 21 One gunshot wound in his lower back area, is 22 that correct? 23 Yes. 24 One gunshot wound on his right lateral neck, 25 is that correct?

Petruzziello-Direct 44 1 Yes. Α 2 One gunshot wound on his right side of his 3 face, is that correct? 4 That is correct. 5 One gunshot wound on the right side of his 6 head, is that correct? 7 That's correct. 8 And one gunshot wound to the right top of his 9 head, is that correct? 10 That's correct. 11 Dr. Zhang noted that x-rays were taken, is 12 that correct? 13 He did. 14 And that those x-rays revealed a small, a few 15 small pieces of projectiles that remained in the top of 16 the head, is that correct? 17 Yes. 18 Dr. Zhang's conclusion as to the cause of 19 death was multiple gunshot wounds, is that correct? 20 Yes. 21 And his conclusion as to the manner of death 22 was homicide, is that correct? That is correct. 23 24 I'm going to take that back from you. 25 the course of the investigation two individuals who

Petruzziello-Direct 1 lived in two separate residences in Colts Neck reported 2 hearing shots fired in the early morning hours of 3 November 20th, 2018, is that correct? 4 That is correct. 5 Now, the first call or the first report came 6 in as a 9-1-1 call, is that right? 7 Α Yes. 8 That call was placed at about 3:33 a.m., is 9 that correct? 10 That is correct. 11 And that call was recorded and saved, is that 12 right? 13 It was. 14 You had the opportunity to listen to it? 15 I have. Α 16 In summary, the caller identifies himself as 17 Dennis Corpora, is that right? 18 Α Yes. 19 And he asks, you can hear him asking whether Colts Neck allows hunting in the middle of the night, 20 21 is that correct? 22 Yes. 23 And the dispatcher says no and asks if he was 24 hearing gunshots, is that right? 25 Correct. Α

47

46

9 10

11

correct?

Yes.

Now, you didn't speak with her yourself, right?

I did not.

However, the officers who spoke with her relayed the information that they learned to you, is that correct?

They did. Α

Now, Anne Fitch indicated she's 71 years old, is that right?

Yes.

And she lived at 124 Cedar Drive in Colts Neck, is that correct?

Yes.

As per Google maps, that's about three tenths of a mile from 15 Willow Brook Road, is that right? That's correct.

Now, Ms. Fitch was interviewed by Det. Sean Murphy of the Prosecutor's Office on November 21st of 2018, is that right?

That's correct.

That interview was transcribed in writing, is that correct?

It was. Α

Petruzziello-Direct 1 You had an opportunity to read it? 2 I did. In summary, Ms. Fitch advised that she woke 4 up on November 20th of 2018 at about one in the 5 6 morning, is that correct? Yes. 7 And that she was having trouble sleeping due 8 to a medical issue with her knee, is that correct? 9 That's correct. 10 She said she heard a series of four to five 11 shots quickly in a row, is that correct? 12 Yes. 13 Followed by a pause, and then one more 14 "bang", is that correct? 15 That is correct. 16 She advised that she looked at her cable box 17 and that the time was 3:10 a.m., is that correct? 18 That is correct. 19 Now, that's a summary of some of the 20 information that she provided in her statement, is that 21 correct? 22 Yes. 23 During the course of the investigation was 24 any ballistic evidence recovered from the yard of 15

Petruzziello-Direct

49

48

There was.

Willow Brook Road?

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. I just want to talk for a second about some of the terminology that you're going to be hearing with respect to ballistic evidence, in particular ammunition. Can you explain what, for example, what a cartridge is as opposed to a cartridge or shell casing as opposed to a bullet?

Handgun ammunition is basically made up of Yes. You have a cartridge or shell casing and two parts. you have the bullet portion. When they're together or unfired that's a cartridge or live round. When the gun is fired the bullet separates, travels down the barrel and out the gun, the cartridge remains behind and it is ejected from the gun and falls to the ground in the, typically in the area of the shooter.

- Okay. So during the course of the investigation six cartridge or shell casings were located outside on the west and northwest property of 15 Willow Brook Road, is that correct? They were.
- And some discharged bullets were also found there as well, is that correct? Yes.
- Two bullet specimens were found under and near Keith's body, is that right?

Petruzziello-Direct 1 That's correct. 2 And there was a third one found imbedded on 3 the ground, is that correct? 4 That is correct. 5 There was also a live round located on the 6 paved deck on the southwest side of the property, is 7 that right? 8 Yes. 9 Now again, the west side of the property is 10 where the generator and electrical meter were located, 11 right? 12 Α Yes. 13 And the northwest side of the property was 14 where Keith's body was located, is that correct? 15 That is correct. 16 The aforementioned six shell casings that 17 were found in the yard, the aforementioned three bullets that were found in the yard, and the one live 18 19 cartridge that was found in the yard, they were all 20 sent to the ballistics unit of the New Jersey State 21 Police Lab for examination, testing and comparison, is

Petruzziello-Direct

51

We have the

50

is that correct?

that correct?

Α

They were.

Yes.

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

According to Dr. Zhang, Keith was shot five times, is that correct?

Q Okay. So just quickly to recap. We have to power going out at 15 Willow Brook Road at 2:52 a.m.,

Yes.

There were six cartridge casings found outside 15 Willow Brook Road, or shell casings, is that correct?

Yes. Α

Mr. Corpora stated he heard five shots in a row following by a two second pause, and then a sixth shot, is that right?

That's correct.

Ms. Fitch stated she heard four to five shots followed by a pause and then another shot, is that correct?

Α Yes.

- Now, on November 21st, 2018 was an Okay. examination of Jennifer Caneiro's body conducted by the Middlesex County Medical Examiner's Office?
- It was. Α
 - And again, that's an autopsy, right?
- Correct. Α
- The autopsy of Jennifer's body was performed by Assistant Medical Examiner Dr. Lauren Thoma, is that

	Petruzziello-Direct 52
1	correct?
2 3 4	A That is correct. Q Did Dr. Thoma prepare a report based on her
7	examination?
5	A She did.
6	Q Did you have the opportunity to review that
7	report?
8	A I did.
9	Q I'm going to show you what's been marked as
10	Grand Jury Exhibit 2. Do you recognize that?
11	A I do.
11 12 13	O What is that?
13	A This is the autopsy report for Jennifer Caneiro.
14	Q During the examination Dr. Thoma identified
14 15	five stab wounds to Jennifer's body, is that correct?
16	A She did.
17	Q There was a stab wound to her lower left
18	chest, is that correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q She identified another stab wound to her left
21	lower abdomen, is that correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q One to her right breast, is that correct?
20 21 22 23 24 25	A Yes.
25	Q One to her left mid back, is that correct?

Petruzziello-Direct 53 Yes. 1 Α 2 One to her left lower back, is that correct? 3 Yes. Α 4 Now, Dr. Thoma also identified one gunshot 5 wound to Jennifer's head, is that correct? Yes. 7 And Dr. Thoma notes that that bullet was --8 remained lodged in Jennifer's head, is that correct? 9 Yes. 10 Now, Dr. Thoma's report notes extensive 11 thermal injuries over nearly her entire body, including 12 obscuring her facial features, charring of the skin and 13 splitting of the skin, is that correct? 14 Yes. Α 15 However, Dr. Thoma notes that there is no 16 soot present in Jennifer's airways, in her lungs, is 17 that correct? 18 That's correct. Α 19 And Jennifer's blood was tested, is that 20 right? 21 It was. 22 And according to the report it tested 23 negative for the presence of carbon monoxide, is that 24 correct? 25 Yes. Α

	Petruzziello-Direct 54
1 2 3 4 5	Q And so the report indicates carboxyhemoglobin is not detected, is that correct? A Yes.
4	Q And so Dr. Thoma's conclusion as to the cause
5	of Jennifer's death was a gunshot wound to the head and
6	stab wounds to the torso, is that correct?
7	A Yes.
7 8 9	Q And her conclusion as to the manner of death
9	was homicide, is that correct?
10	A That is correct.
11	Q Okay. I can take that back from you.
12	A Thank you.
13	Q On November 21st of 2018 an autopsy was
14	performed on eight year old body, is
15	that correct?
16	A Yes, it was.
17	Q And that was performed by Assistant Medical
18	Examiner Dr. Alex Zhang, is that correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did Dr. Zhang prepare a report based on that
21	examination?
22 23	A He did.
	Q Did you have the opportunity to review it?
24	A I have.
25	Q I'm going to show you what's been marked as

55 Petruzziello-Direct Grand Jury Exhibit 3. 1 Do you recognize that? 2 3 I do. And what is that? 4 This is the autopsy report for 5 Okay. During the examination Dr. Zhang identified multiple stab wounds and cuts to body, is that correct? 7 8 Yes. 9 Specifically he notes the following. Q 10 cut on the right top of her head, is that correct? 11 Yes. 12 A stab wound on the back of the left axilla, 13 is that right? 14 Yes. A A cut on the middle of her back. 15 Q 16 Yes. A 17 Two stab wounds on her right upper lateral 18 buttock area. 19 A Yes. A superficial vertical cut at the right 20 Q 21 posterior lateral thigh. 22 Yes. A 23 Two stab wounds on the left side of her nose. Q 24 Yes. A 25 Six cuts or stab wounds at the middle Q

56

```
Petruzziello-Direct
 1
        anterior portion of her chest.
 2
             Yes.
 3
                   A stab wound on the right lateral chest.
             0
 4
             Yes.
        Α
 5
                   One stab wound on her anterior right thigh.
 6
             Yes.
        Α
 7
                   Two vertical cuts on the medial surface of
 8
        her right lower leg, is that right?
 9
             Yes.
10
                   And one vertical cut on the medial surface of
11
        her right ankle.
12
             Yes.
        Α
13
                   A stab wound on the left anterior upper arm.
14
        Α
             Yes.
15
                   A stab wound on her right forearm.
             Q
16
        Α
             Yes.
17
                   A faint cut on her right forearm.
18
             Yes.
        Α
19
                   A stab wound and a superficial cut at the
             Q
20
        right elbow.
21
             Yes.
22
                   A deep cut on the posterior right wrist.
             0
23
             Yes.
        Α
24
                   A vertical skin split from the back of the
25
        right hand toward the forearm.
```

