

150 West State Street Capitol View Building • Trenton NJ 08608 P: 609-396-0096 • F: 609-396-2463 www.nj-justice.org • info@nj-justice.org

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Deborah R. Bozarth

Cornelius J. Larkin, CAE, CMP, CEM, CMM, DES **DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS**

August 16, 2021

The Honorable Glenn A. Grant, J.A.D. Administrative Director of the Courts Comments on the Future of Court Operations Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex 25 Market Street Trenton, NJ 08625

Re: Comments on the Future of Court Operations

Dear Judge Grant:

I write to you on behalf of on behalf of the more than 2,700 members of the New Jersey Association for Justice. We greatly appreciate the opportunity to be heard in response to the Administrative Office of the Court's request for public comment on the July 16, 2021 Notice to the Bar titled Future of Court Operations – Remote and In-person Proceedings. We likewise appreciate the continued efforts of the Court to work with members of the bar as we chart the path forward for the New Jersey Court System.

We offer the following suggestions to further the administration of justice, something for which all of us in the legal profession strive. We support, in general, Section 6a, which calls for motion arguments and case management conferences to continue to be held remotely. Our members report however, that oftentimes, these kinds of proceedings are held via telephone, rather than videoconference, thereby increasing the risk of distraction and outside interference. Accordingly, NJAJ respectfully proposes that Section 6a be amended to clarify that motion arguments, including those involving nondispositive motions, and case management conferences be conducted by videoconference so all parties and the judge can see each other, and appropriate presentations can be made. We further support the ability of the Court in its discretion to require in-person appearances where necessary.

Our members also report that because of the COVID-19 pandemic, civil arbitration proceedings too often are held by telephone, thereby diminishing the ability of the arbitrator to assess credibility and potentially limiting the ability of litigants to fully explicate their side of the story. Accordingly, NJAJ respectfully requests that Section 6c be amended to ensure that when arbitration proceedings are held remotely, they are conducted via videoconference rather than by telephone.

Although not addressed directly, we further believe that expert witnesses should be permitted to testify live via Zoom in lieu of requiring the parties to videotape experts who are not available to testify in-person at trial. This would save the parties significant time and resources in preparing cases for trial.

While we generally support Section 6a our members who regularly appear in family court have serious concerns with Section 6d as written, which calls for certain Family Law matters to be handled remotely. Our members who practice in the family courts note that the Family Division has a multitude of hearings where the parties are sworn in, testimony taken and evidence offered, even before a full plenary hearing or trial. Therefore, our members believe that all such proceedings should be in person with few exceptions. In addition, many proceedings involving litigants before the family court requires the assistance and involvement of probation officers, care managers (CMO), domestic violence counselors, interpreters, and others in order to properly proceed in a case. Appearing remotely does not allow the litigant and the attorney to speak before or during the court proceeding.

PRESIDENT Kathleen M. Reilly, Hudson Brady, Brady & Reilly, LLC 241 Kearny Avenue Kearny, NJ 07032 Kearny, NJ 07032 PRESIDENT-ELECT James S. Lynch, Bergen 1ST VICE PRESIDENT Patricia M. Giordano, Camde 2ND VICE PRESIDENT Adam B. Lederman, Bergen 3RD VICE PRESIDENT Jonathan H. Lomurro, Monmouth TREASURER TREASURER
Richard J. Albuquerque, Atlantic
SECRETARY
Diane L. Cardoso, Union
ASST. SECRETARY
K. Raja Bhattacharya, Essex
PARLIAMENTARIAN Deborah S. Dunn, Burlington
IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT Edward P. Capozzi, Essex
NATIONAL GOVERNORS
Michael G. Donahue, III, Merce
Michael A. Galpern, Camden
Jared M. Placitella, Monmouth Francisco J. Rodriguez, Hudson STATE DELEGATES

Lynne M. Kizis, Middlesex
Scott G. Leonard, Morris
BOARD OF GOVERNORS
Northern Region
Thomas A. Boyle, Jr., Bergen
E. Drew Britcher, Bergen
Donald A. Caminiti, Bergen
Martin S. Cedzidlo, Bergen
Michael J. Epstein, Bergen
Hessen, Bergen
Hessen
Hessen, Bergen
Hessen

Brian A. Heyesey, Mercer Tracey C. Hinson, Mercer Evan J. Lide, Mercer Fladey C. Hillson, Mercel Evan J. Lide, Mercer Jeffrey S. Monaghan, Mercer David M. Schmid, Mercer Thomas R. Smith, Mercer Robert J. Adinolfi, Middlesex Thomas W. Barlow, Middlesex Thomas W. Barlow, Middlesex David Spevack, Middlesex Eugene S. Wishnic, Middlesex Eugene S. Wishnic, Middlesex Eugene S. Wishnic, Middlesex Ferald H. Clark, Monmouth Thomas M. Comer, Monmouth Dennis A. Drazin, Monmouth Dennis A. Drazin, Monmouth Justin P. Kolbenschlag, Monm

Justin P. Kolbenschlag, Monmouth Kimberly Gozsa, Monmouth Christopher M. Placitella, Monmouth Christopher M. Placitella, Monmo Rachel A. Placitella, Monmouth Gary S. Shapiro, Monmouth Frances A. Tomes, Mormouth William Wright, Ocean Barry R. Sugarman, Somerset Gerald H. Baker, Union Jeffrey A. Rizka, Union Shelley L. Stangler, Union Swade F. Suthard, Union Southern Region Dara A. Quattrone, Atlantic Robert S. Sandman, Atlantic Thomas J. Vesper, Atlantic Drake A. Bearden, Burlington Kevin M. Costello, Burlington

Drake A. Bearden, Burlington Kewin M. Costello, Burlington Stephen P. Delkitis, Burlington Jose W. Hemandez, Burlington Deborah L. Mains, Burlington Melissa M. Baxter, Camden Esther E. Berezofsky, Camden Reno John Ciccotta, Camden Michael A. Ferrara, Jr., Camden Thomas F. Flynn, III, Camden Benjamin Folkman, Camden Tormie Ann Gbney, Camden Yaron Helmer, Camden David J. Karbasian, Camden Yaron Helmer, Carriden David J. Karbasian, Camden Christine V. Lafferty, Camden Christine V. Lafferty, Camden Shannon L. McGroarty, Camden Micheele C. Murtha, Camden Charles N. Riley, Camden Richard J. Talouto, Camden Heidi Ruth Weintraub, Camden Oliver T. Barry, Esq., Cape May Daniel E. Rosner, Cumberland

* Past President



Honorable Glenn A. Grant August 16, 2021 Page 2

As currently proposed, the remote proceeding does not provide the opportunity for litigants and their attorneys to confer with and discuss the case with the probation officer, the CMO or the domestic violence counselor, in order to resolve a matter and provide the necessary services to the litigant.

We also note that Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Survivor Protection Act (SASPA) proceedings for applications for temporary restraining orders require the alleged victim to have the benefit of the domestic violence court staff prior to proceeding before the hearing officer and/or judge to give testimony for a TRO., The services the court provides should be provided to the alleged victim prior to testifying remotely. The remote application for those victims who are in hiding or other compelling circumstances can be utilized as long as there are due process safeguards in place and the victim services and counselors are available. In addition, if the TRO is before a hearing officer, the applicant for the TRO has an immediate right to appeal and appear before a Superior Court Judge.

While not addressed in the proposal, we respectfully call Your Honor's attention to a related issue of importance. In this regard, we would also like to open a dialogue with the Court concerning how the available technology can make creating an official record of virtual and in-person proceedings more efficient and far less costly to the litigants.

To the extent that virtual proceedings continue to be utilized for civil motions, criminal hearings and other proceedings, artificial intelligence programs exist that can instantly transcribe those proceedings with a high degree of accuracy at a fraction of the cost. In this regard, we attach hereto a certified transcript from a deposition taken in *Northpark Place Investment Condominium Association, et. al. v. Metro Development, LLC, et. al.* that was produced by converting the digital signal created remotely directly into text that was ultimately verified and certified by a licensed stenographer.

We further request that the Court explore the utilization of the same technology to convert the digital signals generated in the Courtroom during in-person proceedings. If successful, implementation of this technology would dramatically expedite the administration of justice in our Courts.

We draw the Court's attention to two cases recently tried in the Asbestos Multi-County Litigation before the Honorable Ana C. Viscomi, J.S.C. in Middlesex County Superior Court to demonstrate the need to reassess how official records are currently prepared. In this context, we also address issues litigants are encountering in the appellate process with the hope of opening a discussion concerning how the process of creating the official appellate record may be streamlined and improved.

The two cases are *Deborah Marino on behalf of the Estate of Anita Creutzberger v. Ford Motor Company* (verdict June 2019) and the four-plaintiff consolidated trial of *Barden, et al v. Johnson and Johnson, Inc.* (compensatory damages verdict October 2019; punitive damages verdict February 2020). Defendants in both cases appealed. *See Marino*, No. A-001523-19; *Barden*, No. A-000047-20. At this time, neither case has received an oral argument date before the Appellate Division. Exclusive of jury selection and pre-trial motions, *Marino* involved 13 days of trial while *Barden* required 48 trial days (33 days of compensatory trial; 15 days of punitive damages trial). In the time since the jury rendered its verdict in each case, Deborah Marino, Executrix of the Estate of Anita Creutzberger, as well as three of the four plaintiffs in the *Barden* matter have passed away.



Honorable Glenn A. Grant August 16, 2021 Page 3

The delay in receiving court-certified transcripts of the trial often exacerbate the delay in scheduling oral argument and moving the case forward at the Appellate level, especially in complex litigation cases that usually entail lengthy trials. For example, in *Barden*, the defendants filed their notice of appeal on September 4, 2020, and ordered the certified transcripts at that time. However, the court certified transcript was not delivered until February 25, 2021, a delay of nearly six months before the parties could even receive a briefing schedule.

In both *Barden* and *Marino*, as is often done in complex litigation cases, the parties also paid a certified court reporting agency to be present in the courtroom at trial and transcribe the proceedings as they occurred. This enabled the parties to receive "daily" transcripts to be better prepared going forward in trial. This also created a reliable and accessible record of the Court's rulings to guide the conduct of the remainder of the trial. As a result, the trials proceed smoothly and efficiently and eliminating the potential for unnecessary appellate error.

At present, the Rules of Court do not permit that the certified transcript agreed to by the parties to be considered as the official transcript for purposes of appeal. In *Marino* for example, the defendant moved the Court to use the daily trial transcripts for the purposes of appeal with plaintiff's consent. *See Marino*, No. A-001523-19, M-002846-19. The following month, however, that motion was denied as the daily transcripts did "not comply with the standards fixed by the Administrative Director of the Courts. Counsel shall order the thirteen transcripts for appeal purposes" *Id.* (order entered Jan. 22, 2020) (attached).

Under the current system, the cost to create a record for litigants in complex cases can be overwhelming. In *Marino*, the litigants spent approximately \$50,000.00 for the daily transcripts from the certified court reporter privately retained by the parties. In *Barden*, the parties spent more than \$200,000.00 for daily transcript costs. In both cases, the Appellant was still required to incur additional costs to transcribe the Court Smart audio to create the exact same certified record that already been created, resulting in an unnecessary six-month delay in the appeal process in *Barden*.

When implemented, the Court Smart system was innovative and dramatically decreased the costs of administration imposed upon our Court system. One of the unintended consequences, however, was shifting to litigants - many of whom do not have the resources-the cost to order transcriptions of the audio record when deciding whether appeal a trial court ruling. As a result of the unfortunate circumstances created by the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting public health emergency, artificial intelligence technology has advanced to the point where systems exist that could dramatically lower costs to litigants and significantly increase the efficiency of administration of justice. Accordingly, we respectfully propose that the Court create a subcommittee to explore whether the use of artificial intelligence would a viable improvement to facilitate the creation of an official Court record. It may very well be that the digital signal created by Court Smart is capable of being reliably transcribed and certified. As many of our members are "tech savvy", we are available to assist in any way that the Court believes would be helpful.

In the meantime, we ask that the Court re-examine whether a certified transcript created by agreement of the parties could be used as the official transcript for purposes of an appeal. In many instances, the certified court reporter hired by the parties has both specialized knowledge regarding the technical terms common in complex litigation as well as the advantage of having been in the courtroom to view the speaker and the opportunity to seek clarifications or spelling corrections during breaks as needed. Conversely, a certified court reporter retained by the Appellate Division may not be



Honorable Glenn A. Grant August 16, 2021 Page 4

versed in the technical jargon of the specialized litigation, can only listen and transcribe the audio recording of the proceeding and has no access to the litigants to seek clarifications when needed. As such, the daily transcripts created by the privately retained certified court reporter present at trial are likely to be more accurate.

