

#007

## Murphy & Woyce, Attorneys at Law

48 Ringwood Avenue  
Ringwood, New Jersey 07456  
Michael C. Woyce, Esq.  
Attorney ID: 051722013  
Cell: 201-213-0045  
Admitted in NJ  
[michaelcwoyce@gmail.com](mailto:michaelcwoyce@gmail.com)

Office: 973-556-0589  
Fax: 973-907-2160  
Joseph S. Murphy, Esq.  
Attorney ID: 042401989  
Cell: 973-476-8116  
Admitted in NJ  
[jsmurphy@optonline.net](mailto:jsmurphy@optonline.net)

June 13, 2016

Hon. Glenn A. Grant, J.A.D.  
Acting Administrative Director of the Courts  
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex  
25 W. Market Street  
PO Box 037  
Trenton, NJ 08625

Re: Comments on the Report of the Supreme Court Committee on  
Criminal Practice on Recommended Court Rules to Implement  
the Bail Reform Law Part 2: Pretrial Detention and Speedy  
Trial

Your Honor:

The Rule Proposals for Pre-Trial Detention and Speedy Trial (R. 3:4A; 3:25-4) serve only the purposes of incarcerating pre-trial more defendants for longer periods of time expediting the work of the prosecution through the advancement of guilty pleas.

Under R. 3:4A paragraph (b) (5) any defendant may be incarcerated pre-trial upon no more than a single evaluation. Pre-trial detention, coupled with the speedy trial rule (3:25-4), leaves a defendant the choice of rushing into trial blind, or remaining incarcerated indefinitely. The time limits under R. 3:25-4 are subject to so many exclusions that the State will never be required to bring a case to indictment or trial within the time frames noted, absent ineffective assistance of counsel. An incarcerated defendant is left the choice of filing motions or a speedy trial; but not both.

Assuming a defendant could actually keep the clock running long, the rule is toothless. The only remedy is release, likely on maximum conditions, upon the violation of which the defendant can be returned to jail. This rule eviscerates the right to speedy trial and provides no remedy for those detained.

Excludable time needs to be narrowed significantly if a defendant's rights to file appropriate motions and granted a speedy trial are to be protected, and dismissal must be the remedy when the time limits are ignored. Absent such changes, speedy trial, like the right to bail, will be lost.

Respectfully submitted,

Murphy & Woyce

*/s/ Michael C. Woyce, Esq.*

By: Michael C. Woyce, Esq.