Petruzziello-Direct 57 1 Α Yes. 2 Three superficial cuts on the medial surface 3 of her left forearm. 4 Yes. 5 A cut on the right lateral lower portion of 6 her face, is that correct? 7 Yes. Α 8 During the examination Dr. Zhang also 9 identified blunt-force injuries to body, is 10 that correct? 11 Yes. 12 Specifically abrasions on the right upper 13 eyelid and abrasions at the temple area just lateral to 14 her right eye, is that right? 15 Yes. A small contusion on the anterior of her 16 17 chin, is that correct? 18 Yes. 19 Small abrasions in the right lower lip, is 20 that correct? 21 Yes. 22 And tears of the upper frenulum in the oral 23 cavity, is that correct? 24 Correct. 25 He also noted contusions on her left lower

	Petruzziello-Direct 58
1 2	chest, is that correct?
3	Q And purple contusions on both anterior knees,
4	is that correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Additionally, Dr. Zhang also identified soot
7	on her tongue and the mucosa of her upper airway, is
8	that correct?
9	A That is correct.
10	Q And blood was tested for the
11 12 13	presence of carbon monoxide, is that correct?
12	A It was.
13	Q And unlike Jennifer's, the results in this
14 15	particular report revealed that she had 49 percent
15	carboxyhemoglobin in her blood system, which is carbon
16	monoxide, is that correct?
17	A That is correct.
18	Q Dr. Zhang's conclusion as to the cause of
19	death was sharp force injuries and smoke
2U 21	inhalation, is that correct?
2 I	A That is correct.
2 Z	Q And his conclusion as to the manner of death
23	was homicide, is that correct? A That is correct.
20 21 22 23 24 25	
۷ ک	Q I can take that back from you. November 20th

Petruzziello-Direct 59 1 of 2018, an autopsy was performed on 11 year old 2 body, is that correct? 3 Yes. 4 And that was performed by Assistant Medical 5 6 Examiner Dr. Lauren Thoma, is that right? That is correct. 7 Q Did Dr. Thoma prepare a report based on that 8 examination? 9 She did. 10 And did you have an opportunity to review 11 that report? 12 I have. 13 I'm going to show you what's been marked as 14 Grand Jury Exhibit 4. Do you recognize that? 15 I do. 16 What is that? This is the autopsy report for 17 Α 18 During the examination Dr. Thoma identified 19 body. the following stab wounds to 20 wound to the back left shoulder, is that right? 21 That's correct. 22 And stab wound to the left upper back area, 23 is that correct? 24 Yes. 25 A stab wound to his left posterior shoulder,

Petruzziello-Direct 60 1 is that right? 2 Yes. 3 A stab wound to the top left of his shoulder, 4 is that right? 5 Yes. 6 A stab wound to the left upper arm/chest 7 area, is that correct? 8 Yes. 9 A stab wound of the left upper arm, is that 10 right? 11 Yes. 12 And a stab wound of the left upper 13 arm/axilla, is that correct? That is correct. 14 15 Dr. Thoma also identified a wound on the 16 right side of chin, is that correct? 17 Yes. 18 She characterized it as having no discernible 19 underlying wound track, is that right? 20 Yes. 21 But commented that it may represent an 22 atypical gunshot wound, is that correct? 23 That is correct. Finally, Dr. Thoma identified some bronchials 24 25 in the lungs that contained black foreign material, is

Petruzziello-Direct 61 1 that correct? 2 Yes. 3 blood was tested for the presence And 4 of carbon monoxide, is that correct? 5 Yes. And the result revealed eight percent 7 carboxyhemoglobin, is that correct? 8 That is correct. 9 And so Dr. Thoma's conclusion as to the cause 10 death was stab wounds of the torso and left 11 upper extremity, is that correct? 12 Yes. 13 However, she also concluded that smoke 14 inhalation was a contributing cause of death, is that 15 correct? 16 Α Yes. And Dr. Thoma's conclusion as to the manner 17 18 of death was homicide, is that correct? 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay. I can take that back. Q 21 Α Thank you. 22 All right. We talked earlier about some 23 ballistic evidence being recovered from the yard of 15 24 Willow Brook Road. Was there any ballistic evidence 25 located inside 15 Willow Brook Road?

	Petruzziello-Direct 62
123456789	A There was. Q Was there a cartridge casing or shell casing located in a pile of debris from the stairwell leading
4 5	into the basement? A There was.
6 7	Q And that's the area where Jennifer's body was located, is that right?
	A Yes. Q And again, she was found to have a gunshot
10	wound in her head, is that right? A Yes.
12 13	Q In addition to the stab wounds? A Yes.
14 15 16	Q Was there also one discharged bullet located on the kitchen floor? A There was.
17 18	Q Okay. And that's where body was located, is that correct?
19 20	A Correct. Q Those were sent to the ballistics unit of the
21 22	New Jersey State Police lab for examination, testing and comparison, is that correct?
23 24	A They were. Q Additionally, a kitchen knife was located
25	amongst a pile of debris in the foyer, is that correct?

A It was.

- Q The markings on that knife matched markings on other knives that were located in the kitchen in a butcher block on top of the counter, is that correct? A That is correct.
- ${\tt Q}$ $\,$ And there was in fact an empty slot for that knife in the butcher block, is that correct? A $\,$ Yes.
- Q Swabs of that knife, both the handle and the blade were taken, is that correct?

A There were.

Q And those swabs, as well as the knife itself were sent to the New Jersey State Police DNA lab for testing, is that correct?

A Yes, they were.

Q Additionally, DNA samples from all four victims were sent to the lab as well for analysis and comparison, is that correct?

A That is correct.

- Q Now, was the cause and the origin of the fire at 15 Willow Brook Road in Colts Neck investigated?
 A It was.
- Q And it was investigated by professionals trained in fire investigation, is that correct?

 A That is correct.

```
Petruzziello-Direct
                                                                64
1
                  And their conclusions were relayed to you, is
2
        that right?
3
             They were.
4
                  And it was concluded that the origin of fire
5
        was a storage closet located in the basement of 15
 6
        Willow Brook Road, is that right?
7
             Yes.
8
                  And that the cause was incendiary in nature,
 9
        is that correct?
10
             Yes.
11
                  All right.
                               I want to move back now to Ocean
12
        Township, to that scene. The Porsche Cayenne, so the
13
        car that Paul, Susan and their daughters had been
14
        sitting in upon arrival of first responders to 27
15
        Tilton Drive in Ocean Township, that's the car that
16
        Paul drove to Ocean Township headquarters with his
17
        family, is that correct?
18
             Yes.
19
                  And that was again on November 20th, is that
20
        right?
21
             Correct.
                  On or about November 21st of 2018 that car
22
23
        was searched, is that correct?
24
             Correct.
25
                  In the rear cargo area of that vehicle was a
             0
```

Petruzziello-Direct 65 1 backpack, is that correct? 2 Yes. 3 Located in that backpack were numerous 4 miscellaneous personal items of Paul Caneiro, is that 5 correct? Α Yes. 7 Q Among them including bank cards and credit 8 cards? 9 Yes. Α 10 Thumb drives? 11 Α Yes. 12 Wires? 13 Yes. Α 14 Keys? 0 15 Yes. Α 16 And a laptop, is that right? Yes. 17 Α 18 Also located in that backpack was the 19 following. Paul Caneiro's passport. 20 Α Yes. 21 A 9mm handgun barrel, is that right? 22 Yes. Α 23 Okay. Can you just explain briefly what a 24 handqun barrel is. The barrel is a part of the gun, cylinder, metal 25

that the bullet actually travels down and out of when it's assembled into a firearm.

Q Okay. So the passport and the 9mm handgun barrel are found in that backpack in the Porsche Cayenne that Paul Caneiro drove to headquarters, is that correct?

A Yes.

- Q Also found in that backpack was something called a Flir One Pro Thermal camera, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q And that's something that would plug into a cell phone so it would convert the cell phone camera into a thermal imagining camera, is that correct?

 A Correct.
- ${\tt Q} \hspace{0.5cm} {\tt And} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt it} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt would} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt detect} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt heat} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt signatures,} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt is} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt that} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt right?}$

A Yes.

Q So in essence, it's a way of improving visibility of objects in a dark environment, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Also a handgun accessory was located in that backpack as well, and that was sent to the New Jersey State Police ballistics unit, is that correct?

A Yes.

Petruzziello-Direct

 ${\tt Q} \,$ $\,$ And that was determined to be a silencer, is that correct?

A Yes.

- Q Can you explain briefly what a silencer is. A A silencer is an attachment for a handgun and typically it would go on the end of a barrel of a handgun and it's used to suppress the sound of a gunshot, but it doesn't eliminate that sound. There's still a noise associated with a gunshot. But it does reduce that sound.
- ${\tt Q}\,$ So the aforementioned items were collected as evidence, is that correct?

A Yes.

- Q And that 9mm handgun barrel was also, that was sent to the New Jersey State Police ballistics unit to be tested and examined, is that right?

 A Yes.
- Q Okay. So that was the stuff found in the Porsche Cayenne, again that Paul Caneiro drove to headquarters, is that correct?

 A Yes.
- Q The Porsche Macan that was Paul Caneiro's loaner car that was parked in his driveway, is that correct?

 A Yes.

Petruzziello-Direct 1 That was the one with the brown staining, is 2 that right? 3 Yes. 4 That was searched as well on November 21st, Q 5 is that correct? It was. 7 And inside that car a piece of a black latex 8 glove was located on the rear passenger floor, is that 9 correct? 10 Yes. 11 On November 21st Paul Caneiro's residence of 12 27 Tilton Drive in Ocean Township was also searched, is 13 that correct? 14 Α Yes. 15 A number of items of potential evidentiary 16 value were also located and collected from the 17 residence, is that right? 18 That is correct. 19 All right. I want to draw your attention 20 specifically to the following. A P228 Sig Sauer 21 handgun was located in a metal locker in his basement, 22 is that right? 23 Α Yes.