We thank the Court for its consideration.

Respectfully,

Kathleen M. Reilly, Esq.

President

IN THE COURT OF DELAWARE COUI		
NORTHPARK PLACE INVESTMENT CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, et al., Plaintiffs,) CASE NO.: 18 CV H 07 0394)) JUDGE: DAVID M. GORMLEY)	
vs.)	
METRO DEVELOPMENT LLC, et al.,))	
Defendants		
METRO DEVELOPMENT, LLC) CASE NO.: 19 CV H 09 0505	
Plaintiffs,) JUDGE: DAVID M. GORMLEY	
VS.)	
ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,)))	
Defendants)	
THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ROLAND GILLER RSG III NP, LLC 30(B)(5) Friday, March 19 2021		

The videotaped deposition of ROLAND GILLER, RSG III NP, LLC, 30(B)(5), called by the defendants for examination pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before me, the undersigned, Anneleisse Rivera, a Certified Reporter, taken at the offices of McDonald Hopkins LLC, 250 West Street, Suite 550, Columbus, Ohio 43215, commencing at 8:15 a.m., the day and date above set forth.

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
     On behalf of the Plaintiffs and Intervening Plaintiffs:
 3
            Peter D. Welin, Esq.
            John A. Gambill, Esq.
 4
            McDonald Hopkins LLC
            250 West Street, Suite 550
 5
            Columbus, OH 43215
            614.484.0701
 6
            pwelin@mcdonaldhopkins.com
            jgambill@mcdonaldhopkins.com
7
8
     On behalf of Defendant Metro Development LLC:
 9
            Doug Holthus, Esq.
            Mazanex, Raskin & Ryder CO., L.P.A.
10
            175 South Third Street, Suite 1000
            Columbus, OH 43215
            614.228.5931
11
            dholthus@mrrlaw.com
12
13
     On behalf of CDF Stucco & Stone, LLC and Third-Party
     Defendant, Armando Montoya:
14
            Andrew L. Smith, Esq.
15
            Rolfes Henry Co., L.P.A.
            41 South High Street, Suite 2300
16
            Columbus, OH 43215
            513.579.0080
17
            asmith@rolfeshenry.com
18
     On behalf of Ross Seese Construction & Development Company,
19
     LLC:
2.0
            Scyld D. Anderson, Esq.
            Teetor Westfall, LLC
21
            200 East Campus View Blvd., #200
            Columbus, OH 43215
22
            614.221.2121
            sanderson@teetorlaw.com
23
24
25
```

```
1
     On behalf of Intervening Defendant Westfield Insurance
     Company:
 2
             Lucas P. Baker, Esq.
 3
             Collins, Roche, Utley & Garner, LLC
             655 Metro Place South, Suite 200
 4
             Dublin, OH 43017
             614.901.9600
 5
             lbaker@cruqlaw.com
 6
     On behalf of Associated Materials, LLC dba Alside:
 7
            Scott M. Gordon, Esq.
 8
            82 North Franklin Street
            Delaware, OH 43015
 9
            740.363.8988
            scott@gordon-law.com
10
     On behalf of Michael P. Bernardo Stucco & Stone, Inc.:
11
12
            Nicholas Bobb, Esq.
            Pelini, Campbell and Williams, LLC
            425 Metro Place North, Suite 150
13
            Dublin, OH 43017
14
            614.398.3605
            nsbobb@pelini-law.com
15
     On behalf of Third-Party Defendant Tremco Barrier Solutions,
16
     Inc.:
17
            Alan Dailide, Esq.
18
            Frantz Ward LLP
            200 Public Square, Suite 3000
19
            Cleveland, OH 44114
            216.515.1645
20
            adailide@frantzward.com
     On behalf of Greenwich Insurance Company:
21
22
            Danielle Chidiac, Esq.
            Plunkett & Cooney P.C.
23
            38505 Woodward Avenue, Suite 100
            Bloomfield Hills, MI
24
            248.433.7054
            dblock@plunkettcooney.com
25
```

1	ALSO PRESENT:
2	Juniko Moody, Prevail Session Manager
3	Jason Kairalla, Prevail Session Manager Anneliesse Rivera, Prevail Session Manager Michelle Bennett, Ohio Notary
4	Michelle Bennett, Onio Notary
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	ROLAND GILLER DEPOSITION INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE NO.
3	Mr. Holthus	09
4	Mr. Gordon	34
5	Mr. Anderson	56
6	Mr. Dailide	59
7	Mr. Bobb	73
8	Mr. Gordon	94
9		
10	EXHIBIT NO.	PAGE NO.
11	Exhibit 112R	21
12	Exhibit 124	36
13	Exhibit 125	53
14	Exhibit 126	56
15	Exhibit 127	56
16	Exhibit 128	67
17	Exhibit 129	104
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

SESSION MANAGER: We are on the record at 8:15 a.m. Eastern Standard Time.

Good morning. My name is Juniko Moody. I am the session manager assigned by of Prevail to take the record of this proceeding. Also present with me observing and/or assisting are Mr. Jason Kairalla and Ms. Anneliesse Rivera, both of Prevail.

Today's notary is Michelle Bennett, who has verified the identity of the witness, is Ms. Michelle Bennett, and she will swear in the witness.

This is the deposition of Mr. Roland Giller taken in the matter of Northpark Place Investment Condominium Association, et al vs.

Metro Development, LLC, et al, Case No. 18CVH070394.

And Metro Development, LLC vs. Zurich American
Insurance Company, et al, Case No. 19CVH090505 at
8:16 a.m. on March 19th, 2021, at Columbus, Ohio,

Additionally, all parties and the witness understand and agree that this proceeding is being recorded audio visually using the Prevail testimony management platform. The platform is also producing in real time a rough virtual transcription utilizing artificial intelligence technology. This virtual transcription is not the official record of the

Franklin County.

proceeding unless and until it becomes a certified transcript. It is provided for the purpose of augmenting Counsels' notes and assisting with the location of testimony in the audiovisual recording of this deposition proceeding. Until there's a final transcript, citations to testimony from the session should be to the video timestamp in Eastern Standard Time.

At this time, I would ask counsel for each side to introduce themselves, state who they represent, and announce any individuals joining them in this session, beginning with Mr. Holthus.

MR. HOLTHUS: Doug Holthus on behalf of the Metro defendant. I'm in my office alone in Columbus, Ohio.

And as with any of these other depositions, I pose a stipulation that all objections be preserved.

MR. GAMBILL: This is attorney John Gambill on behalf of the plaintiffs in this matter, including RSG III NP, LLC, appearing from my Columbus, Ohio office in the conference room with the witness.

And I agree to the stipulation. Thanks, Doug.

MR. DAILIDE: This is Alan Dailide on behalf of third-party defendant Tremco Barrier Solutions, Inc. I'm in my home office in Cleveland, Ohio.

1 And I join in the stipulation proposed by counsel. 2 MR. BOBB: Nicholas Bobb on behalf of Michael P. 3 4 Bernardo Stucco and Stone in my office in Dublin, 5 Ohio. And I join in the stipulation. MR. GORDON: This is Scott Gordon on behalf of 6 7 defendant Associated Materials, LLC, in my office alone in Delaware, Ohio. I'll join in the 8 9 stipulation. This is Andy Smith, counsel for 10 MR. SMITH: 11 third-party defendant Armando Montoya. I'm in my home office in Cincinnati, Ohio alone. And I also 12 13 agree to the stipulation. MR. ANDERSON: Scyld Anderson for Ross Seese 14 Construction and Development, alone in my office. 15 16 agree to the stipulation. MR. BAKER: Luke Baker on behalf of defendant 17 Westfield Insurance Company. I'm alone in my office 18 in Dublin, Ohio. And I also agree to the 19 20 stipulation. MS. CHIDIAC: This is Danielle Chidiac on behalf 21 22 of Greenwich Insurance Company. I am alone in my 23 home office in Macholm, Michigan, and I join in the 24 stipulation. 25 SESSION MANAGER: All right. If that is all the

1	introductions, then thank you. At this time the
2	notary will now swear in the deponent.
3	Ms. Bennett, will you please swear in the
4	witness.
5	MS. BENNETT: Yes. Will you state your name for
6	the record, please, sir.
7	THE WITNESS: Roland S. Giller, III.
8	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. And do ou solemnly
9	swear to tell the truth in today's proceeding?
10	THE WITNESS: I do.
11	SESSION MANAGER: Thank you, Ms. Bennett.
12	Counsels, you may proceed.
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. HOLTHUS:
15	Q. Thank you. Good morning, Tre.
16	A. Morning.
17	Q. You are a member of the plaintiff RSG III NP,
18	LLC, correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. That is an Ohio limited liability entity?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Are you the sole member?
23	A. Yeah. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. When, if you know, was RSG and I'm
25	just going to refer to it as your LLC or the LLC. When was

1 the LLC filed with the secretary of state, if you know? 2 Α. I believe 2010. Okay. And that would have coincided with the 3 Ο. first occupancy of any of the units at Northpark? 4 5 It would have coincided when I purchased the 6 units at Northpark. The units more than likely were 7 occupied prior to my purchasing the units. 8 Ο. Okay. How many units does the LLC currently 9 own? 10 Α. 16. Since 2010, has the LLC sold or acquired any 11 Ο. 12 units? Let me -- that's a poorly-asked question. Let me 13 try again. 14 Currently there are 16 titles to the LLC, 15 correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 All right. Did the LLC initially acquire 16 Q. units or has that number changed from 2010 going forward? 18 No, that LLC only acquired and owns -- that 19 Α. 20 particular LLC only owns those particular 16 units. 21 Okay. So that's been true from the beginning Ο. 22 from 2010 forward? 23 Α. Yes. 24 How many of those units, Tre, are first floor O. 25 units?

1 Α. Four. Are you able to tell us for the remainder the 2 Ο. other 12 how many might be second floor units and how many 3 4 might be third floor units? 5 There would be -- there would be four second floor units and, I guess, eight third floor units. 6 Are all of the first floor units in the same 7 0. 8 building? 9 Α. Yes. Does that building have a particular address? 10 Q. 11 Α. Yes. It's Gauge Street. Ο. Okay. So as one enters into the property, that 12 13 is one of the buildings that is, essentially, affixed or adjacent to the clubhouse feature? 14 15 Α. That is correct. 16 Okay. And then the second floor units, are they 0. 17 all in the same building? Yes. I guess it's a little confusing to say, 18 Α. 19 but 12 of the units are in one building with a breezeway 20 separating. Four of the units are over the top of the 21 clubhouse. Second or third floor, just depending on your 22 perspective. 23 So, again, as someone enters to complex, are all 24 the units owned by that LLC in that first group of 25 buildings? In other words, Buildings 1 and 3, plus the

clubhouse? 1 2 Α. Yes. All right. In this suit is the LLC claiming 3 Ο. damage only to the first floor units that it owns? 4 5 The first floor units are the units that are 6 experiencing the water infiltration issue. 7 Have any of the LLC's second or third floor units, as of today's date, experienced any water 8 9 infiltration issues? Not to my knowledge. 10 Α. 11 Ο. Okay. Is the LLC a member of the Northpark condominium association? 12 13 Α. It is. And the condo association is managed by Ardent, 14 Ο. 15 correct? 16 It is. Α. 17 In your capacity as the sole member of this LLC, do you have access to any contractor agreement that was 18 19 entered between the condo association and Ardent for 20 management of the properties? 21 Can you repeat that. Α. 22 Sure. Again, so as we understand and know, I Ο. 23 believe at this point, any owner of the unit is -- whether they own a single unit or multiple units, they are 24 25 necessarily a member of the condo association, correct?

- That's correct. 1 Α. 2 And a member of the condo association can either Ο. 3 self-manage its -- his or her unit or can have Ardent manage 4 the units, correct? 5 Α. That's correct. 6 Ο. Does each condominium unit owner have a separate 7 agreement with Ardent for the management of the properties?
 - A. There's a management agreement for the individuals like myself who retain Ardent to specifically manage the interior and the exterior operations for my specific 16 units. We're talking about this particular LLC; this 16 units in this complex. And then if an owner chooses to manage the internal parts of the units themselves, there's another agreement that would have the exterior portion, as a member of the association, managed by Ardent pursuant to an agreement between the declarants and the association and Ardent Property Management.
 - Q. So regarding RSG III NP, LLC, are all of those units which it owns of the interior and the common elements, are those managed by Ardent Communities?
 - A. They are.
 - Q. I'm sorry?
 - A. They are.
 - Q. And has that been true since 2010 forward?
- 25 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. All right. Does -- again, I'm just using the vernacular here, does your LLC ever contract with any other vendor -- third-party vendor for management of the interior space of any of the 16 units? And just by way of example, I need a carpet cleaned I'm going to call Serve Pro or Stanley Steamer? Or do you rely, instead, on Ardent to take care of those issues?
- A. I rely on Ardent to take care of these issues.

 I view these investments and any other investments in

 multifamily real estate as a passive investments in my LLCs.

 So I have a property management company to take care of all aspects of the management of the properties.
- Q. Okay. Does the LLC maintain its own rent rolls with respect to these 16 units?
- A. The only rent rolls the LLC maintains are the monthly property reports that I would receive from Ardent Property Management.
- Q. So as a passive investment, would you, as a member of the LLC, even know at a particular time who a tenant might be in one of the 16 units?
 - A. No. I have no idea.
- Q. With respect to the lease agreements for these
 16 units, is it the same pro forma lease agreement for every
 unit?
 - A. To my understanding. I've not looked at the

lease agreements since I purchased these particular units. So I'm going to -- I can't answer that definitively. I've not looked at them. I would assume so.

- Q. Okay. Did you, as the member of the LLC, create the lease or was that instead a document that was created by Ardent Communities? Or do you know?
- A. I signed a management agreement with Ardent Communities and who created the lease from Ardent Community's perspective I do not know.
- Q. Okay. Currently, again as of this date, March 19th of '21, are any of the first floor -- any of the four first floor units occupied?
- A. I believe three of the first floor units are occupied, and one of them has been down for several years -- unoccupied for several years.
- Q. Have any of the three that you mentioned -- I'm sorry. Have the three that you mentioned been subject to any water, mold, or moisture issues in the last 11 years?
- A. Specifically, I know -- I know specifically that the one has experienced tremendous issues with water and mold. I don't know whether the others have had intermittent issues over the last number of years.
- Q. And I don't mean this to be a memory test, but are you -- would you happen to know the addresses of these four first four units on Gauge Street?

1 Α. I mean, I'm sure it's in the records No. 2 somewhere. Okay. But you mentioned one in particular that 3 Ο. 4 is currently not leased, correct? 5 Α. Correct. 6 Ο. Is that correct? 7 That's correct. Α. 8 Q. And is that the same one that -- I'm just 9 paraphrasing -- is that the same one that you have told us has experienced significant mold, moisture, and water issues 10 11 in the past? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. So of the remaining three, have Ο. remediation efforts been undertaken to address any mold, 14 15 moisture, or water and issues in those three units? 16 My understanding is there has been some Α. 17 remediation efforts over the years; however, specifically I don't have that detailed information. 18 19 Q. Okay. But again, these three have been 20 experiencing issues, but they've also experienced and 21 benefited from some remediation effort, right? 22 I believe that to be correct. Α. 23 And the remediation efforts, whatever those Ο. 24 might've been, were sufficient to permit those three 25 properties to be re-leased and occupied, right?

1 Α. Yes. Okay. Who would know what remediation efforts 2 Ο. were undertaken at those three properties to the extent 3 4 they're now able to be re-leased and occupied? 5 Ardent Property Management. 6 O. Okay. Since 2010, has the LLC attempted to sell 7 any of its units? 8 Α. No. Since 2010, has the LLC attempted to sell any 9 Q. interest at all in any of the units? 10 11 Α. No. Since 2010, has the LLC attempted to purchase 12 Ο. 13 any additional units within Northpark Phase 1? Not in Northpark Phase 1, but I do own units --14 15 12 additional units in Northpark Phase 2 under a different 16 LLC. 17 Q. Okay. Regarding these 16 units, tell us how a monthly lease rate is determined. 18 19 Α. Ardent Property Management, I guess -- well, 20 Ardent Property Management survey's the market, survey's the 21 region, determines what the going rental rate is for a unit 22 of that same quality and caliber and that location, and then 23 determines the rental rates based on current market conditions. 24

As the sole member of this LLC, do you have any

25

O.

ability to suggest or even establish a rental rate for any of these units?

- A. No, I don't get into that level of detail with this LLC.
- Q. Well, I'm not suggesting you do. I'm just asking if you believe you could -- in other words, Ardent says, as an example, for one of these 16 units we're going to set the lease rate at \$1,000. Would you, as a member of the LLC, be able to say to Ardent, no, I believe that's too high or I don't believe that's sufficient, it should be higher?
- A. I don't -- I don't mean to be difficult, I don't get into that level of detail. They can call, they could ask, I wouldn't answer. That's not what I do with my investments.
- Q. Okay. What is the total dollar amount -- as of today's date, what is the total dollar amount that the LLC is seeking to recover in this suit by way of a loss-rents claim?
 - A. I believe it's somewhere in excess of \$30,000.
- Q. We understand that -- from your earlier testimony that at least one of these units has been sufficiently remediated; that it's now under lease and reoccupied, right?
 - A. I believe out of the four, three of them had