Petruzziello-Direct

And again, it was relayed to you that the

area, one of the areas of origin of the fire at his

69

68

house was the basement, is that correct? Correct.

- Also located in his house was 9mm ammunition manufactured by Fiocchi, is that correct? Yes.
- Now, that was the same brand of 9mm ammunition with the same head stamp that was recovered from the crime scene at 15 Willow Brook Road in Colts Neck, is that correct?

Yes.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Can you explain what a head stamp is.
- A head stamp is manufacturer markings on the end of a shell casing that typically depict the brand and caliber of that particular ammunition.
- But there was no evidence that Paul Okay. Caneiro owned the gun unlawfully, is that correct? That is correct.
- However, to your knowledge Paul Caneiro does not have a permit to carry a handgun, is that correct? Not to my knowledge.
- All right. We discussed the ballistic evidence that was located at 15 Willow Brook Road in Colts Neck both inside and outside of the house. the bullets, the shell casings and the one live round, is that correct?

Petruzziello-Direct 1 Yes. 2 And we discussed the fact that the Right? 3 P228 Sig Sauer handgun was found in Paul Caneiro's 4 residence, is that correct? 5 Correct. 6 As well as the Fiocchi ammunition, is that 7 correct? 8 Yes. 9 And the fact that the 9mm barrel and silencer 10 were located in the Porsche Cayenne, is that correct? 11 12 And we discussed how all of that was sent to 13 the New Jersey State Police ballistic lab, is that 14 correct? 15 Yes. 16 Now, that evidence was examined, analyzed and 17 tested by Det. Sgt. Christopher Clayton of the New 18 Jersey State Police ballistics unit, is that correct? 19 Yes. 20 Q And did he prepare a report with respect to his findings? 21 22 23 I'm going to show you what's been marked as

Petruzziello-Direct

71

70

And what is that? This is a report from the New Jersey State Police ballistics lab.

Grand Jury Exhibit 5. Do you recognize that?

Okay. And one of the things that Det. Sqt. Christopher Clayton does, and it's outlined in the report, is that he compares the bullets located at 15 Willow Brook Road in Colts Neck to the 9mm barrel of the handgun that was found in the backpack in the Porsche Cayenne that Paul Caneiro was driving, is that correct?

Yes.

I do.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And he concluded and determined that the bullets located at 15 Willow Brook Road in Colts Neck, so the two bullets near Keith's body and the one that was embedded in the ground, and the one in the kitchen and the one bullet fragment from Jennifer's head, were all discharged from the 9mm barrel that was located in that backpack, is that correct?

Yes.

Q And so he's able -- he matches those five bullets that were located at the Colts Neck scene to the barrel that was located in the backpack in the Porsche Cayenne that Paul Caneiro was driving, is that correct?

That is correct.

Q And he concludes that all of those were discharged from the same firearm, is that correct? A Yes.

Q Now, he also compares the shell casings located at 15 Willow Brook Road to the P228 Sig Sauer handgun located in Paul Caneiro's house, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And he determines that those shell casings, all seven of them, the six outside and the one inside near the stairwell leading to the basement, he concludes that they were all discharged from that P228 Sig Sauer that was found in Paul Caneiro's home, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And then what he does is he takes the barrel that was found, the 9mm barrel that was found in the backpack of the Porsche Cayenne that Paul Caneiro drove to headquarters, right?

A Yes.

Q And he takes the P228 Sig Sauer that was located in Paul Caneiro's residence, right?
A Yes.

Q And he concludes that that barrel fits and functions in that handgun, is that correct?

Petruzziello-Direct

A Yes.

Q And he also examines the live round that was located outside of 15 Willow Brook Road, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And he determines that that live round was chambered in and extracted from the same firearm as the seven shell casings located at the scene, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And that it had the same head stamp as those seven shell casings, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Additionally, he examined the silencer that was submitted that was located in the backpack of the Porsche Cayenne that Paul Caneiro was driving, is that correct?

A Yes, he did.

 ${\tt Q}\,$ And he states that that device was designed to muffle the sound of the discharge of a firearm, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Then he took it and he attached it to the P228 Sig Sauer that was found in Paul Caneiro's residence, is that correct?

74 Petruzziello-Direct 1 Α Yes. 2 And he test fired it, is that correct? 3 He did. Α 4 And he indicated in his report that that gun 5 was test fired both with and without the silencer, is 6 that correct? 7 Yup, that's --8 And that when he test fired it with the 9 silencer it effectively reduced the sound, is that 10 correct? 11 Yes. 12 I can take that back from you. On or about 13 November 23rd of 2018, a number of other items of 14 potential evidentiary value were located and collected 15 from Paul Caneiro's residence of 27 Tilton Drive in 16 Ocean Township, is that correct? 17 Yes. 18 Specifically from the basement area, is that 19 correct? 20 Yes. 21 Among those items I want to specifically draw 22 your attention to the following. There was a box of 23 charred black latex gloves that were located in the 24 basement, is that correct? 25 Correct. Α

Petruzziello-Direct

75

And again, there was also a piece of a black latex glove that was found in Paul Caneiro's Porsche Macan, is that correct?

Yes.

1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also located in the basement was a pile of charred clothing with potential biological staining in the basement, is that right?

Yes.

And a pile of charred articles with potential Q biological staining again in his basement, is that correct?

Yes.

Both of those charred piles were sent to the New Jersey State Police DNA lab for testing and analysis, is that correct?

They were.

So when items get sent to the DNA lab for testing and analysis, what they are essentially doing is, number one, looking to see if the item has sufficient presence for a sustainable comparison, is that correct?

It is correct.

And if so, they're looking to link that to a known sample, is that correct?

Yes. Α

Petruzziello-Direct 76 1 So they're basically comparing an unknown 2 sample of DNA from a crime scene to a known sample and 3 seeing if they get a match, is that correct? 4 Yes. 5 Okay. And that was conducted in this 6 particular case, is that correct? 7 It was. 8 And that was done by a forensic scientist at 9 the New Jersey State Police DNA lab, is that right? 10 Yes. 11 Now, of these items that were sent to the DNA 12 lab I want to direct your attention to three specific 13 The first one is the knife that you discussed 14 earlier. Do you recall that? 15 A Yes. 16 The one that was located at the crime scene 17 in Colts Neck, specifically in the foyer of 15 Willow 18 Brook Road. Do you remember that? 19 I do. 20 Okay. A DNA analysis was done on that Q 21 particular knife, is that correct? 22 23 And that was done by forensic scientist 24 Christopher Szymkowiak, is that correct? 25 Yes.

77 Petruzziello-Direct 1 He prepared a report with respect to his 2 findings, is that correct? 3 He did. 4 And did you have the opportunity to review 56 it? I have. 7 I'm going to show you what's been marked as 8 Grand Jury Exhibit 6. Do you recognize that? 9 A Yes. 10 Is that his report? 11 It is. 12 What that forensic scientist's report says is 13 that a DNA profile was obtained from the knife, is that 14 correct? 15 Yes. 16 is identified as the And that source of the DNA profile, is that correct? 17 18 That is correct. 19 Okay. I can take that back from you. 20 going to draw your attention now to the two piles of 21 charred articles that were recovered from Paul 22 Caneiro's basement, is that correct? 23 Yes. A 24 Those were essentially burned and congealed 25 together, is that correct?

	Petruzziello-Direct 78
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Partially burned, yes.
2	Q Okay. And those were sent to the lab again
3	for testing, is that correct?
4	A They were.
5	Q And what the lab found when they opened those
6	items was a pair of jeans, is that correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And they also found a black latex glove, is
9	that correct?
	A Correct.
11	Q The jeans had what appeared to be biological
12	staining on them, meaning blood, is that correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q A DNA analysis was done of those jeans, as
15	well as the black latex glove, is that correct?
16	A It was.
17	Q And that was done by the aforementioned
18	forensic scientist, is that correct?
19	A Correct.
20	Q And he prepared a report with respect to his
21	findings, is that correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q I'm going to show you what's been marked as
24	Grand Jury Exhibit 7. Okay. Do you recognize that?
25	A I do.

- Q Okay. Is that the DNA lab report that he prepared with respect to this evidence?
 A It is.
- Q And what the forensic scientist's report says is that a DNA profile was obtained from both the jeans and the glove, is that correct?

 A Correct.
- Q With respect to the jeans, he concludes that is identified as the source of the DNA located on three separate areas of those jeans, is that correct?
- A Yes.

- Q The front left shin area, is that right?
- A Yes.
- Q He also identifies the front right thigh area, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q And then the rear left calf area, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q And with respect to the black latex glove that was also found in that pile, he concludes that there was a mixed profile consistent with at least two contributors, is that correct?
- A Yes.

80 Petruzziello-Direct 1 He further concludes that | 2 the source of the major DNA profile, is that correct? 3 Yes. A 4 And that there was not sufficient evidence or 5 quantity or quality of the minor profile for comparison 6 purposes, is that correct? 7 Yes. 8 So essentially what that report indicates is 9 that in that pile of charred articles located in Paul 10 Caneiro's basement, DNA or blood was 11 located on the jeans and the glove, is that correct? 12 Yes. 13 And that was a black latex glove, is that 14 correct? 15 A Correct. 16 I can take that back from you. 17 During the course of the investigation were the 18 cellular telephone records for Paul Caneiro's cell 19 phone obtained? 20 Yes. 21 And were they reviewed? 22 They were. A 23 Now, cell phone records contain certain types 24 of information related to a particular cell phone 25 number, is that correct?

One such type of information that can be garnered by reviewing cell phone records would be information associated with incoming and outgoing calls, is that right? That is correct. And that would be such as telephone numbers, dates and times, etcetera? Yes. And another type of information that can be garnered from cell phone records is the location of a phone at a particular time, is that correct? Yes. Now, special agent John Hager from the FBI analyzed Paul Caneiro's cell phone records, is that right? A He did. He relayed his findings to you, is that correct? Yes, he did. And in summary, what he determined was that

Paul Caneiro's residence had been equipped with

He indicated that a femtocell is a small

something called a femtocell, is that right?

1

2

3

4

56

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A

Yes.

Yes.