some remediation efforts which allowed tenants back in; however, the one cannot be -- based on my understanding, the issues are so significant from exterior water entering the unit that the efforts that have been -- any efforts that have been made have been futile, therefore cannot stop the situation until an entire remediation on the exterior of the building would be undertaken.

- Q. So if we're looking at this building on Gauge Street, just trying to create a visual picture through words -- if we're standing at the driveway the center feature of that building would be essentially the clubhouse area, correct?
 - A. That's right.

- Q. And there would be a few units on the second and third floors above the clubhouse, correct?
 - A. There's four units on top of the clubhouse.
- Q. I'm sorry. Four. And then to the left and right would be conjoined buildings, but I think they are Buildings 1 and 3 if we look at the plat. Would you agree with me?
- A. Yes. There are two 12-unit buildings flanking the clubhouse, with four units above the clubhouse.
- Q. That's much more eloquently said. So, again, if you and I are standing at the ground looking at the front of the clubhouse, are all four of these units on the first

floor in either the northern -- the building that had the 1 2 end wall to the north or the end wall to the south? To the north. 3 Α. 4 Ο. To the north. All right. And in that building, 5 if it's three floors and four units per floor, your LLC owns 6 all four of the first floor units in that building; is that 7 right? 8 Α. That's correct. 9 Q. Okay. As the member of this LLC, when were you first notified of any moisture, mold, or mildew issues in 10 11 any of those four units? I think -- back in several years ago I was 12 13 notified that there was some remediation efforts happening, but I don't think I really knew the extent of the problem 14 15 until I was made aware of the Mays report. 16 MR. HOLTHUS: Juniko, if you could, from the 17 deposition -- I guess it was in the Sydney Noblett deposition, if you can turn to Exhibit 112. 18 19 SESSION MANAGER: Yes, Counsel. It was not 20 previously loaded, Counsel. MR. HOLTHUS: I'm just looking at my notes. 21 22 didn't resubmit it for purposes of today's 23 deposition. 24 SESSION MANAGER: All right. In that case, I 25 will go off the record.

We're going off the record at 8:42 a.m. 1 2 (An off-the-record discussion was had.) SESSION MANAGER: We are on the record at 3 4 8:44 a.m. and marking Exhibit 112R. 5 (Deposition Exhibit No. 112R was marked for 6 identification.) 7 BY MR. HOLTHUS: So, Tre, now that we're back on the record, I 8 Q. 9 will represent to you that this was an exhibit introduced during Sydney Noblett's deposition earlier this week and it 10 11 is a document produced by Ardent Communities. You can see the Bates stamp in the lower corner as Associated -- I 12 believe it's 1091, but I can't really see it on my screen. 13 Just take a minute to review the first page of this exhibit 14 15 and then let me know when you're done. 16 MR. GAMBILL: Just for the record, it is 17 Association 1691. MR. HOLTHUS: All right. Thank you. 18 19 Α. Okay. I'm ready. BY MR. HOLTHUS: 20 21 All right. Thank you. I believe the address Ο. 22 identified is 1349 Halo. Do you see that? 23 Α. Yes. All right. Where is that in relation to the 24 Q. 25 clubhouse and the two adjacent buildings? Which building is

that, if you know? 1 I have -- I have no idea exactly which building. 2 The reason I know Gauge Street is because it's my son's 3 4 Past that I don't know any of the street names in 5 that complex. 6 Ο. Okay. You read the problem description there? 7 Α. Yes. Master bedroom leaking in the wall, right? 8 Q. 9 Α. Master bedroom window leaking into wall? Yeah. Yes? 10 Q. 11 Α. Okay. And the date of the complaint is -- it appears 12 Ο. 13 to be January 19th of 2012, right? 14 Α. Yes. As a member of this LLC, were you ever made 15 Ο. aware of this work order as it was occurring or anytime 16 17 after January of 2012? No. 18 Α. 19 0. Okay. Did any of your units, the four that are at issue, experience a similar condition? 20 I have no idea. I don't know that I've ever 21 Α. seen a work order of any of the hundreds of units I own. 22 23 That's not the kind of detail I get into. 24 Q. Let's just talk about these four units and the 25 management of those units by Ardent. If a tenant in one of

those units has a complaint about mold, moisture, dirty carpet, inoperable HVAC, what is your understanding of what that tenant might or is otherwise supposed to do with that kind of complaint?

- A. Talk to the property management company.
- Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding, as a member of the LLC, what the property management company would then do?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What would that be?
- A. Take care of the issue.
- Q. Okay. As a member of this LLC, have tenants in any of your units ever contacted you as the member directly to address any issues they might have been having in any of their units?
 - A. Not -- not to my knowledge ever.
- Q. Okay. If a tenant in any of these units, meaning the four that are -- we're talking about, if a tenant in any of these units at any time had an issue with mold, moisture, or water, is it your expectation that they would call the property management company?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. If the property management company addressed a mold, moisture, or water issue in any of your units, would you, as the member of the LLC, be advised of

that work that was undertaken?

- A. It was probably on an owner -- there's probably some notation of maintenance an a monthly owner's report, but I don't review those. I simply shred them.
- Q. So I think we understand from Mr. Noblett's testimony that the -- if a particular unit owner makes a request of Ardent to address an issue in an interior space of a unit and if Ardent addresses that issue, they're going to separately charge the particular unit owner, correct?
- A. For the interior maintenance of a unit that they manage, I believe that to be correct.
- Q. All right. Because the charges for maintenance and upkeep of the common areas are going to be assessed on a pro rata basis to each of the condominium association member, right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. But if it is Ardent communities addressing an issue on the interior of a unit, those charges are going to be separately billed to the unit owner, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And if Ardent takes the next step and says, gosh, this is an issue our technicians aren't qualified to address; we need to contract with a third party. Those third party services are going to be charged directly to the owner of the unit, correct?

1 Α. Correct. All right. As the member of this LLC -- strike 2 Ο. 3 that. As a member of the LLC, and in respect of the 4 5 three units on the first floor that are now reoccupied, has 6 Ardent undertaken to make repairs to the interior of the 7 units? Over the life of my ownership? 8 Α. 9 Q. Yes. I'm sure units have turned over the ten years 10 Α. 11 and they've done whatever repairs are required on a turn or normal wear and tear maintenance or any damage by tenants. 12 13 Yes, absolutely. 14 0. So using the phrase turn, are turn costs 15 separately assessed to a unit owner? 16 Yeah. It would be an expense to that Α. Yes. 17 individual unit owner, minus the security deposit or extraordinary damage that was done to the unit by the 18 19 tenant. 20 Ο. So just take a fictitious unit, we'll call it 21 Unit No. 1, any turn costs at the end of a lease are going 22 to be separately billed to the unit owner, correct? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. After application of a security deposit and any 25 extraordinary costs, right?

A. All costs would be associated to the unit owner. Then you would have a deduction of security deposit. And then any additional damage that was done would come in as income.

- Q. Okay. Second silo, if during a tenancy there is a service or work order and some maintenance or repair needs to be undertaken in the unit, those charges are going to be separately billed to the unit owner, correct?
- A. Yes. It would have a similar process if it was normal wear and tear, complete owner responsibility dollar-wise; if it's a combination of wear and tear and tenant abuse, then there would be some revenue off the tenant abuse side and then the owner cost; or if it's all tenant abuse, it would come in as expense to the owner, revenue to the owner to offset the cost.
- Q. In the event a work order is generated, strike that.

In the event a work order was ever generated for any of these first floor units owned by your LLC, would you, as the member of the LLC, be provided a copy of that work order as the work is actually taking place or at any time after the work has been completed?

A. I'm very -- yes, I'm sure I got on a monthly basis a statement that identified income and expense for the 16 units in this LLC. And if I would have taken the time

and cared to look, I'm sure there was information in there, but I do not and have not taken the time or cared to look at any of those statements over the years.

- Q. So did I hear you say -- and I apologize -- that on a monthly basis you receive a statement from Ardent regarding the performance of your investment in these 16 units?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And you believe that if you ever wanted to or cared to, you could've reached out to Ardent and said, hey, I see there's an expense item on this monthly statement.

 Was there some service performed and can you give me a copy of the work order? Do I understand your testimony correctly?
 - A. If I would have cared to, yes.
- Q. Okay. If we can turn to the second page of this exhibit -- and, again, as with the other questions, Tre, if you would take a minute to review this.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And this is a work order now dated 1/26 of 2012.

 Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And someone with better eyesight or a larger version of this exhibit will have to help me. The address is -- is that 1349 Halo?

Α. 1 Yes. 2 And if you want to scroll back to the O. Okay. 3 first page of this exhibit, that was also 1349 Halo? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Ο. All right. So this work order, now related to 6 the same property as -- dated April 5th of 2012, right? 7 Α. Yes. And the problem description for the same 8 Q. 9 property, can you could read that, please. 10 Inspect for possible water leaks causing mold. Α. 11 O. Okay. If you would turn to the next page of this exhibit. 12 13 Α. Okay. This is dated November 21st of 2013. Do you see 14 Ο. 15 that, sir? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And, again, because -- only because this copy on Q. my screen is very small, could you -- are you able to make 18 19 out the address? 20 Α. 1351 Halo. So that would be a separate property, correct? 21 Ο. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Separate University. And could you read the Q. 24 problem description. 25 Put dehumidifier in the unit; put foam seals Α.

around outlets in master bedroom. 1 2 Ο. Okay. If you could turn to the next page of this exhibit. 3 4 Α. Okay. 5 The date of this work order is February 2nd of Ο. 6 2012. Am I reading that correctly? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. And the property address, if you could read that 9 for me, please. Α. 1353 Halo. 10 11 And so this is yet a third address? Ο. 12 Α. Yes. 13 And if you could read the problem description. Ο. Mold one master bedroom, middle of wall. 14 Α. 15 have to be pulled bed back -- have to pull bed back to see 16 to living room corner toward second bedroom coming through 17 walls. Now, having gone through the first four pages of 18 Ο. 19 this exhibit, are those issues that are being addressed in these work orders similar to the water issues that were 20 21 being experienced in the four units which your LLC owns at 22 the property? 23 Α. These are all -- I -- I have no idea. These 24 are -- all could be separate issues based on the

description. I'm not sure what, put dehumidifier in unit

means. Does that mean they cook a lot and there's water or moisture in the air? So that one I don't know. The other two seem to be consistent -- or the other three, I guess, seem to be consistent with some issue of water.

- Q. Okay. If you could turn to the fifth page of this exhibit.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And this appears, again, from the small copy I have on my screen, to be dated June 5th of 2012.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And the address is 1353 Halo. Am I seeing that correctly?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And if you could read the problem description.
- A. Mold, mildew issues again in master bedroom along baseboard and carpet and the middle of wall where the windows are. Also small mildew on master bathroom door and closets.
- Q. Again, as with my last question, are the issues that your LLC experienced in your four units similar to those that are identified in this description?
- A. I -- I don't know that I've -- I've never seen work orders for my specific units to know whether these are consistent of not. I know one of the units I own has had tremendous water infiltration issues. Past that I couldn't

tell you if these are consistent or inconsistent with the 1 other units that I have. 2 3 Q. Okay. 4 MR. HOLTHUS: You can pull this exhibit down, 5 Juniko. 6 SESSION MANAGER: Yes, Counsel. 7 BY MR. HOLTHUS: If a tenant in one of your 16 units was to 8 Ο. 9 vacate early before the lease termination date, are you, as the member of the LLC, going to be advised as to the reason 10 11 the tenant has elected to leave? Well, it very likely could have had some 12 13 notation on the monthly statements that I received. But as I said before, I don't care to review them; therefore, I 14 15 would have no actual knowledge, unless someone called and 16 told me, and they did not. 17 Q. Okay. Regarding the four units on the first floor that are owned by your LLC, do you know if tenants --18 19 any of the tenants terminated their leases early because of 20 water, moisture or mold issues in those units? I -- I don't have that specific knowledge. 21 22 know at some point in time the property management

And I guess that's a -- kind of answers my

determined that the unit -- one of the units was no longer

leasable and it stayed down for multiple years.

23

24

25

Q.

question. If a determination is made -- well, strike that.

Would you agree with me that if a tenant can elect to terminate the lease early due to mold, moisture, or water issues in the unit?

- A. Based on my knowledge of -- of the industry, a tenant can choose to terminate early if there's some condition that is not in line with the bargain they met between the property management/owner and the tenant or multiple reasons.
- Q. Yeah. And I don't want to get too far into the weeds. But I guess what I'm asking is: Whether it's legitimate or not, a tenant can attempt or elect to terminate a lease early because of alleged water, moisture, or mold issues in the unit, right?
- A. I guess the way you put it, tenant can choose to terminate their lease early for those reasons or a number of others loud dog upstairs, neighbor inconsistencies, things of that nature. So they can choose to terminate whether it's a legally permissibly or not, then generally that comes down to the courts to decide.
- Q. And then on another side of the equation, in this instance the property manage could elect to vacate a tenant from a unit, terminate the lease, and maybe give a lease credit or find them another place to live within the complex, right?

- A. I believe from a customer service basis if the tenant was -- a tenant that wanted to move and there's a way to move them, I would suspect that the property management company would do everything they could to accommodate that tenant.
- Q. So of the four units you own and the one which is still down, do you know if the tenant who last leased the space elected to terminate or instead was a decision made by the property manager to vacate the tenant from the space?
- A. I have no idea what the termination or the situation on that last tenant was.