Q

Petruzziello-Direct

personal cell site that is provided to customers who have poor cell service at their homes, is that correct? A Yes.

- Q And he indicated that the coverage of a femtocell is typically the same as a residential wi-fi router, is that right?
 A Yes.
- Q Special Agent Hager also informed you that between the time frame of 3:07 p.m. on November 19th of 2018 and 4:57 a.m. on November 20th of 2018 Paul Caneiro's cell phone was utilizing a femtocell, is that right?

A Yes.

Q And he advised that the femtocell was registered to Paul Caneiro's residence at 27 Tilton Drive, is that right?

A That is correct.

Q And that T-Mobile did not register any movement of that device, is that correct?

A That is correct.

- Q And so he concluded that Paul's cell phone was most likely at his residence of 27 Tilton Drive in Ocean Township between those times, is that correct? A Yes.
 - Q And during the course of the investigation

Petruzziello-Direct

some video surveillance from multiple locations around both Willow Brook Road in Colts Neck and Tilton Drive in Ocean Township was located and collected by the investigative team, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q You've had the opportunity to watch that surveillance, is that right?

A I have.

Q And so I want to draw your attention to the following surveillance videos in particular. In Ocean Township video surveillance was collected from 19 Oxford Drive, 30 Tilton Drive, and 308 Green Grove Road, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q In Colts Neck video surveillance was collected from 44 Willow Brook Road and 85 Willow Brook Road, is that right?

A Yes.

- Q And those are just houses in the area that have surveillance cameras, is that right?

 A That is correct.
- Q Based on your and the investigative team's review of the aforementioned surveillance, on November 20th, 2018 you see Paul Caneiro's Porsche Macan leave the area of Tilton Drive at approximately 2:07 a.m., is

1 that correct? 2 Yes. 3 4 5 6 Yes. 7 Q 8 9 10 correct? 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then at 2:26 a.m. what appears to be that same vehicle based on the taillights is seen driving in the area of Willow Brook Road, is that correct?

Petruzziello-Direct

84

85

And it's heading west, is that correct? West, yes.

And it passes 15 Willow Brook Road, is that

Yes.

And that's captured on the surveillance, is that right?

Α It is.

Next, at 3:48 a.m. what appears to be that same vehicle is seen traveling back east on Willow Brook Road, is that correct?

Correct.

And then finally, at 4:08 a.m. the Porsche Macan is seen coming back and pulling into Paul Caneiro's driveway at his Tilton address in Ocean Township, is that correct?

That is correct.

Now, when directions between 27 Tilton Drive in Ocean and 15 Willow Brook Road in Colts Neck are put

Petruzziello-Direct

into Google maps it indicates a driving time of approximately 22 minutes between the two places based on the route that the Macan appeared to have traveled from your review of the surveillance, is that correct? Yes.

During the course of the investigation there was a review done into the financial workings of Keith and Paul Caneiro, is that correct?

That is correct.

So during the course of the investigation it was learned that Keith and Paul owned businesses together, is that correct?

Yes.

Square One was an IT consulting firm that mainly dealt with computer security, is that right?

And Eco-Star, the pest control business, is that correct?

Α Yes.

And then there was the Jay Martin Consulting, Q is that right?

Correct.

Now, they each owned 50 percent of Eco-Star, is that right?

Yes. Α

Petruzziello-Direct 86 1 And then Keith owned 90 percent of Square One 2 with Paul owning the remaining 10 percent, is that 3 correct? 4 Yes. 5 The office for both of those businesses was 6 located at 705 Cookman Avenue in Asbury Park, is that 7 correct? 8 Yes. 9 There were approximately eight employees on Q 10 payroll for the Square One, and 10 employees on payroll for Eco-Star, is that correct? 11 Yes, it is. 12 Now, it was learned that Keith worked from 13 home, is that correct? 14 15 Yes. 16 And it was further learned that Paul had not 17 been on payroll for the last several years as he had 18 been collecting disability, is that correct? 19 Yes. 20 Now, although Susan did not work, she was on 21 payroll for both businesses, is that correct? 22 Yes. 23 And again, Susan is Paul's wife, is that 24 right? 25 She is. Yes. Α

Petruzziello-Direct

87

- Q The payroll checks were issued in her name, is that correct?

 A Yes.
- Q But a review revealed that they would be directly deposited into Paul's personal bank account, is that correct?
- A That is correct.
- Q Now, it was learned that 90 percent of Square One's revenue came from the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation with whom they had a five year contract, is that correct?
- A Yes.

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And that contract was to terminate on December 31st of 2018, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ $\ensuremath{\mathtt{A}} \ensuremath{\mathtt{n}} \ensuremath{\mathtt{d}} \ensurem$
- A That is correct.
- Q Det. Debra Bassinder from the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office was assigned as the lead detective from Financial Crimes with regard to this case, is that correct?
- A She was.
- Q And she conducted an investigation into the finances of Paul Caneiro and Keith Caneiro, is that

Petruzziello-Direct 88 1 correct? 2 She did. 3 And you've had multiple briefings with her, 4 is that right? 5 Yes, I have. 6 And you and she have interviewed multiple 7 individuals together, is that correct? 8 We have. 9 And so you're familiar with the financial 10 aspect of this case that we're about to discuss, is 11 that right? 12 Α I am. 13 Q Okay. And her investigation is still 14 ongoing, is that correct? 15 It is. 16 Det. Bassinder's investigation thus far 17 revealed in following part, -- I'm sorry, in following, 18 that in 1999 an irrevocable life insurance trust was 19 created, is that correct? 20 It was. 21 And that was created in which Keith was the 22 settler of that trust, is that right? 23 He was. 24 As well as the insured, is that correct? 25 Correct. Α

Petruzziello-Direct

89

```
Q And it was learned that that was for an approximate $3 million life insurance policy with Canada Life, is that correct?
```

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

78

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Now, Keith as the settler of the trust fund had named his wife and children as the beneficiaries of the trust, is that correct?

A Yes, he did.

Q And he named his brother Paul Caneiro as the trustee, is that correct?

A Yes.

 ${\tt Q} \,$ Now, that trust account was maintained at TD Bank, is that right?

A Yes.

Q And it as funded on a weekly basis by electronic transfers via payroll checks, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And that was from the aforementioned businesses, Jay Martin Consulting, Square One and Eco-Star, is that right?

A Yes.

 ${\tt Q}$ $\,$ The face value of the policy was \$3 million, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q The payout value of the policy as of the date of Keith's death was \$2,926,473, is that right?
A Yes.

Q And the cash surrender value as of the date of his death was \$524,824, is that correct? A Yes.

- Q Now, that account was established for the purpose of receiving funds which were earn (phonetic) marked for the trustee, meaning Paul, to pay the quarterly premiums for Keith Caneiro's life insurance policy maintained at Canada Life, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q The quarterly premiums were \$7,800 totaling \$31,200 annually, is that correct?
 A Yes.
- ${\tt Q} = {\tt Now}$, as the trustee Paul had complete access to the trust fund account, is that correct? A ${\tt He\ did.}$
- Q And Paul's fiduciary responsibility as the trustee was to pay the quarterly premiums on the life insurance policy in the amount of approximately \$7,800, is that right?

 A Yes.
- Q There was also a loan attached to the life insurance policy to be used in the event that funds

Petruzziello-Direct

were needed to pay the premiums, is that correct? A Yes.

- Q As per the terms of the trust, upon the death of Keith Caneiro the trust property would be distributed to Keith's wife, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q And as per the terms of the trust, in the event of the wife's death the property would be distributed to their children, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q And in the event that neither Keith's wife nor children were living at the time of his death, one half of the trust property would be distributed to Paul Caneiro, is that correct?
- A That is correct.

1 2

- Q And the other half to his other brother Corey Caneiro, is that correct?
 A Yes.
- Q Based on Det. Bassinder's investigation it was revealed that in 2017 Paul Caneiro electronically transferred funds from the trust account, again the account that was established for the benefit of Keith's wife and children upon his death, into Paul's own personal account, is that correct?

 A Yes.

92 Petruzziello-Direct 1 \$31,900 he distributed -- or excuse me, he 2 transferred to his personal bank account, is that 3 correct? 4 Yes. 5 And he transferred \$1,500 to the joint 6 account he had with his wife Susan, is that correct? 7 Yes. 8 And \$280 to an account he had with his 9 daughter, is that correct? 10 Yes. 11 So that totaled \$33,680 that Paul took from 12 the trust account in 2017, is that correct? 13 14 0 Paul did not repay any of these funds back to 15 the trust account, is that correct? 16 17 Of the required annual payment to Canada Life 18 Insurance Company in the amount of \$31,200 Paul paid 19 \$25,709 to Canada Life in 2017, is that correct? 20 Yes. 21 In 2018 the investigation revealed that Paul 22 Caneiro electronically transferred funds again from the 23 trust account into his own personal accounts, is that 24 correct?

Petruzziello-Direct

93

Q He transferred \$44,500 to his personal bank account, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q \$1,000 to the joint account of he and his wife, is that correct?

A Yes.

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Yes.

Q And the investigation revealed that Paul did not repay any of these to the trust fund, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q And of the required premium payments to Canada Life, Paul only paid \$8,500 to Canada Life in 2018, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q And the investigation essentially revealed that during 2017 and 2018 he would, on a routine basis, electronically transfer funds from the trust account into his own account, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q On November 19th of 2018 at approximately 11:33 a.m. Paul Caneiro makes the last electronic transfer of \$1,200 from the TD Bank trust account to his own personal bank account, is that correct? A Yes.

Q Now, during the course of the investigation

Petruzziello-Direct 94 1 multiple individuals were interviewed with respect to 2 all aspects of this case, including the financial 3 aspect, is that correct? 4 They were. 5 And we heard about a few of the interviews 6 already, is that correct? 7 Yes. 8 I just want to draw your attention to a 9 couple more. On November 26th of 2018 you and Det. 10 Zarrillo interviewed the office manager for Keith and 11 Paul's businesses, is that correct? 12 We did. 13 And her name is Tiffany Rivera, is that 14 right? 15 Yes, it is. 16 And that interview was transcribed in 17 writing, is that correct? 18 It was. 19 In sum, Tiffany provided the following 20 She indicated that Keith and Paul Caneiro information. 21 have two businesses that operate out of the Asbury 22 office, is that correct? 23 Yes. 24 And that she worked for them for 14 years, is 25 that correct?

dicated that she was the off

Petruzziello-Direct

Q And she indicated that she was the office manager, is that right?

A She did.

Yes.

Q She identified the businesses as Jay Martin or Square One, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And Eco-Star, is that correct?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q She advised that to her knowledge the partnership for Square One was 90 percent Keith and 10 percent Paul, is that right?

A Yes.

Q And she stated that Eco-Star they owned equally 50/50, is that correct?

A Yes.

 ${\tt Q}$ $\,$ And that they each received a salary from both of the companies, is that correct? ${\tt A}$ $\,$ Correct.

Q She later clarified that shortly after Paul began collecting disability payments due to an auto accident, his paychecks were being issued to his wife Susan Caneiro, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Tiffany indicated that Square One is an IT

	Petruzziello-Direct 96
1 2 3 4 5	consulting business, is that correct? A Yes.
3	Q She advised that it had eight full-time
4	employees, is that correct?
	A Correct.
6	Q Including various Caneiro family members, is
7	that correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And that Eco-Star had between 12 and 13
10	employees, is that right? A Yes.
11 12	
13	Q She identified the accountant for the businesses as Steven Weinstein, is that correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And she stated that both businesses have bank
16	accounts at TD Bank, is that right?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And that they each have an operating account
19	and a payroll account, is that right?
20	A Uh hum. Yes.
21	Q Tiffany Rivera indicated that most of the
21 22	banking is done on-line, however she does write and
23	sign checks for the companies with the permission of
24	either Keith or Paul, is that correct?
25	A Yes.

Q And she stated that both brothers have multiple credit cards that are tied to the businesses, is that right?

A Yes.

Q She indicated that payroll for both businesses would be done on a weekly basis, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q She indicated that the first pay of the month Keith Caneiro received \$11,800 with the following week being \$3,800, is that right?

A Yes.

- Q She indicated that the first pay of the month was done that way because the pay would go directly towards the 15 Willow Brook Road mortgage, is that correct?
- A Correct.
- Q She stated that Jennifer Caneiro would receive \$2,000 on the first pay of the month, followed by \$1,650 the following weeks, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q She stated that on the first of the month Susan Caneiro, Paul's wife, would receive \$5,000 followed by \$1,650 on the other weeks, is that correct? A Correct.

Petruzziello-Direct 1 And she stated that both Keith Caneiro and 2 Susan Caneiro would receive \$650 a week from the Eco-3 Star business, is that correct? 4 Yes. 5 She also stated that she's aware that Paul 6 receives approximately \$10,000 a month in disability 7 payments, is that correct? 8 Yes. 9 Tiffany indicated that business was "not so good." 10 Is that correct? 11 Yes. 12 She stated that Square One basically 13 comprises -- I'm sorry, she stated that Square One 14 basically has one main client, is that correct? 15 That's correct. 16 And that is the Doris Duke Charitable 17 Foundation, is that correct? 18 It is. 19 Okay. Tiffany stated that the Foundation is 20 on retainer, is that correct? 21 Yes. 22 And pays approximately \$120,000 a month, is 23 that right?

Petruzziello-Direct

Tiffany estimated that aside from the Doris

99

98

Duke contract, Square One probably only generates about \$70,000 a year from other work, is that correct? A Yes.

- Q Tiffany stated that the Doris Duke contract or current five year contract was coming to an end, is that correct?
- A Yes.

Yes.

0

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- ${\tt Q}$ ${\tt And}$ that Keith was currently renegotiating for a new contract, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q And that he recently sent out a proposal on Friday, November 16th of 2018, is that correct?

 A He did.
- Q Tiffany indicated that the Eco-Star business has never been "so good." Is that correct?

 A That's correct.
- Q And it has a high employee turnover rate, is that right?
- A Yes.
- Q Tiffany indicated that there had been issues between both brothers in the past regarding salary, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q And she stated that in the past Keith had previously directly her to stop paying Susan Caneiro

Petruzziello-Direct 100 1 but then would change his mind, is that correct? 2 Yes. 3 She indicated that she recalled it having to 0 4 do with an American Express bill that Paul Caneiro 5 would submit for reimbursement, is that correct? 6 Yes. 7 Tiffany explained that the last time she was 8 directed to stop paying Susan Caneiro was the previous 9 week, is that right? 10 Yes. 11 But the last word she received from Keith was 12 to hold off, is that correct? 13 Yes. 14 0 Okay. And that was not the entirety of her 15 interview, but rather a summary of some of the 16 information she provided, is that correct? 17 Right. 18 An individual named Matthew Kisner was also 19 interviewed, is that correct? 20 He was. 21 And that was done on November 22nd of 2018 by 22 yourself, is that correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 And Matthew Kisner was a long-time Okay. 25 friend of the Caneiro family, is that right?

Petruzziello-Direct 101 1 Α Yes. 2 That interview was transcribed in writing, is 3 that correct? 4 Matt Kisner's was not. 5 Oh, it was not. Okay. You took the 6 interview, right? 7 Α Yes. 8 Okay. And in sum he stated that Paul Caneiro 9 was very familiar with being involved in setting up the 10 infrastructure of Keith Caneiro's home, is that 11 correct? Yes. 12 13 He stated that Paul would often help Keith 14 with any problems in the house, is that correct? 15 Yes. 16 Matthew explained that he last spoke with 17 Keith about two or three weeks ago, is that correct? 18 Correct. 19 And that he was helping Keith with his 20 career, is that correct? 21 Yes. 22 Matthew stated that Keith's business was 23 under economic pressure and that he was helping Keith 24 look for a new job, is that correct? 25 Yes. Α

Petruzziello-Direct 1 Matthew explained that he was trying to help 2 Keith arrange some job interviews and work on his 3 resume, is that right? 4 Yes. 5 That's essentially the information Okav. 6 that he provided. It's not the entire statement, but 7 some of the information he provided to you, is that 8 correct? 9 That is correct. 10 An interview of Ronald Artiges was also 11 conducted, is that correct? 12 Yes. 13 And that was on November 28th of 2018 by 14 yourself, Det. Zarrillo and Det. Bassinder, is that 15 correct? 16 Yes. 17 Ronald Artiges was the insurance broker for 18 the Caneiro brothers' three businesses, is that right? 19 Yes. 20 And he also provided individual life Q 21 insurance policies for Keith and Paul and their 22 spouses, is that correct? 23 That is correct. 24 And that interview was transcribed, is that

Petruzziello-Direct

And in sum, Mr. Artiges stated that he was

103

102

25

11 12

13 14 15

25

that right? Yes. He stated that Keith holds a Pacific Life

asked -- He stated the following. He was asked about

the type of life insurance policies that Keith held, is

It was.

correct?

whole life policy, is that right?

Yes.

An Empire term insurance policy, is that right?

Yes.

Α

A John Hancock term policy, is that right? Yes.

And a Canada Life whole life policy, which was the trust, is that correct? That is correct.

And he explained a little bit about how the Canada Life trust fund policy was set up and how it worked, is that right? Yes.

Α Then he explained that Keith had sent him an email requesting the status and the values of the Canada Life insurance policy, is that correct? Yes. Α

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Petruzziello-Direct

Q He stated that on November 16th of 2018 Keith followed up with him, asking if he was ever able to get that information, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Mr. Artiges said that he told Keith he would call him on Monday, November 19th, is that correct? A Yes.

- Q Mr. Artiges stated that on the afternoon of Monday November 19th he called Keith and told him that the value -- told him the value of the policy, is that correct?
- A That is correct.
- $\,$ Q $\,$ And he said that he advised Keith that what he found was that no contributions or premium payments had been made to Canada Life since April of 2018, is that right?

A Yes.

 ${\tt Q} \quad {\tt Mr.} \ {\tt Artiges} \ {\tt explained} \ {\tt that} \ {\tt Keith} \ {\tt was} \ {\tt concerned} \ {\tt about} \ {\tt that}, \ {\tt is} \ {\tt that} \ {\tt correct?}$

A Absolutely, yes.

Q And he further stated that Keith said he was going to call up Paul to discuss the matter, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And again, this is November 19th, is that

Petruzziello-Direct

105

MCPO/18004915/00054863

right?
A Yes.

Q Mr. Artiges stated that Keith then called him back and advised that Paul was unable to verify whether payments had been made because he said he was suffering from a migraine, is that correct?

7 Α Yes. 8 Mr. Artiges stated that Keith then reached 9 out to his accountant Steven Weinstein, is that 10 correct? 11 Yes. 12 And requested the TD Bank trust account 13 statements, is that correct? 14 Yes. 15 Mr. Artiges stated that Keith then forwarded 16 those statements to him, meaning Artiges, is that 17 correct? 18 Α Correct. 19 Now, Mr. Artiges advised that those 20 statements reflected that the premium payments had been 21 made to Canada Life, is that correct? 22 They did, yeah. 23 Mr. Artiges said that he then called up 24 Canada Life to figure out what the deal was, is that 25 correct?

A Yes.

Q But Canada Life maintained that it had not received the payments, is that correct?

Q And again, as the fiduciary -- excuse me, as the trustee Paul had a fiduciary obligation to provide those payments, the premiums to Canada Life, is that correct?

A Yes, he did.

 ${\tt Q}$ ${\tt Mr.}$ Artiges advised that Keith was concerned and was going to discuss the TD Bank statements with TD Bank, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Mr. Artiges then advised that Keith shared with him an email that he sent to his accountant Steven Weinstein explaining his concern, is that correct? A Yes.

Q Now, this is the same email that we discussed earlier that Keith forwarded to Corey, is that correct? A Yes.

Q We're going to talk about that in a minute. Mr. Artiges advised that he and Keith were supposed to talk further about the issue the next day, Tuesday November 20th, is that correct?

A Yes.

Petruzziello-Direct

Q During the interview Mr. Artiges was asked whether Keith ever shared any concerns about his brother Paul, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Mr. Artiges' response was that Keith told him that none of this makes any sense, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Mr. Artiges advised that Keith told him that he had enough, is that correct?