- Q. And then as to the other three, those that have been down, as you say for a while but are now reoccupied, were those leases for each of those terminated early because of the tenant's decision or because of the property manager's decision to get them out of that space?
- A. Again, I don't get into that level of detail.

 Ardent Property Management would have that specific level of detail.
- Q. And if a -- if any of those four tenants who were occupying the space when it was vacated, if they were to be given a lease credit, is that a decision made by you, as the member of the LLC, or is that a decision that the property management company has the unilateral authority to make?

A. In my particular situation, I treat these investments as a passive investment. I do not pay attention to the day-to-day operations. I have other things that are more important in my life, from a business perspective, so I use these as truly passive investments.

MR. HOLTHUS: Okay. Because I understand that you have an eleven o'clock hard stop -- I'm not suggesting I'm through with my question -- but I'm going to allow others -- I've been at it for about an hour, so I'm going to allow any others to step up at this point. Thanks.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. GORDON:

- Q. Mr. Giller, my name is Scott Gordon. Can you see and hear me okay?
- A. You're in a lower -- I can barely see you, but it's fine.
 - Q. Well, if you want to see me, John can show you how to move my video up higher. Just so long as you can hear me at the very least.

Sir, we spoke a month or so ago for your first deposition, but I'll remind you that I am counsel for defendant Associated Materials or otherwise known as Alside.

Okay?

A. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Just for the clarity, I'm going to show you a Ο. couple of things so at least in my mind and maybe help you as well, sir, I'm going present to you what's previously been marked -- I think it was in your deposition --Exhibit 1B. Do you see what looks to be an assignment of apartment addresses on your screen? Α. Yes. I don't know if you're able to -- I'll try to Ο. zoom in, but I don't know if that affects your view. MR. GAMBILL: Don't worry. I've got it on our screen in the conference room. You don't have to go through a lot of details. I'm very familiar with the plat. I can see it. BY MR. GORDON: Sir, your units primarily in -- as you come in Ο. the front entrance off of Polaris Lakes Drive and you turn left, your -- you lease the twelve units in Building 1? You own the 12 units in Building 1; is that correct? Α. I own the 12 units in Building 1 and the four units in Building 2. Okay. All right. And that totals 16 units. that's all the units you own in Phase 1, right? Α. In Phase 1 -- I own 12 units in Phase 2.

units in Building 1 and four units, that's 16. My math is

Okay. I'm sorry. But you own -- you own 12

1 I spoke wrong, correct? You own 16 in Phase 1, wrong. 2 right? Sixteen in Phase 1; 12 in Phase 2. 3 Α. 4 Q. Thank you. I'll stop presenting that. Sir, I'm going to show you -- I'm going present 5 6 to you a document, a nine-page document that's got a title 7 of defendant's civil rule 30(B)(5) notice of deposition to plaintiff RSG III NP, LLC. Do you see that document? 8 9 Α. I do. MR. GORDON: I'm going to ask that, Juniko, 10 11 could you please mark this, and correct me if I'm wrong, but should it be Exhibit 124? 12 13 SESSION MANAGER: Okay. I will mark it when 14 you're done. 15 (Deposition Exhibit No. 124 was marked for 16 identification.) 17 Q. All right. Mr. Giller, one, have you seen this document before? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Ο. Okay. And if you flip to the sixth and seventh 21 pages, there's a heading that says Rule 30(B)(5) topics. 22 Are you there? 23 Α. I am. 24 Q. Have you reviewed the list of topics that are on 25 these two pages before today?

1 Α. Yes. Okay. And did you do that in preparation to 2 Ο. come here and sit for your deposition on behalf of RSG III? 3 4 Α. Yes. Okay. What -- could you tell me what you did in 5 Ο. 6 preparation for your deposition on behalf of your LLC today. 7 I reviewed the Rule 30(B)(5) topics 1 through Α. 8 21. And spoke with my attorney. 9 Okay. Did you look at any documents? Q. Α. I looked at miscellaneous documents through this 10 case, but specifically as it relates to this, no. 11 Okay. So that would include you didn't look 12 Ο. 13 specifically and review any work orders that might relate to any of the units that RSG owns? 14 15 Not specifically, no. Α. 16 Okay. Any e-mails that reference any units that 0. 17 RSG owns? I didn't have any e-mails that RSG owns as it 18 Α. 19 relates to this. 20 Ο. Okay. Did you -- have you reviewed any deposition transcripts that have -- of depositions that have 21 22 already taken place in this case? 23 Α. Just my deposition. Okay. Why did you not go back and look at any 24 Q. 25 work orders that might relate to any of your units owned in

1	Phase 1 by RSG?
2	A. Why did I not?
3	Q. Yeah.
4	A. I didn't find it necessary to answer the
5	questions as I look treat this as a passive investments.
6	I didn't look at it then, I wouldn't look at it now.
7	Q. You didn't believe that looking at any of the
8	work orders would educate you about what, if any,
9	maintenance or remediation or upkeep has been had in any of
10	your units at Northpark?
11	A. No. I didn't think going back ten years and
12	looking at work orders for people who had different issues
13	in the probably hundreds of people that lived in there was a
14	good use of my time.
15	MR. GORDON: Juniko if you would mark Exhibit
16	124.
17	SESSION MANAGER: Yes, Counsel. For the record,
18	mark Exhibit BR and Exhibit 124.
19	MR. GORDON: Thank you.
20	BY MR. GORDON"
21	Q. Now, sir, do you recall did you, on behalf of
22	RSG, enter into a property management agreement with Ardent
23	for the management of your units?
24	A. I do not have an active property management I
25	do not have an active property management agreement with

Ardent. 1 Okay. Has RSG ever had a property management 2 Ο. agreement with Ardent with respect to your Phase 1 units? 3 4 Nothing -- nothing that I've seen or recall from 5 the past ten years. 6 Ο. Okay. So how did Ardent begin managing your 7 units in Phase 1? As you are well aware, I work for Metro 8 Α. Development and I just -- it just didn't -- I guess we just 9 didn't seem like it was important at the time and they were 10 11 going to manage my units pursuant to the way that they manage all the other units. 12 13 Okay. So when you entered into that -- well, was it an oral agreement, I guess, because you didn't have a 14 15 written agreement; that they would manage your units? 16 Quite frankly I'm not even sure it was an oral Α. 17 agreement. It was probably just assumed between us. Probably just assumed. 18 19 0. Okay. When you begin permitting Ardent, whether 20 it was expressly agreed upon or implicitly agreed upon, but 21 at some point from the beginning of your ownership Ardent 22 has always managed these units on your behalf, right? 23 Α. That's correct. 24 Q. Okay. And when you began permitting them or

they began managing your units, did you intend to have them

manage your units in the same manner that they're managing all of the other Phase 1 units?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Okay. And that would be with respect to the

- Q. Okay. And that would be with respect to the interior of the units, as well as how they manage any exterior of the units pursuant to an agreement with the condo association; is that right?
 - A. That --

- Q. I'm sorry. Your microphone cut out. What did you say?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Thank you. Now, in response it to some of Mr. Holthus' questions I just wanted to clarify. You stated you don't have -- 'cause of the how you look at these units, you don't really have any involvement in setting monthly rental rates for your units, right?
 - A. No.
- Q. Ardent does all of that and you're okay with that because you intend for them to figure out what a fair market value rate is for your units, right?
- A. Yes. They're the expert in the field. I rely on them to do that.
- Q. And then when they offer your units, you intend to permit them to enter into lease agreements on your -- RSG's behalf and bind your company to lease each apartment

to whichever tenant Ardent decides to rent to, correct?

- A. Based on the credit qualifications and whatever else they've put in place to determine the tenant's ability to rent and pay, yes, they do that.
- Q. Okay. But they enter into a lease agreement on -- effectively on RSG's behalf with a suitable tenant, right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And then you, as part of your agreement and their management of units, you have given them the authority to make the decision in their discretion as to what, if any, interior upkeep or repairs need to be made to any of your units; is that right?
 - A. That correct.
- Q. Okay. And if Ardent decides, in their discretion, that some type of assessment or charge needs to be passed on to the tenant, you, as part of your agreement, that is what you intend Ardent to have the authority to do an behalf of RSG, correct?
- A. Yes. I don't have a written agreement, as I said, but the understanding is they're going to manage and operate as they do with the other units in the complex.
- Q. Okay. Yeah, I understand you don't have a written agreement. I'm trying to understand what your understanding is of how you're looking at the relationship

between RSG and Ardent, even though you don't have a written 1 2 agreement. If Ardent decides, in their discretion, that 3 4 some type of rent credit or rent reduction should be given to a tenant for any number of reasons, including water or 5 moisture intrusion, you intend to give Ardent the authority 6 on behalf of RSG to do that, right? 7 8 Α. Yeah, they have the authority -- they have the 9 authority to make the decisions on the internal/external management of the units. They have that authority. 10 11 Okay. And included in that authority they have Ο. 12 the ability to essentially act on behalf of RSG as the unit 13 owner, correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 I mean, to cut to the chase, Ardent acts Ο. Okay. as the agent of RSG when -- with respect to any of your 16 17 units in Phase 1, right? MR. GAMBILL: Objection as to the legal 18 19 conclusion. You can answer. 20 I don't know how you defined agent or not define They act -- in my mind they act as the manager which 21 22 takes care of the responsibility of the unit.

Q. And acting as the manager, that includes RSG giving them the authority to enter into agreements with tenants, and make decision with respect to tenants and the

23

24

unit, correct? 1 2 Α. Yes. And you stated earlier that you do receive 3 0. 4 monthly statements about all of your Phase 1 units, correct? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. Okay. And when I'm saying you, just to be 7 clear, I'm saying that you're personally receiving them, but 8 you're receiving them in the capacity as the sole owner of 9 RSG. Do you understand that? I am receiving -- I as a person and I as the 10 Α. owner of RSG, receive the statements on a monthly basis. 11 And as I said before, I don't look at them. 12 I just --13 Q. Okay. -- shred them and move on. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Do you get them by e-mail? Q. I -- not for the -- I think just in a more 16 Α. 17 recent basis I would get them by e-mail. But in the ten-plus -- over ten years of -- 12 years of ownership I'm 18 19 sure they came in a paper form at some point in time, so 20 therefore shred. Otherwise, I glance at the income and then 21 delete the e-mail or it sits in my e-mail or whatever, but I 22 think Ardent has all that information that they've provided

Q. Okay. Included -- you're familiar enough with probably the hundreds if not more monthly statements that

23

24

25

or should provide to you.

you've received about your Phase 1 units, that they include rent received for a particular month as well as any maintenance or upkeep charges that would have been assessed to any particular unit; is that right?

- A. As you know, I'm in the business. I know what an income and expense statement is constructed of.
- Q. Okay. Well, I'm just asking about these specific monthly statements, does it show \$30 was charged to this unit because we had to go in and change out an oven burner or something like that or fix drywall or touch up paint or anything related to those units and work was done on those?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And you said -- your testimony I think earlier was if you cared or if you treated these units -- or thought of them differently than you do, you could have looked at these statements. And if you had questions, you could have called Ardent to ask more question about these charges, right?
- A. Absolutely could've, but I $\operatorname{\mathsf{I}}$ -- I did not and do not.
- Q. Okay. And you never have done that with respect to your Phase 1 units because, as you stated, you look at these completely as a passive investment; is that right?
 - A. My Phase 1 units and the other hundreds of units

that I own I do the same thing.

- Q. Okay. And have you always received monthly statements since the beginning of your ownership of those Phase 1 units?
 - A. Yeah. Yes.
- Q. I mean, your receiving of the monthly statements, did that start as soon as you became the owner of the units and as soon as they started to become leased?
- A. Well, they were more than likely leased before I purchased them. But, yes, as soon as I became an owner of those units, I received statements from the second month of ownership probably forward.
- Q. Now, you also said when Mr. Holthus asked previously how Ardent determines the monthly rent and whether they consulted with you, Ardent does not consult with you about those types of decisions, does it?
- A. On a monthly rent on a specific unit that I own?
- Q. Okay. And I think you said that if they asked you, you wouldn't answered. If they would have called you about that you wouldn't have answered because that's not how you treat these investments, right?
 - A. No, I would not get into that level of detail.
 - Q. Has anyone from Ardent ever called you about -- Well, I guess, has Ardent ever called you where

you haven't picked up the phone and returned a call with 1 2 respect to your Phase 1 units? I work in the same building with them, so 3 4 unlikely that they would call me. 5 Because if somebody from Ardent wants to Okay. 6 talk to you they just walk down to your office and talk to 7 you about it? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. RSG does not have any written agreements with Associated Materials or Alside, right? 10 11 Α. No. And RSG III NP, LLC, has never had any written 12 Ο. 13 or even oral agreement with Associated Materials or Alside, 14 right? 15 Α. No. 16 I'm sorry. Your microphone cut out. Was that Ο. 17 right or wrong? I do not have, as RSG, any written agreements 18 Α. 19 where if I've signed anything as RSG III with Alside. 20 Ο. Okay. Sir, I'm going to present another group 21 of documents. Let me know when a new set of documents appears on your screen, if you would. 22 23 Α. They're here. I'm going to submit to you that these are 24 Q. 25 approximately 31 pages of documents that were produced by

your company through the discovery process in this case.
Would you scroll through these and familiarize yourself with
these documents.

A. They're not presented by my company.

MR. GAMBILL: If I may clear this up make sure this is clear, that are documents that would have been produced in response to RSG's discovery responses that have the RSG Bates stamp that would have been obtained through Ardent as apart of the document collection process. So just trying to explain what maybe he means. What he means by they wouldn't have been produced by RSG is that it's not part of RSG's records, but yet instead they are part of Ardent's records, which were gathered as apart of the discovery process. Just to make the clear.

MR. GORDON: Juniko, I intend to have this marked as Exhibit 125.

BY MR. GORDON:

- Q. Mr. Giller, did you participate or gather any documents with respect to requests for discovery that were submitted to your company, RSG III, in this case?
- A. Anything that I had -- but as I said, I did not retain any of the property management monthly statements.

 The limited amount of documents that I have as it relates to this would be loan documents, contract to purchase, and tax

returns. That's all I have as it relates to this. So anything documentation-wise would have been provided by the property management company.

- Q. Did you review any of the documents that Ardent gathered in response to RSG's -- or the discovery request that were submitted to RSG in this case?
- A. I reviewed the Rule 30(B)(5) topics, 1 through 21, but I did not specifically review all these -- any a number of maintenance requests you're going to show me.
- Q. All right, sir. On page 1 of Exhibit 125 that's on your screen or should be on your screen now, do you see the address that's identified on page 1 as 1353 Halo Street?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And -- and if you'll scroll through all of these where I'll submit to you that on this is 31 pages of what appears to be work orders as they relate to 1353 Halo Street. Is it your testimony that you've never reviewed any of these work orders as it relates to 1353 Halo Street?
 - A. I've not.
- Q. Okay. Now, previously in your initial deposition when you were here last I believe you testified that you first became aware of moisture or water intrusion possible issues as early as back in 2011. Do you recall that testimony?

1 MR. GAMBILL: Objection. 2 Α. 2011, I was specifically aware of a systemic 3 problem with the project when the Mays report came out. 4 BY MR. GORDON: 5 But you recall in your testimony stating Ο. 6 that you were aware that there might be moisture or water intrusion issues back in 2011, but that you didn't -- as you 7 8 just stated, you didn't realize maybe the severity or 9 specific nature of those issues until the Mays report came out; is that right? 10 11 MR. GAMBILL: Objection. Scott, you're asking 12 him to recall previously provided testimony that's already been transcribed and put into a transcript. 13 14 MR. GORDON: Yeah. 15 MR. GAMBILL: The transcript says what it says. Ask a question, but don't ask him to recall what his 16 17 testimony --MR. GORDON: I can ask him if he recalls. 18 19 BY MR. GORDON: 20 Ο. Sir, do you recall giving your prior deposition in this case? 21 22 I recall sitting here for eight hours, yes. Α. 23 Okay. And do you recall previously testifying 24 that you first learned of water and moisture intrusion 25 issues as early as 2011?

I believe I recall anecdotally knowing that 1 Α. there were some isolated issues; however, specifically to 2 know the extent of the issues, I was not aware until the 3 4 Mays report. 5 Ο. So anecdotally, how would you have become aware 6 that there were moisture or water-related issues to any of 7 the units at Northpark back in 2011? Earlier conversation. 8 Α. 9 Q. With whom? Property management, perhaps. 10 Α. 11 And by property management, are you Ο. Okay. referring to Sydney Noblett at Ardent or someone else? 12 Yeah, it could very likely have been Sydney 13 Noblett. 14 15 Ο. I'm sorry. It is pronounced Noblett. 16 sorry. 17 In those discussions with Sydney Noblett, were those centered around units that you actually owned or were 18 those conversation centered around other units? 19 20 Α. I don't recall. Following those general conversations with 21 Ο. 22 Mr. Noblett, did you have any follow-up conversations with 23 him wherein you inquired about the status of those possible

We manage 7 -- thousands of units.

issues?

Α.

No.

24

over the last 22 years of my career I have had anecdotal conversations about anything and everything as it relates to properties across the board, from potential water issues on isolated units to roofs blowing off. So I can't specifically tell you what exact conversation I've had 10 years, 9 years, 8 years, 7 years, 6 years, 5 years, 4 years, 3 years, 2 years or one year ago regarding a specific incident.

- Q. Okay. Do you agree with me on page 1 of this document that's in front of you, the date appears to be January 26th, 2012? Do you see that at the upper right hand corner?
 - A. Hold on. Yes.
- Q. The date of the call. I should have been more specific.
 - I think according to your testimony -- if you scroll down on to page 1 -- there's a total amount -- it's kind of blurry, but it appears to be 31.66, the total charge for this work order. Do you see that?
 - A. Yeah.

- Q. Okay. And would you have expected that charge to appear on the monthly statement with respect to 1353 Halo Street?
- A. Yeah. I based on what I see it's a work order for a specific unit, I assume whoever owns that unit would

have that show up as an expense to their unit.

- Q. Okay. And any charge that is made with respect to a unit, just given your vast experience with how this kind of arrangement works, you would expect those charges, then, to show up on that unit's monthly statement, either that month or the following month, correct?
- A. Yeah. Any expense charged I would expect would eventually show up on an individual unit's statement for that individual unit or I would expect an expense for the overall maintenance of the common areas to show up on the statement on a prorated basis.
- Q. Okay. And 1353 Halo, this is one of your first floor units in Phase 1, right?
 - A. Yeah. I guess so. I guess you're right.
 - Q. Okay. Now, sir, do you see on page 1 still at the very bottom of the page it says, technicians notes. Do you see where it says: Clean wall in living/master? And then it reads: Call Alside and Select Services. Do you see that?
- A. Yeah.

- Q. Okay. And is it fair to assume that you didn't call Alside of Selective Services about this work order or anything to do with this work order, right?
 - A. I think that's fair to assume.
- Q. Okay. And you don't have any personal knowledge

as to what, if anything, was discussed regarding this work 1 order with Alside or Selected Services, correct? 2 3 Α. Correct. 4 I'll stop presenting that exhibit. Q. MR. GAMBILL: Scott, is that the same exhibit or 5 6 the same set of documents that Doug had earlier or is 7 it a separate batch. 8 MR. GORDON: It's a separate batch. Those only 9 relate to 1353 Halo Street. MR. GAMBILL: I just wanted to make sure I was 10 clear. 11 SESSION MANAGER: Marking Exhibit 125. 12 13 (Deposition Exhibit No. 125 was marked for identification.) 14 15 BY MR. GORDON: 16 Sir, I am going to now present -- sir, I'm going Ο. 17 present a two-page document that I would ask Juniko to mark as Exhibit 126. In the lower right-hand corner on the first 18 19 page it's marked Bates stamp RSG 000386 and then the second 20 page is RSG 000387. Do you see that document on your 21 screen, sir? 22 Hold on. Yes, I see the e-mail correspondence Α. 23 on my screen. 24 Q. Who's Valerie Geiser? Do you know who that is? 25 She's the person who runs the maintenance for Α.

1 Ardent Property Management. And that would include maintenance at Northpark 2 Place, at least at some point since you've owned the units 3 4 there? 5 Yes. At some point. I have no idea when she 6 started. I have no idea when she took that responsibility over. But I know she is the current maintenance coordinator 7 8 for Ardent Property Management. 9 Q. Okay. If you'll look at the bottom of the first page where it begins, Hi, Darrin. Do you see that? 10 11 Α. Yeah. And would you agree with me this appears to be 12 13 some e-mail correspondence, at least this portion of it, that's dated June 22nd, 2015? 14 Yes. As I reviewed it, I was not copied, nor 15 Α. 16 have any knowledge of this until now. 17 Q. Okay. If you follow along, do you see where it says under, Hi, Darrin, it reads: We have been having 18 19 continuous issues inside 1353 Halo -- and then --20 (discoloration). This have been going on for at least four 21 years and happens with every resident that moves into the 22 unit. 23 Do you see that? 24 Α. Yeah. 25 Okay. And the 1353 Halo, would you take that to Q.

mean 1353 Halo Street, your unit at Northpark?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Yes. Now, I own 1353 Halo. Α. Yes.
- Okay. Is it your testimony that although Ο. Ms. Geiser writes here to Darrin that this unit has been having issues for at least four years, it's your testimony as of June 2017 you were not aware that your unit had been having issues for four years before that?
- Α. No, I was not aware until and -- I was not aware that there was any systemic problems with my unit or any units in Phase 1 until the Mays report came out.
- It's not surprising to you that Ardent, as the Ο. day-to-day property manager of your units, has more intimate and extensive knowledge about the condition of your units, right?
 - Α. Absolutely not.
- I mean, that's the way you would expect it to Ο. be, right?
 - Based on my testimony I think that is accurate. Α. MR. HOLTHUS: I'm going to stop presenting that and that was Exhibit 126. And like Mr. Holthus did, I imagine I have some other questions, but for the question, I'll stop my questioning at this point and

SESSION MANAGER: Marking Exhibit 126. 1 2 (Deposition Exhibit No. 126 was marked for identification.) 3 4 MR. ANDERSON: I have just a little bit. 5 pretty minor, but if no on minds I could ask a couple 6 of questions. 7 MR. GAMBILL: Sounds like you're up, Scyld. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Giller, my name is Scyld 10 Q. 11 Anderson. I'm here on behalf of Ross Seese Construction and 12 Development. 13 MR. ANDERSON: Juniko, would you present and mark a document that I just uploaded. It's titled 14 15 RSG Responses to Ross Seese Interrogatories. 16 SESSION MANAGER: Presenting. 17 I don't see you. Α. Is that --I'm sorry. I had my camera off. 18 Q. 19 SESSION MANAGER: Marking Exhibit 127. 20 (Deposition Exhibit No. 127 was marked for identification.) 21 BY MR. ANDERSON: 22 23 I think I found just a small mistake in your 24 answers to interrogatories here and I just wanted to clarify 25 it for the record. Would you go to Interrogatory No. 6 of

what's been marked as Exhibit 127. First of all, let's go 1 2 to the last page. Does the last page bear your signature? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And by signing you intend to verify your belief Ο. 5 to the truth of the answers contained in the foregoing 6 interrogatories above your signature, right? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Could you go to No. 6, please, Interrogatory 9 No. 6, please. You see the list of units there? Α. Yes. 10 It all lines up with your description of owning 11 Ο. the units in Buildings 1 and 2 except for 1468 Gauge Street. 12 13 There is no 1488 Gauge Street at Northpark, is there? 14 If you go back to the plat map that was on as 15 one of the other exhibits, that seems --16 I think you're right. I think that is a mistake Q. 17 right there. MR. ANDERSON: Juniko, could you bring up the 18 19 plat map, please. I think it was previously marked 20 today as 1BR. SESSION MANAGER: 21 Yes. 22 MR. GAMBILL: Scyld, I think I aught to clean 23 this up, too, just to help out. I went through and identified owners for each unit at Northpark and 24 25 Attleigh. As I was looking at the discovery

1	responses I believe I found the same error that you
2	are speaking of with regard to that unit number.
3	MR. ANDERSON: Can we just stipulate that he
4	owns 1346 Gauge in Building 2 and that there is no
5	1468 Gauge in Northpark?
6	MR. GAMBILL: Yeah, we can stipulate to that if
7	you'd look. I mean, I can read what I have as being
8	his unit numbers.
9	MR. ANDERSON: I think I got it. Just as long
10	as we got that stipulation. It's minor, but
11	MR. GAMBILL: I plan I'm just going to send
12	out an updated discovery responses if that makes it
13	cleaner for the record.
14	MR. ANDERSON: That's all I noticed. Everybody
15	else is doing a great job. Thank you, sir. I'll
16	pass on the baton.
17	MR. GAMBILL: All right. Who's taking the
18	torch? Either Alan, Andy or Nick it seems like?
19	MR. DAILIDE: I can go next.
20	
21	
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. DAILIDE:
24	Q. Hello, Mr. Giller. Nice to speak with you
25	again. My name is Alan Dailide. I'm counsel for Barrier

Solutions, Inc.. 1 Sir, did you personally or as behalf -- on 2 behalf of RSG ever speak to anyone from Tremco? 3 On behalf of RSG? No. 4 Α. 5 Ο. What about on a personal level, have you ever 6 spoken to anyone from Tremco? 7 On a personal level, as a business personal Α. 8 level or as my own personal level? 9 As yourself, Tre Giller. Q. Perhaps on site at one point in time during 10 Α. 11 construction, but no more than that. 12 Ο. Do you remember who you spoke to? It would've just been a passing 13 conversation while they were spraying on the emulsive 14 material, I believe. 15 16 And just to clarify, what you testified earlier Ο. 17 with Mr. Holthus about, you know, the four units -- your, you know, four units exhibiting water issues. What units in 18 19 this lawsuit are you claiming damage in relation -- in relation to? 20 I'm claiming damage to all 16 of my units and 21 also claiming damage for all 16 of the units as they've 22 23 been -- that investment and RSG Northpark III has been damaged. So they would be all 16 units. Specifically I've 24 25 had water infiltration on the first floor of the four units

on the Building No. 1, I think, which is the 12 units to the north side of the clubhouse.

- Q. Okay. Can you tell me what it is your total sum of alleged damages?
 - A. I believe in rent loss alone it's over \$30,000.
- Q. What about -- is that the extent of what you're damages are comprised off, lost rent?
- A. No. I think ultimately the damages would be -now that I know there's a -- now that I know that there's
 systemic problem with the exterior of the buildings that's
 caused these maintenance issues over the years, I would
 suspect that there would be damages associated to those as
 well on a reverse accounting to find out what we would
 attribute to the incorrect installation of the exterior
 materials on the building.

MR. DAILIDE: Juniko, can you pull back up Exhibit 125.

SESSION MANAGER: Yes, Counsel. Presenting.
BY MR. DAILIDE:

Q. Okay. Sir, I know -- you just were taking about this Exhibit 125 in relation to -- which are comprised of work orders that -- from Ardent Property Management. And just to clarify, are you -- was your testimony you didn't have anything to do with the collection of the documents produced on behalf of your entity today?

A. The documents I would have provided would have been the deed or the purchase contract information, the -- the only documents I have would be the loan documents and then all other information Ardent would have provided on my behalf as I do not store any of that information.

- Q. Okay. So I'll represent to you that in your document production, what's set forth in these 31 pages of Exhibit 125, were the only maintenance work orders I saw. And you -- feel free to look through the 31 pages to the extent you need necessary -- but all of them only relate to the address 1353 Halo Street. Would you believe that there would be -- Ardent would have produced these similar records related to mold, water, or mildew as to the other units if those records existed?
- A. Yes. I'm not going to quibble with your -- the fact that these are maintenance records. I have not seen them until now and I won't quibble with the fact that you're telling me they're all 1353 Halo Street. I can scroll through every single one of them, but for the sake of time I'll agree they are what they are.
- Q. Okay. So, I mean, you don't -- you're not aware of any maintenance or other fees that aren't reflected in these work orders done to your units, are you, related to this lawsuit?
 - A. I am specifically not aware of the -- not

- reviewed, nor have I reviewed over the time of my ownership
 the individual monthly statements which would have had
 likely anything from water infiltration issues to bed bugs,
 let's say, in my units over my time of ownership.
 - Q. Sir, who is Chris Libel?
 - A. He was the director of construction for Metro Development several years back.
 - Q. Do you know him personally?