Yes. \mathbb{Q} That he wanted to be done with the

businesses, is that correct? A Yes.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}$ That he wanted to be done with his brother and move on, is that right? A Yes.

Q During the interview Mr. Artiges explained that the trust account was set up basically like a regular bank account, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And when asked who had access to the trust account he advised that to the best of his knowledge only Paul had access to it, is that right?

A Correct.

He advised that to the best of his knowledge

only Paul had signature authority on that trust account, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q And he advised that he believed that only Paul had signature authority because Paul was the only one who had access to any of those records, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Mr. Artiges explained that that Monday night when he spoke to Keith, so the 19th, Keith stated that he asked Paul for the password to the account, is that right?

A Yes.

Q And that Paul, according to Keith, Paul said he couldn't remember it, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Mr. Artiges was asked whether Keith ever indicated to him what he was planning on doing as far as his business and residence, is that correct? A Yes.

Q Mr. Artiges advised that on Monday night, the 19th, he and Keith had a long conversation discussing Keith's future options, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And he indicated that Keith told him he was

Petruzziello-Direct

MCPO/18004915/00054865

going to sell Eco-Star, is that correct? A Yes.

- ${\tt Q}$ ${\tt That}$ he was going to take a loan against the Canada Life insurance policy, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q And that with the proceeds from the sale of Eco-Star and the loan he was going to pay off his mortgage to his house, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q Mr. Artiges advised that Keith told him that he had sent out his resumes to a number of companies and was entertaining a possible offer, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q Mr. Artiges was then asked whether Keith ever expressed any concerns regarding Square One, is that correct?

A Yes.

- Q And Mr. Artiges advised that Keith told him, again on Monday night November 19th, that Square One was eventually going to dissolve because their biggest client's contract ended and they were no longer going to be competitive in the field, is that correct? A Yes.
- Q Mr. Artiges stated that that is why Keith went back to school and got his masters degree, because

Petruzziello-Direct 110 1 he saw that coming years ago, is that correct? 2 Correct. 3 Mr. Artiges was then asked when he last spoke 4 to Paul Caneiro, is that correct? 5 Yes. 6 He advised that he spoke to Paul Caneiro that 7 next morning, Tuesday November 20th, because he had gotten a call from Tiffany Rivera asking him to call 8 Paul because of the fire, is that correct? 9 10 Yes. 11 Again, the fire at Paul's house, is that 12 correct? 13 Yes. 14 Mr. Artiges stated that he called Paul on his 15 cell phone and asked if he and his family were okay, is 16 that correct? 17 Yes. 18 Mr. Artiges advised that Paul stated yes, is 19 that correct? 20 Correct. 21 And told him that they had already filed a 22 claim with their insurance company, is that correct? 23 He did. 24 And that was again on the morning of November 25 20th, is that right?

1 Yes. 2 That was not the entirety of the interview 3 with Mr. Artiges, but rather a summary of some of the 4 information he provided, is that correct? 5 6 That is correct. During the course of the investigation you, 7 Det. Zarrillo and Det. Bassinder interviewed the 8 accountant Steven Weinstein, is that correct? 9 We did. 10 That interview took place on January 24th of 11 2019, is that correct? 12 Yes. 13 And it was transcribed in writing, is that 14 right? 15 It was. 16 And in sum, Mr. Weinstein stated the 17 following. That he had been working for the Caneiro 18 family for approximately 31 years, is that correct? 19 Yes. 20 Q That he was retained by them as their outside 21 accountant, is that right? 22

a monthly and sometimes more frequent basis, is that

23

24

25

right?

Petruzziello-Direct

He said over the years he worked with them on

MCPO/18004915/00054867

Petruzziello-Direct

Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

And he advised that he would handle monthly review, company bank reconciliations, assist in tax filings, etcetera. Is that correct? Yes.

He stated that in the past few years Paul and Keith had two companies, is that right? Correct.

The Jay Martin Consulting, also known as Square One, and Eco-Star, is that correct?

Mr. Weinstein advised that approximately one week before Keith was killed he and Keith had a conversation regarding Keith's financial planning, is that correct?

Α Yes.

He said that Keith was trying to plan to possibly pay off the mortgage to his home by borrowing or liquidating his company 401k, is that correct? Yes.

And/or borrowing money for the cash surrender value of the life insurance trust, is that correct? Yes. Α

Mr. Weinstein said Keith's goal was to keep his family in their Colts Neck home, is that correct?

Petruzziello-Direct

113

112

Yes.

And try to get a job with a computer consulting company if possible, is that right?

Mr. Weinstein said that Keith had sent him his resume to see if he knew anyone who might be interested in his services, is that correct? That is correct.

Mr. Weinstein was asked whether he was aware of Keith's life insurance trust held at Canada Life, is that right?

He was.

And he advised that he was aware of that trust and some of the details of the trust, is that right?

Yes.

Such as the fact that Paul Caneiro was the trustee, is that right?

Yes.

And that the bank account had been set up at the TD Bank to accept Keith's direct deposits from his payroll checks, is that right?

Yes. Α

And that as trustee Paul had the fiduciary obligation to use those funds to pay the life insurance

14

24

25

Petruzziello-Direct premiums, is that right? 1 2 That is correct. 3 Mr. Weinstein was asked whether he ever 4 became aware of any concerns that Keith had regarding 5 his Canada Life insurance policy, is that right? 6 Yes. 7 Q Mr. Weinstein replied in the affirmative, is 8 that correct? 9 He did. 10 He stated that a couple of years ago at the 11 end of the tax year he was surprised to see that there 12 were monies that went into the TD Bank trust account 13 but that premiums were not being paid, is that correct? 14 Yes. Α 15 He said he first spoke to Paul but Paul did 0 16 not have an answer for him, is that correct? 17 Yes. 18 Mr. Weinstein said that things just 19 continued, is that right? 20 Yes. 21 He then said that in March or April of 2018 22 when he was looking to prepare the 2017 gift tax 23 return, he discovered that monies were not going into 24 the life insurance premiums, is that right?

Petruzziello-Direct

115

114

- He said he again first spoke to Paul, is that right? Yes.
- He said Paul said he didn't -- Or he didn't remember getting a response from Paul, is that correct? Correct.
- He said that he then spoke with Keith, is that right?
- Yes.

Yes.

Α

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And he said that Keith was overly upset with everybody, is that correct?
- Yes.
- He said that Keith expressed that he wanted to remove Paul as the trustee and possibly make his wife's sister the trustee, is that correct? Correct.
- Mr. Weinstein advised that months later nothing changed and Paul was still the trustee, is that correct?
- Yes. Α
- Mr. Weinstein advised that the night before Keith was killed, Keith called him at about 4:30 p.m. to discuss the situation with the trust account, is that correct?
- Yes. Α

Q He advised that Keith told him that he, meaning Keith, and Ronald Artiges communicated with Canada Life and found that monies supposedly that went to Canada Life for premiums never actually got there, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q According to Mr. Weinstein, Keith was outraged, is that correct?
A He was.

Q According to Mr. Weinstein, Keith said that he had enough of his brother, is that correct? A Yes.

Q And that he was going to call the district attorney, is that correct?

A He did.

1 2

Q Mr. Weinstein said that Keith then sent him an email that showed the amounts that were supposed to go to Canada Life, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Mr. Weinstein advised that after he received that email he again spoke to Keith and advised that, advised Keith that they needed to get in touch with TD Bank the next day, Tuesday, is that correct? A Yes.

Q Mr. Weinstein advised that he then told Keith

Petruzziello-Direct

to get some sleep, is that correct?

A Correct.
Q And that Keith told him that he spoke to Paul, is that correct?

Paul, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And Paul said he had a migraine and couldn't speak to him, and that was that. Is that correct? A Yes.

Q When asked who had access to the TD Bank trust account, Mr. Weinstein advised that to his knowledge only Paul Caneiro did, is that correct? A Correct.

Q And again, that was not the entirety of the interview, but a summary of the information that was provided, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Finally, we're going to talk about an interview that you did with an individual named Kimberly Patton. During the course of your investigation you, Det. Zarrillo and Det. Bassinder interviewed Kimberly Patton, is that correct?

A We did.

Q She is a trust and estate attorney who had worked with Keith Caneiro, is that right?
A She is.

Q And that interview took place on Monday January 9th, 2019, is that correct? A Yes, it did.

Q Ms. Patton indicated that she was aware of the irrevocable trust that Keith Caneiro established in 1999, however she was not involved with creating it, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Ms. Patton indicated that she had not reviewed the trust agreement in about 14 years, is that correct?

A Yes.

 ${\tt Q}$ $\,$ And then she was provided the opportunity to review it, and given it, is that correct?

A She did.

Q She indicated after she reviewed it, that in her opinion everything in the trust appeared to be standard, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q She confirmed that she had exchanged emails with Keith beginning in May of 2017 regarding switching the trustee of his life insurance policy from Paul Caneiro to Jennifer's sister, is that correct? A Yes.

Ms. Patton stated that initially there was

Petruzziello-Direct

some confusion regarding Keith's request because in his first email he used the word executor, is that correct?

 ${\tt Q}$ $\,$ And Ms. Patton indicated that she initially believed that Keith was looking to change his will, not the trust, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Yes.

Q And she indicated that that would have been a relatively easy process, is that right? A Yes.

Q However, she stated that it wasn't until further emails were exchanged that she realized that Keith was actually looking to change the trustee on his life insurance policy, is that right?

A Yes.

Q Ms. Patton indicated that because the policy is an irrevocable trust the process to change the trustee is not easy and would be much more expensive, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Now, Ms. Patton indicated in certain -- indicated in the emails that she provided to you that Keith explained to her that there was a "issue" with payments being made in a timely manner, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And that Jennifer would feel more comfortable dealing with her sister, is that correct? A Yes.

- Q Ms. Patton indicated that after exchanging emails she never heard back from Keith and therefore the change was never made, is that correct?

 A Yes, that's correct.
- Q And again, that was not the entirety of her statement but rather a summary of some of the information she provided, is that right?