 - A. He worked for me.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

- Q. Was he -- go ahead, sir.
- A. He worked for Metro Development, which as you know, I'm the president of Metro Development. He had no relationship to RSG III, LLC. I did not know him as RSG III. I knew him as he was an employee of Metro Development, which I'm the president of.
 - Q. Was he a good employee?
- 17 A. He no longer works for the company. He left on 18 his own volition.
- Q. Well, my question was: Was he good at what he did while he was there?
- 21 A. Yeah, he did -- Chris, nice guy. Did a fine 22 job.
 - Q. Did you communicate with him regularly?
 - A. Chris Libel worked for me as the director of construction as my role as Metro Development.

Well, if something was going on would you expect 1 Ο. 2 Chris to tell you about it? MR. GAMBILL: Objection, Alan, as to the -- I 3 4 guess outside of the scope of the 30(B)(5) deposition 5 today. You can answer it. If you keep going pretty 6 far into that route I'm going to continue to object. 7 MR. DAILIDE: I mean, you can object. 8 fine. I'm just asking him as person sitting there 9 today if he knew Chris Libel and if they talked about stuff. 10 MR. GAMBILL: You're actually going into his 11 12 role as president of Metro Development. And you can 13 agree with me on that. But noting my objection, Tre, you can answer the 14 15 question. 16 THE WITNESS: Ask your question again. 17 BY MR. DAILIDE: If -- you know, would Chris regularly 18 0. 19 communicate to you -- with you about issues going on at 20 Northpark? I'm here for Northpark, my LLC. Didn't we do 21 Α. 22 this last time and you said you're going to come back to me 23 as Metro? 24 Q. We can argue about this. All I'm asking you as 25 you sit here today, as an individual, if Chris Libel,

another individual, ever talked to you about any issues going on with Northpark? I don't care if it was while sitting there having a beer after work or in an office as Metro Development. I'm not asking about Metro Development. I'm asking about you personally sitting there today.

- A. Chris Libel and I -- Chris Libel worked for me for multiple years as a construction superintendent and then director of construction. Chris Libel and I probably talked over the years multiple times a day about any issue that may or may not be going on with our current construction projects. Do I recall him specifically sitting me down and having a conversation with me about some potential, one off water issue at Northpark, if it was or wasn't happening?

 No, I don't recall that specific conversation.
- Q. Okay. Do you know -- I mean, you've testified a number of times in regards to when you were aware of the water intrusion and your testimony, and correct me if I'm wrong, has been that you weren't aware of the systemic problem with, you know, exterior water intrusion in the Mays report came out, correct?
 - A. That is accurate.
- Q. So what date -- I mean, I can tell you, I believe the Mays -- the initial Mays report came out -- or was dated sometime in 2019. Is your testimony you weren't aware of that until 2019?

A. Clearly we -- we -- off -- we, I guess, requested the Mays report, so there was some inkling that there was a problem at least 60, 90 days before the report came out or however long it took him to do the physical inspection and then come out, so we had some inkling that there was a bigger issue. But specifically my knowledge of the systemic issue was driven from the results of the Mays report.

Q. Okay.

MR. GAMBILL: For purposes of the record -- I don't believe I'm speaking out of turn here. I just want to make sure we're talking about the correct Mays report. My understanding is, and somebody correct me if I'm wrong, there are three total Mays reports, one beginning in January of 2018; one in December of 2019; and then one in August of 2020. And so I just want to make sure we're talking about the same report.

MR. DAILIDE: Fair enough, John. I remember -- and I may have misspoken whether it was December of '18 or January of '19. But, yeah, I'm referring to the initial report, because obviously there's been a number -- a lot of testimony today about I knew when the Mays report came out and I would like to just put --

MR. GAMBILL: So there is, at least in my understanding, there's the January 2018 report; a December 2019 report; and an August 2020 report is what my understanding is, based upon the records of the documents that have been produced in this case. I just want to make sure we're talking about the correct one.

BY MR. DAILIDE:

- Q. For purposes of clarification, I'm referring to -- the first Mays report is what I'm assuming you're referencing, sir. And you can feel free to clarify your testimony however you want.
- A. The first -- whatever date is on -- I don't have that in front of me, but whatever the date of the first Mays report, which I believe I testified to before, is the first time I was aware that there's a systemic problem with the exterior installation of materials on the building. That was to the first time I knew specifically that there was issues. I've heard of anecdotal issues in communities across our portfolio where water -- there could or could not been water infiltration from tenant-related driven issues to leaks in door to all kind s of things over my career.
- Q. Well, just specifically as to Northpark and your RSG units on Northpark, when did you first know of exterior water intrusion related to RSG's units?

When the original Mays report was issued is when 1 Α. 2 I was completely aware that there was a systemic problem with the installation of the exterior materials. Prior to 3 4 that I had anecdotal conversations with people, but it didn't raise to the level of the problems that the Mays 5 6 report identified. 7 MR. DAILIDE: Okay. Juniko, can you pull up what I've identified as Tab 6. 8 9 SESSION MANAGER: Yes, Counsel. MR. DAILIDE: And you can mark that as -- I 10 think it's Exhibit 128. 11 12 SESSION MANAGER: Searching. Presenting and 13 marking Exhibit 128. (Deposition Exhibit No. 128 was marked for 14 15 identification.) 16 BY MR. DAILIDE: 17 And, Mr. Giller, go ahead and take a look at Q. that and just let me know when you're ready to talk about 18 19 that e-mail. 20 Α. Okay. I just want to note for purposes of the record 21 22 this was a document produced by RSG Bates label 0410. 23 is an e-mail from -- and tell me if you agree with me --24 Chris Libel. It appears to be Greg Hoffman. Dated 25 April 6th, 2016. Is that correct?

- A. Yes. I was not on this e-mail.
- Q. Yes, I understand that, sir.

Do you agree with me that -- I mean, this appears to be related to your unit, based off the content at 1353 Halo?

A. Yes.

- Q. And do you agree with me that the e-mail is requesting a time to pull off the siding and check the seat flashing over the stone. It indicates that we're getting water behind the stone, which is making its way into the wall cavity via the nails from the chicken wire, correct?
- A. Yes. I don't disagree that that's what that says.
- Q. So did Chris Libel ever talk to you about this in April of 2016?
 - A. No, I don't remember this specific conversation.
- Q. I mean, would you have expected Chris Libel to say something about -- to you in your personal conversations if they were ripping off or wanted to rip off the exterior of your unit?
- A. No. I -- the building was constructed in 2010. A potential maintenance issue or question that would have come to construction from Ardent, from a building that was built in 2010 on one specific set of circumstances, would not have come to me in my role as RSG III or any role.

- I mean, even as the owner they wouldn't tell you Ο. if they were going to rip off -- you know, or contemplating removing the exterior of your building or of your unit? As I've testified in the past, I view all of my real estate investments as a passive investment, and I do not take an active role, nor do I have an interest in taking an active role in an individual unit out of 100 to 200 units that I own. Q. Okay. I understand that, sir. But even if the unit is going to be facing a material expense? That's the way I view my investment. Α. It's a passive investment. I would not expect someone to bring to me, as the owner, the fact that they're doing some maintenance on my particular unit. That is not the way I view these investments for myself or my family. Q. Okay. MR. DAILIDE: Juniko, you can stop presenting that e-mail. BY MR. DAILIDE: Ο. Mr. Giller, you testified a couple times about you're in excess of 30,000 in lost rent alleged as damages in this lawsuit. Did you have any role in coming up with the calculation of that lost rent?
 - A. I did not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Who does that for you?

1	A. I believe Ardent Property Management provided
2	that information.
3	Q. So Ardent did those calculations?
4	A. I believe that to be correct.
5	Q. So Ardent would be able to answer questions
6	about what your claim is considering that?
7	A. They would be the ones I would ask that
8	question. If I was asking or you were asking.
9	Q. Do you carry insurance for your units, sir?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Who is your insurance broker?
12	A. Bob Smith.
13	Q. And where is Bob Smith? What his agency's name?
14	A. It's now I believe he's with Levit now. He
15	was with Smith and something else before, but I believe he's
16	with Levit now.
17	Q. That's Levit Insurance?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And who's your carrier for your units?
20	A. I believe Nationwide is the carrier for these
21	units.
22	Q. And have you made an insurance claim in relation
23	to any of the units you're alleging damage?
24	A. I did.
25	Q. And what was included in your claim?

- A. Loss of rents claim.
 - Q. And what was Nationwide's response to that claim?
 - A. I believe they -- not believe, I know. They denied the claim based on some exception that they have that says that the claim was caused by the construction defect versus a, I guess, a natural diaster. However you want -- I'm not an insurance expert. But as insurance companies usually do they have declined the claim.
 - Q. So they haven't paid anything to regards to that claim?
 - A. No.

- Q. And just to clarify, when your insurance coverage -- and I'm just trying to -- I'm referencing back to Mr. Noblett's testimony. But your insurance coverage is as to the interior of your units, correct?
- A. Yes. I have interior liability and loss of rents coverage. And then the association has insurance covering the exterior. And then I have, you know, umbrellas and other things that you would imagine I would have.
- Q. Do you have records of your communications with your insurance carrier as to that claim?
- A. Yeah, I'm sure I must. I don't specifically recall. I know I spoke with a person on the phone, but I had to make a claim, so I made a claim in writing somewhere.

Ι

RSG III NP, LLC 30(B)(5) by Roland Giller March 19, 2021

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the outside?

Α.

I don't recall exactly where, but I'm sure I made a claim. Would you please send -- I'm assuming there's a written claim and they send a written letter. Would you give those to your attorney for us, please. Yeah, that's no problem. Ο. And just to clarify on -- in regards to -- you know, you've testified multiple times that you're not involved in regards to daily management, but I just want to cover all my bases. As for -- you don't have any specific knowledge in regards that your Northpark units in -- as to unit turnover or costs on the unit turnover, do you? Α. I do not. Okay. Well, I'm assuming that those costs are Ο. showing up on your monthly statements, but per your previous testimony you're not really looking at those, right? I would probably only pay attention if the Α. No. building burned to the ground. And was -- was 1353 the -- a unit -- was that 0. unit remediated on the exterior? I don't specifically know what the remediation efforts were on that particular unit. Okay. You don't know if any work was done on that particular unit, you know, per that previous e-mail, to

Again, I read the e-mail for the first time.

would assume something was done. I have no specific 1 2 knowledge of what was done. Fair enough. I'm just trying to figure out -- I 3 4 know that there was exterior remediation done to one unit in 5 the Northpark Phase 1. And I -- based off that e-mail and 6 some other documents in your production, I was quessing it was that one, but I still don't know. So I was wondering if 7 8 you did. 9 Α. I don't have the specific -- as I've testified, I don't have specific knowledge of what happens 10 11 on one of my units that I own. I don't pay that specific 12 attention to it. 13 MR. DAILIDE: Okay. I don't have anything further at the moment for you, sir. Thank you. 14 15 MR. GAMBILL: Nick? 16 MR. SMITH: No questions from me, John. 17 MR. BOBB: All right. I guess the baton is passed to me. 18 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BOBB: 20 21 Mr. Giller, my name is Nicholas Bobb. 22 represent Bernardo Stucco and Stone. Are you familiar with 23 Bernardo? 24 Α. The individual and the company? 25 Okay. Now, in your role as the president of RSG Q.

NP III, have you had any dealings with Michael P. Bernardo, either as an individual or as a company?

- A. Again, as a -- as -- my owner -- my units at

 Northpark in Phase 1, no. As the owner of those units, I've

 had no dealings, no contracts, nothing with Mr. Bernardo.

 As the fact that I've known Mr. Bernardo through my other

 role which you're aware of, I've known him for years and

 conversations at job sites across many job sites across many

 years and definitely at this site just like any other.
- Q. And I appreciate that. I'm going to try to be respectful. You've been designated solely as a representative of RSG III NP, LLC today, so I'm going to try to limit my questions to simply your role therein. And I think that will help us keep things moving here this morning. We can ask other questions related to your other roles and your existence as a human being at another time.

I have presented what I think was marked as Exhibit 124 earlier today. Do you see that?

- A. Yes, I see it.
- Q. And you saw that before your deposition today?
- A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Giller, if you'd scroll -- and maybe it's done this already, but pages 6 and 7 of Exhibit 124, there's a section called rule 30(B)(5) topics. Do you see that section?

1 Α. Yes. Have you been designated by the LLC as 2 Ο. Okay. the person with the most knowledge or person who is 3 4 designated to give testimony on any of the 21 topics listed 5 on that notice? 6 Α. I am -- yes, I'm the only person in Northpark 7 LLC that knows anything about the ownership of these units. And I've reviewed the topics and I will answer them to the 8 9 best of my ability. Okay. So any knowledge that the corporation has 10 Q. about any of those topics, you are the designated 11 12 representative? 13 Α. Yes. Okay. And the reason that -- well, strike that. 14 Ο. You testified that one of your units is not 15 16 occupied and has been unoccupied and not producing rent for 17 a number of years. Who made the decision not to rent that 18 unit? 19 Α. Ardent Property Management. 20 Ο. Why did Ardent make that decision? The unit -- Shawn continued to see mold growth 21 Α. 22 and water intrusion into the unit and they played the 23 determination that it was uninhabitable. 24 Q. Did they also make any determination as to what

would be necessary to make the unit habitable?

- A. To my knowledge, they -- I think they tried things, based on the maintenance stuff that you have been -- somebody's been showing me today. It seems like -- just as a quick cursory glance -- they tried to do some things, but I think no one really, including myself, no one really knew what the true depth of the problem was until the original Mays report came out.
- Q. So my question was: Did they determine, though, based on that report or anything, what would be necessary to make the unit habitable again?
- A. You'd have to ask Ardent specifically that question.
 - Q. Okay. Have you asked Ardent that question?