 A Yes.
- Q The email we spoke about earlier, the one that Keith had sent to Ronald Artiges and Steve Weinstein and then forwarded to his brother Corey, were you provided with that as part of the investigation? A Yes, I was.
- Q You were? Okay, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Grand Jury Exhibit 8. Is that the email?

A It is.

- Q Okay. And can you essentially just read that for us?
- A Sure. It was sent from Keith Caneiro on Square One email on Monday November 19th at 6:59 p.m. to Steve

Petruzziello-Direct

Weinstein and Ron Artiges. Subject is update, importance high.

Ron spoke to insurance company -- It's A) Ron spoke to insurance company, the last payment they received is from April, 2018. B) I called Paul multiple times, spoke with him, he is "sick" and can't see anything because of a test. I asked him to provide me with details to his TD account. He can't remember the password and can't see his screen to give me the password because he has a migraine. C) I noticed something about the April payment, the statement includes a check number, April 9th TD Bank bill pay, check 8,500, check number 995002. D) None of the statements past April include that information. They 8/3, TD Bank bill pay Canada Life look like this. Assurance Company. The amount of money that is MIA at this point is 28k.

Do you want me to -- There's a list of dates: 5/1 \$5,000; 6/18 7,500; 7/16 4,500; 8/3 4,000; 8/17 2,500; 8/28 1,000; 9/4 1,000; 9/4 300; 9/7 1,000; 9/17 1,300, totaling 28,100. In addition, because the payments weren't made to the account as represented, the loan balance on the account has increased by an additional \$15,600.

Effective immediately I'm removing Susan from the

payroll from Square One. I need to get to the bottom

of where this money went, if anywhere, and recover it. Ron is working on getting the totals of the cash that I can borrow from the account without closing the policies.

Petruzziello-Direct

My intention is to use that money and hopefully sell Eco-Star and use that money to pay off my mortgage, allowing me to protect the 16 years of mortgage payments and money that I used to purchase and build the house. I can get close to the 1.1 million I can find a new position, one with outstanding. benefits that will enable me to carry the taxes, That's my goal. How close I can come etcetera. depends on how serious that company is with buying Eco-Star. It's signed with just a K, sent from mail for Windows 10.

I'll take that back from you. So that was the email that was sent by Keith, is that correct? Yes.

On Monday, November 19th, is that correct?

Yes. Α

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

At 6:59 p.m., is that correct?

Yes. Α

As we discussed earlier, Paul's phone records were reviewed, is that correct?

Petruzziello-Direct

123

122

Α They were.

You reviewed them?

I did. Α

Specifically you observed an outgoing telephone call to Keith Caneiro at 6:05 p.m. on November 19th, is that correct?

I did.

And that was from Paul's phone, is that right?

Yes.

Then you observed an incoming telephone call to Paul's phone from Keith's phone about two minutes later on November 19th, is that correct?

Yes.

Additionally, during the course of the investigation it was discovered that Keith's side of that conversation with Paul was actually captured via audio and video surveillance that Keith had in his home, is that correct?

Yes.

As noted earlier, the surveillance cameras from 15 Willow Brook Road were taken into evidence, is that right?

They were.

Now, some were damaged but others were not,

19 20 21

22 23 24

Petruzziello-Direct 124 1 is that correct? 2 That is correct. 3 We spoke earlier about the one in the garage, 4 is that correct? 5 Yes. 6 Now, this particular conversation was 7 captured via surveillance cameras that Keith had in his 8 home office and in his kitchen, is that correct? 9 Yes. 10 You had the opportunity to review it, is that Q 11 correct? 12 I did. 13 And your review is still ongoing, is that 14 correct? 15 It is. 16 Now, what you saw when you reviewed this was 17 that at about 6:01 p.m. Keith is talking on the 18 telephone, is that correct? 19 Yes. 20 During most of that conversation Keith Q 21 appears to be listening, is that correct? 22 23 However, toward the end Keith says that he needs to call Paul right now, is that correct? 24 25

Petruzziello-Direct

125

- Q Then at 6:05 p.m. Keith makes a telephone call, is that right?
 A He does.
- Q He can be heard apologizing but indicating that he needs to talk to Paul right now, is that correct?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q About one minute later Keith can be heard talking on the phone, is that right?
- A Yes.
- Q During the call Keith refers to the person on the other end as Paul, is that correct?
- A He does.
 - Q And he's yelling at points, is that correct?
- A At points he is.
 - Q He's obviously upset, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q And he repeatedly tells Paul that he needs to know where the money went, is that correct?
- A Yes.

Yes.

- Q And that he spent the last hour and a half with the insurance company and that they haven't received any money, is that correct?
 - Q Now again, you're only hearing Keith's side

Petruzziello-Direct 126 1 of the conversation, right? 2 Correct. 3 During the telephone call you can hear Keith 4 asking Paul for his TD Bank account log in, is that 5 correct? 6 Yes. 7 And again asks for him, for Paul to tell him 8 where the money went, is that correct? 9 Yes. 10 And at the end of the conversation Keith can 11 be heard telling Paul that he has "an hour or two" and 12 that he needs to know by eight o'clock, is that 13 correct? 14 Α Yes. 15 Before we conclude I just want to go back Q 16 over a couple of things with you regarding a time line, 17 is that okay? 18 Yes. 19 So we have November 19th of 2018, 11:33 a.m. Paul Caneiro electrically transfers \$1,200 from the TD 20 trust account, again that was for the benefit of 21 22 Keith's wife and children upon his death, to his 23 personal bank account, is that right? 24 He does. 25

So it's 11:33 a.m., right?

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes.

that correct?

Yes.

Yes.

correct?

Α

Q Same day, fast forward to $3:00~\rm p.m.$, Paul arrives home to $27~\rm Tilton~Drive$ in Ocean Township, is And again, this is based on all the information that you just testified to, is that So that's 3:00 p.m.. 6:07 p.m. November 19th, we have the phone conversation between Keith and

Paul that you just discussed, is that correct? Yes. 6:59 p.m. November 19th Keith sends that email that you read out to Ronald Artiges, Steve Weinstein and forwards it to his other brother Corey, is that right? Α Yes.

Petruzziello-Direct

- Fast forward to November 20th, 1:29 in the morning, the surveillance cameras at Paul's house go off, is that correct? Correct.
- The last thing that's seen is Paul walking into the garage, his own garage where the DVR system is stored, and then the cameras go out, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q 2:07 a.m., so about a half hour later, the surveillance cameras on neighboring houses capture Paul's Porsche Macan pulling out of his driveway in Ocean Township, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q 2:26 a.m., the surveillance cameras from the surrounding area in Colts Neck capture a vehicle that appears to be a Porsche Macan due to the taillights driving west on Willow Brook Road in Colts Neck passing 15 Willow Brook, is that correct?

A Yes.

A Yes.
Q 2:47 a.m., the motion light that's affixed to the exterior of the house at Willow Brook above the garage door activates and shuts off, right?
A Yes.

 $\ensuremath{\text{Q}}$ The motion light activates a second time, is that right? A Yes.

Q Eight seconds later what appears to be a human figure is seen walking across on the exterior side of the garage from the generator to the electric meter, is that right?

A Yes.

Q 2:48 a.m., we hear metal-like mechanical

Petruzziello-Direct

sounds, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q 2:52 a.m., the power goes out at 15 Willow Brook Road, is that right?

A Yes.

Q Between 3:14 a.m. and 3:18 a.m. those four text messages are sent from Keith's phone to Paul's phone indicating the power is out and he's going outside to check the generator, is that right? A Yes.

Q We have the two reports of shots fired. The one lady says she looks at her box, her cable box and it's 3:10 a.m., is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And then the other call was placed at 3:30, about 3:33 a.m., is that correct?

Q 3:42 a.m., the FiOS optical network terminal went out of service at the Willow Brook Road residence, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q 3:48 a.m., what appears to be a Porsche Macan based on the taillights is seen traveling back east on Willow Brook Road, -A Yes.

```
Petruzziello-Direct
                                                             130
1
                  -- passing 15 Willow Brook, is that right?
2
             Yes.
 3
                  4:08 a.m., Paul's Porsche Macan pulls back
4
        into his driveway, is that correct?
5
             Yup.
 6
                  4:59 a.m., we've got the report for smoke
 7
        inside Paul's residence, is that correct?
8
             Yes.
 9
                  And then finally, in the afternoon at 12:34
             Q
10
        p.m. you have the report of smoke coming from 15 Willow
11
        Brook in Colts Neck, is that correct?
12
             That is correct.
13
                  MS. WALLACE:
                                I have no further questions for
14
        the Detective.
                        Does anybody have any fact questions
15
        for him?
                  Go ahead.
16
                  A JUROR:
                            Were the jeans that they found in
17
        the basement with
                              blood on it, did they belong
18
        to Paul?
19
                  MS. WALLACE: Let me rephrase the question.
        There were a pair of jeans found in Paul's basement
20
21
        with what the lab says is blood on them, is
22
        that correct?
                                That is correct.
23
                  THE WITNESS:
24
                  MS. WALLACE:
                                Were those jeans ever able to
25
        be identified as being like adult jeans or kids jeans
```

131

or anything like that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: As of now, because the way they were discovered they were essentially -- the temperatures dropped that night below freezing so the pile was kind of frozen together. The forensic detective packaged those and sent them out to the lab in one piece. They're still being tested, so I have not had time or an opportunity to review those fully. The testing is still going on with regards to those jeans.

MS. WALLACE: And as we stand today, you don't know whether -- we don't know whether those jeans were adult jeans, kids jeans or anything else, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Right. That's correct.

MS. WALLACE: Does that answer your question?

A JUROR: Okay.

MS. WALLACE: Anybody have any other

questions? Okay, seeing none. Thank you, Detective, you can step out.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(Witness exits Grand Jury room)

MS. WALLACE: All right. For your

consideration are the following charges. Four counts of murder as to Keith Caneiro, Jennifer Caneiro,

132

9 10

25

1

234

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17

Now, you must consider Caneiro and I each count separately.

Criminal homicide constitutes murder when the actor purposely causes death or serious bodily injury resulting in death, or the actor knowingly causes death or serious bodily injury resulting in death. basically it's when the actor purposefully or knowingly causes death or serious bodily injury resulting in death of another. In this case the allegation being in Count 1, Keith Caneiro; in Count 2, Jennifer Caneiro; in Count 3, and in Count 4,

A person acts purposely when it is the person's conscious object to cause death or serious bodily injury resulting in death. A person acts knowingly when the person is aware that it is practically certain that his or her conduct will cause death or serious bodily injury resulting in death. Whether the killing is committed purposefully or knowingly, causing death or serious bodily injury resulting in death must be within the design or contemplation of the actor.

To establish causation the State must prove two elements. Here the burden of proof is probable cause. First that but for the actor's conduct the

Colloquy

133

victim would not have died. Second, that the victim's death must have been within the design or contemplation of the actor. If not, it must involve the same kind of injury or harm as that designed or contemplated and must also not be too remote, too accidental in its occurrence, or too dependent on another volitional act to have a just bearing on the actor's liability or on the gravity of his or her offense. In other words, the State must prove that the victim's death was not so unexpected or unusual that it would be unjust to find the actor quilty of murder. Any questions on that? Seeing none.

You have two Counts, 5 and 6, of aggravated One as to the 15 Willow Brook Road address in Colts Neck and one as to 27 Tilton Drive in Ocean Township.

A person is guilty of aggravated arson if he starts a fire or causes an explosion whether on his own property or another's, 1) thereby purposely or knowingly placing another in danger of death or bodily injury and/or, 2) with the purpose of destroying a building or structure of another. So here again you must consider each count separately. The allegation in Count 5 being for 15 Willow Brook Road, and the allegation in Count 6 for being 27 Tilton Drive.

MCPO/18004915/00054877

anybody have any questions on that law? I see no positive responses.

You have Counts 7 and 8, two counts of felony and one as to murder. One as to The statute states in pertinent part, felony murder is committed when the actor is engaged in the commission of or in an attempt to commit or flight after committing or attempting to commit arson. And I just read you the law on arson. And in the course of such crime or of immediate flight therefrom causes death of a person. The allegation for the underlying felony in this case is the arson at 15 Willow Brook Road in Colts Neck. The allegation of felony murder in Count 7 is with respect to and Count 8 with respect to Any questions on that Seeing none.

Count 9, possession of a weapon for an unlawful purpose. Any person who has in his possession any firearm with a purpose to use it unlawfully against the person or property of another is guilty of a crime of the second degree.

Count 10, unlawful possession of a handgun. Any person who knowingly has in his possession any handgun, including any antique handgun without first having obtained a permit to carry the same is guilty of

Colloquy

135

134

a crime of the second degree.

Count 11, possession of a weapon for an unlawful purpose. Any person who has in his possession any weapon except a firearm with a purpose to use it unlawfully against the person or property of another is guilty of a crime of the third degree. Here the allegation being the knife.

And Count 12, unlawful possession of a weapon. Any person who knowingly has in his possession any other weapon under circumstances not manifestly appropriate for such lawful uses as it may have is guilty of a crime of the fourth degree. Again here the allegation being the knife. Any questions on those laws that I just read with regards to the weapons offenses? Okay, seeing none.

You have a count of theft which states, a person is guilty of theft if he unlawfully takes or exercises unlawful control of removable property of another with the purpose to deprive him thereof. And that's with respect to the trust fund.

Count 14, misapplication of entrusted property. And that states, a person commits a crime if he applies or disposes of property that has been entrusted to him as a fiduciary in a manner which he knows is unlawful and involves substantial risk of loss

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

1

2 3 4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

or a detriment to the owner of the property or to a person for whose benefit the property was entrusted, whether or not the actor has derived a pecuniary And fiduciary includes trustee in that Does anybody have any questions with

136

regard to the theft charge or the misapplication of entrusted property law? Seeing none.

Finally you have two counts of hindering. The first one is under 2C:29-3B(1). A person commits an offense if with purpose to hinder his own detection, apprehension, investigation, prosecution, conviction or punishment for an offense he suppresses by way of concealment or destruction any evidence of the crime or tampers with a document or other source of information which might aid in his discovery of apprehension or in the lodging of a charge against him. Here the allegation being the setting of the fires to destroy evidence of the murders.

And finally Count 16 is another hindering, it's under Subsection 2. A person commits an offense if with purpose to hinder his own detention, apprehension, investigation, prosecution, conviction or punishment for an offense he prevents or obstructs by means of force anyone from performing an act which might aid in his discovery or apprehension or in the

Colloquy

137

lodging of a charge against him. Here the allegation being the killing of Keith for the purpose of hindering his detention, apprehension, investigation, prosecution or punishment for the alleged theft from the trust Does anybody have any questions with respect to the hindering charges or any of the law that I read or need it read again? Okay. I see none.

You're going to see on your calendar that there's a charge, it's 39-4.1a. That's unlawful possession of a firearm in the course of committing a I'm recommending a no bill on that. drug offense. haven't heard any information with respect to that. Does anyone have any questions on the law? All right. I see none. We're going to go off the record and turn it over to you for your deliberations and a vote.

> (recording paused @ 10:59:51) (recording resumed @ 11:05:59)

All right, we're back on the MS. WALLACE: record. Ladies and gentlemen, there are a few final questions that need to be answered for purposes of this presentation. Based upon your voting, you true billed four charges of murder against Paul Caneiro. I see all positive responses. everybody agree?

So the following questions need to be decided with respect to those murder charges. The first

MCPO/18004915/00054879

1

2

1

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

benefit.

definition.

14 15 16

23

24

question is whether the actor, meaning Paul Caneiro, committed the homicidal act or acts by his own conduct. That portion of the statute states, any person who committed the homicidal act by his own conduct becomes eligible for life without parole if you find that the person committed the act by his conduct as well as certain other aggravating factors.

Now, the phrase own conduct is defined as the actor actively and directly participated in the homicidal act. A finding of own conduct does not require a specific finding that the actor's actions standing alone caused the victim's death. The relevant inquiry is whether or not the actor actively and directly participated in the homicidal act or acts. In other words, the actor must be the direct and immediate cause of the victim's death in order to satisfy the own conduct element.

Homicidal act is defined as conduct that causes death or serious bodily injury resulting in death. So the first question that you must answer is whether the homicidal act or acts, in this case the killing of Keith Caneiro, Jennifer Caneiro,

caneiro, by Paul Caneiro's own conduct.

Now, I'm instructing you that you must

Colloquy

consider this question separately with respect to each of the four victims. Does everybody understand this? Okay, I hear all positive response. So that's the first question.

Now, if you do find that the homicidal act or acts were committed by Paul Caneiro's own conduct, and again you have to apply that separately as to all four victims, then you must move on to a second set of questions. That is whether certain aggravating factors enumerated under this statute exist. In this case you're being asked to consider four separate aggravating factors.

Number one, you're being asked to consider aggravating factor K, and that is whether the victim or victims were less than 14 years old. So obviously you're being asked to consider this factor only with respect to victims and again, you must consider this factor, this particular question separately as to each of the two victims. Does anyone have any questions? Okay, seeing none.

Number two, you are also being asked to consider aggravating factor G, and that is whether the murder was committed while Paul Caneiro was engaged in the commission of or an attempt to commit or flight

 after committing or attempting to commit murder. So again, you have to apply this separately as to each of the four murders and you must consider whether each murder was committed in the course of the murders of the others. Anyone have any questions on that? Okay, seeing none.

Three, you are also being asked to consider aggravating factor F, and that states the murder was committed for the purpose of escaping detection, apprehension, trial, punishment or confinement for another offense committed by Paul Caneiro. You must consider this question separately as to each of the four murders. Does everyone understand that? I see all positive responses.

And finally, you are being asked to consider aggravating factor D which asks, did Mr. Caneiro, so did Paul Caneiro commit the murder as consideration for the receipt or in expectation of the receipt of anything of pecuniary value. Here the allegation being his half of the trust fund. Again, you must consider this factor separately with respect to each of the four murders. Does everyone understand this? I see all positive responses. Does anyone have any questions? I see none. Okay, at this time we can close the record and turn it over to you for your deliberations and a

vote.

(recording paused @ 11:10:07)
(recording resumed @ 11:11:02)

MS. WALLACE: Okay, we're back on the record. This is State of New Jersey versus Paul Caneiro. There was just a question. Sir, can you just ask your question?

A JUROR: What was the penultimate mitigating factor, I guess?

MS. WALLACE: Aggravating factor? Are you asking me what the fourth aggravating factor was that I read?

A JUROR: Yes, yes.

MS. WALLACE: Okay. And that's aggravating factor D, which states did Mr. Caneiro commit the murder as consideration for the receipt or in expectation of the receipt of anything of pecuniary value. Here the allegation being his half of the trust fund. And again, you must consider this factor separately with respect to each of the four murders. Does that answer your question?

A JUROR: The one before that.

receipt or in hything of pecuniary ag his half of the trust ider this factor of the four murders.

At the last one? so the last one that I here that.

1 2

A JUROR: The one before that.

MS. WALLACE: Okay. The one, the aggravating factor before that was Factor F and that states, the murder was committed for the purpose of escaping detection, apprehension, trial, punishment or confinement for another offense committed by Paul Caneiro. And again, you must consider that separately with respect to each of the four murders. Does that answer your question?

A JUROR: Yes.

MS. WALLACE: Does anybody have any questions based upon that? I see no positive responses. We can go off the record.

* *

CERTIFICATION

I, TRACY GRIBBEN, Certified Agency Director/ Transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings on COURTSMART, TIME 9:10:58 to 9:12:34 and 9:13:35 to 10:59:51 and 11:05:59 to 11:10:07 and 11:11:02 to 11:12:07 is prepared in full compliance with the current Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings and is a true and accurate compressed transcript of the proceedings as recorded in the matter of STATE OF NEW JERSEY vs. PAUL CANEIRO, heard by the Monmouth County Grand Jury on February 11, 2019.

/s/ TRACY GRIBBEN

AOC Number

TRACY GRIBBEN TRANSCRIPTION, LLC August 4, 2021

Date