- A. I -- yes, I've asked Ardent and I've asked the association that question and I have a general understanding of the review of the Mays' reports, multiple. So not just the first, but the subsequent tests. And it seems to me that in order to make that -- those buildings, my units, the rest of the Phase 1 units habitable, major deconstruction and reconstruction of components from the windows, to the flashing, to the stone detail, to a number of the components that make up the exterior cladding, sheathing of the buildings.
- Q. Why did you have to ask the association to weigh in on that?

- A. They're my representative -- the association represents me as an association member and that's who controls, through Ardent Property Management's designated role, of the exterior of the units. Which the exterior of the units are the responsibility of the association and I'm a member of that association.
- Q. Well, there was a lot in that answer that we're going have to unpack. I think you said the that the association controls exterior of the units?
- A. The association is responsible for and has assigned their roles and responsibilities pursuant to the condominium declaration as the declarant as the declarant's wishes for Ardent Property Management to take care of the day-to-day operations of the maintenance of the exterior of the units.
- Q. When you say the declarant. Who's the declarant?
- A. I believe it's -- it's in the condo documentation, which we spent an inordinate amount of time in my last deposition on. I don't recall. I believe it's Don Kenney or the individual unit owner of one unit in that complex.
- Q. So there's an agreement, then, between the association and Ardent Property Management?
 - A. As the manager for the association.

- Q. Well, help me understand. The association owes certain duties to the unit owners; is that right?
- A. The association does owe certain duties to the individual unit owners.
- Q. Okay. And I think you said in part, at least some of those duties include the maintenance and repair of the exterior of the building?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. But then you've also testified that the association has delegated some of those duties to someone else?
- A. Pursuant to the condo documents, the association has identified and signed a management contract with Ardent Property Management to take care of the day-to-day responsibilities of managing the exterior of the units for the individual -- or exterior of the units for the condo association.
- Q. Okay. What do those day-to-day responsibilities entail that the association owes to the you, the unit owner?
- A. Walking around picking up trash, snow blow, fixing the streets, trash pick up through dumpster perspective, maintaining the exterior of the buildings, painting, picking up dog waste, opening and closing the pool mowing the yard, fixing the flowers, putting mulch in.
- 25 There's -- obviously, there's a full slate of management

obligations that the association has hired Ardent Property Management to handle those roles.

- Q. Because one of those roles is maintenance and repairs, you felt it necessary to consult with the association regarding the exterior of your building and the issues that it's having, correct?
- A. I'm the association president, so it would be hard for me to not speak to the association and Ardent Property Management when I'm the association president.
- Q. Well, help me understand. As a unit owner, who owes you the duty to repair the building? Is it Ardent or is it the association?
- A. It would ultimately be the association. That's why the association is suing Metro Development for construction defects which were caused by the subcontractors apparently.
- Q. So have you, as a unit owner, asked the association to perform repairs necessary to make your unit habitable?
- A. Until we found the Mays report, and due to the systemic problem with the overall complex, we chose, as the association and the unit owner, to file a lawsuit to seek damages through the general contractor relationship because that is who the association has the contract with or has any standing on.

- Q. My question, though, was whether you as the unit owner asked the association to make the repairs necessary to make your unit habitable again?

 A. Did I ask myself to -- me as the unit owner -- I don't know how to answer your question.
- Q. Well, if you didn't ask the association, then the answer would be no. If you did ask the association, the answer would be yes.
- A. I'm the association president. Did I ask myself? No. We chose to file a lawsuit against Metro Development for construction defect.
- Q. Okay. Do you know who at the association was responsible for making decisions concerning repairs to the exterior of your units?
- A. As the -- as the -- I am the president of the association. We have abdicated our management responsibilities to Ardent Property Management for day-to-day management. When it was determined that it was a systemic issue with the exterior of the building, we filed a lawsuit against Metro Development for construction-related defects.
- Q. So then -- well, strike that. I think I got -- I think I can parse out there an answer to my question.

Do you know why Ardent sends unit owners like yourself monthly reports about the units?

- A. Well, as -- as a normal course of business for -- that's -- why? I think it's normal course of business if you have a property management company that manages your units, they're going to send you monthly updates, as far as the income and expense and the happenings of your units. I guess maybe there's -- someone doesn't do it that way, but in the industry that I'm in that's the way it's done.
- Q. So as a unit owner, why is that information important to you?
 - A. It's not important to me. I don't like at it.
- Q. Well, then why would Ardent, if you know, send you reports that are not important?
- A. It's their normal course of business to send me reports. Whether I choose to look at them or not, I believe that's my responsibility or obligation if I choose to do so. It's not their decision not to send them. I guess I can tell them to stop sending them, but it didn't come to my mind to do that.
- Q. Does it come to your mind now? Do you think after this you'll ask them to stop sending you reports?
- A. No. I'm not going to ask them to change their overall business practice for me. I will just continue not to look at them.
- Q. Do you think that there's an expectation on Ardent's part that you do look at those reports?

- I have no idea. You'd have to ask Ardent. 1 Α. You talked about when third parties are engaged 2 Ο. to make repairs on your unit and that's apparently happened 3 4 in the past. If Ardent contracts with a third party to make 5 repairs on a unit, who makes that call? Is that something 6 that is solely within Ardent's discretion? 7 Α. Yes. Okay. How does Ardent know the extent to which 8 Q. 9 it has discretion to make changes or repairs to your units? How do they -- they manage my units. 10 Α. Yeah, I understand that. But I think you 11 Ο. 12 testified that there's no agreement between RSG and Ardent; 13 is that right? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 Ο. So how does Ardent know the extent to which it 16 can make decisions on behalf of RSG? 17 Α. I guess they just -- you'd have to ask them. think the relationship is such that they know how I want the 18 19 units to be managed and operated and they take it upon 20 themselves to do that. Is there any limit to RSG's authority with 21
 - A. Ask that one more time. I missed the first part.

respect to repairing and maintaining your units?

22

23

24

Q. Is there any limit to Ardent's authority with

respect to repairing and maintaining your units? 1 No, I don't -- I wouldn't -- I wouldn't get 2 3 involved in that. If they had to make a repair, they'd make 4 the repair. 5 Ardent has produced a number of documents on 6 RSG's behalf. You've seen those before today? 7 MR. GAMBILL: We lost your video. 8 MR. BOBB: I've lost yours as well, John. 9 THE WITNESS: I have his. I can see. MR. BOBB: I don't know if that helps. 10 11 MR. GAMBILL: Sorry. I didn't mean to 12 interrupt. 13 BY MR. BOBB: Did you see the documents that Ardent produced 14 15 on your behalf before today? 16 No. As I testified earlier, the first time I've Α. 17 seen those maintenance records was today. How do you know what steps Ardent took to 18 Q. 19 produce documents on your behalf? 20 Α. I don't. How could you swear under oath, then, that the 21 22 documents that exist that were in either your possession or 23 Ardent's possession have all been found and produced? 24 Α. All of the documents that were in my possession, 25 to the best of my knowledge, I produced. And I believe

Ardent produced them as well, so I'm going to -- I believe that those are all the documents.

- Q. Yeah, but what's your belief based on if you don't know what they did to search for the documents?
- A. Again, I've worked at this company for 22 and a half years. I know the people who run Ardent. And, therefore, I have confidence that they have produced what they've been asked to produce in this particular situation, as they have in any other situation that I've -- we've come across in the last 22 years of my working there.
- Q. But do you know what search terms they used, for example, to find electronic-stored documents?
 - A. I do not.

- Q. Do you know what places they looked -- files they looked in to find physical paper documents?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Is it possible that are documents related to your claim that haven't been produced?
- A. I -- to my knowledge, everything that was asked for has been produced.
- Q. You testified when you were asked about damages that you're seeking about \$30,000 in lost rent alone. And then you made some statement about a systemic problem with exterior issues that require reverse accounting to attribute to incorrect installation. Do you recall that testimony?

And I think what I was trying to allude to 1 Α. Specific 2 is -- the question was do I know specific damages? damages are a loss of rents for down units for multiple 3 years. Fairly easy to calculate. If, as it is, there are 4 5 systemic damages, I have not, nor do I believe Ardent has, 6 gone back through and pulled through all of their maintenance records to pull out each and individual expense 7 that could be attributed to this particular case. 8 I don't 9 know that they've done that, but that would be my suggestion or I ask. 10 11 So that the record's clear, then, would those Ο. two categories of damages be the sum total of damages you 12 13 are seeking in this action? 14 Α. Yes. And I may throw mental duress in at this 15 juncture. 16 When did you acquire these units? Ο. 17 Α. 2010. And you bought all 16 of them at the same time? 18 Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Ο. Did you pay for them individually or did you buy 21 them just as a package? 22 Yeah, they were under one note and mortgage. Α. 23 Okay. Do you recall what the purchase price of Q. 24 all the units was when you bought it back in 2011?

No, I don't recall.

25

Α.

Q. As a unit owner, is the safety of your tenants important to you?

- A. As a unit owner and as a person safety of other individuals is important to me.
- Q. What steps have you taken to make sure the remaining units that you own are safe and habitable for the tenants that are currently in there?
- A. I hired Ardent Property -- Ardent Property
 Management manages my units, and I know from my past
 experience dealing with them over the past 22 years that
 safety and tenant comfort is very important, not only to
 them individually and as a company. So that's how I -that's the only steps I've taken, is higher a quality
 management company that has that belief as a company.
- Q. And that's because this is a passive investment for you. You're pretty hands off?
- A. I think you -- based on my testimony today, I think that's an accurate statement.
- Q. When was the last time you were you physically at the Northpark apartments complex?
- A. I -- maybe a year ago. I think I drove through because I was looking at a bridge that we did between the two complexes, because I'm contemplating doing a bridge between another two complexes so I went and looked at the bridge.

Q. Now, as a unit owner, do you think that the president of the condo association should only visit the association on an annual basis?

- A. As a unit owner and -- I believe that the president of the association and the documents and what I signed up for when I purchased the units, I have the knowledge that they were hiring a reputable third party management company to take care of the day-to-day operations of my internal units as well as the exterior of the community and I have faith in that. I wouldn't suspect the president of the association that doesn't live in a passive investment would drive through on a daily or weekly basis when it's a non-paid position.
- Q. So what is your expectation, as a unit owner, that the president of the association should be involved in?
- A. Hiring a quality property management company to manage the investment. And then when it was determined that there was a systemic problem with the exterior cladding of Phase 1 of the building to take actions, i.e. file a lawsuit against the general contractor, and pursue the association's rights and remedies as much as they possibly could through the courts.
- Q. And do you think the association has any duty to you, as a unit owner, to repair the exterior to make those units rentable?

- A. As a unit owner? No. I think as a unit owner the path that the association's taken is a path that I've seen over my career in many associations from passive investments to condominium associations that are owner occupied. So I've seen it done multiple ways. And I think this is the most effective and efficient way for the association to handle a problem that is systemic and very likely extraordinarily costly to the association and then ultimately to the -- Metro Development or to the subcontractors that installed the building materials in an incorrect manner.

 Q. When have you seen this tactic employed
 - Q. When have you seen this tactic employed previously? In what situations?
 - A. I've seen it employed in condo associations throughout the years.
 - O. With what?

- A. Olentangy Crossing; with High Bluffs; I believe -- I'm trying to think. It was several others. If a list is what you need, I can come up with a list.
- Q. Okay. So what was the systemic issue at Olentangy Crossing?
- A. I believe it was a water infiltration issue for the roof and I believe there was some flashing concerns.
 - Q. What is your connection with Olentangy Crossing?
 - A. We constructed Olentangy Crossing and we -- I

was the president of the association for a small amount of 1 time and then I was on the board for a small amount of time 2 and then I phased out as we -- the complex. 3 4 Also at Austin -- Attleigh -- actually, you know 5 what, this exact same process has been taken at the Attleigh 6 which I believe they sued Metro Development and are seeking 7 damages that have not gone through and repaired all of the 8 issues at this point. 9 Has that issue resolved, the Attleigh issue? Α. I can't recall if Bernardo is involved in 10 No. 11 that or not. 12 Ο. What about Olentangy Crossing, did that roof 13 issue ultimately resolve? 14 Α. Yes. 15 How did it resolve? Ο. Through some settlement agreement, I believe. 16 Α. 17 How long had the roof been allowing moisture in Q. the buildings before the litigation was filed? 18 19 Α. I have no idea. 20 Ο. As the president of the association, did you undertake to find that out? 21 22 I don't believe I was the president of the Α. 23 association at that time.

I understand. How long were you president of

24

25

Q.

that association?

As I said, for a short amount of time before we 1 Α. 2 started turning over the units to the unit owners pursuant 3 to the Ohio condominium law. Okay. I think I may have misunderstood your 4 5 testimony. Were you functioning as Metro's representative 6 in the Olentangy Crossing case? 7 I was functioning, I believe, Village Α. Communities. I don't believe Metro built that particular 8 9 project, I think it was Village Communities. Q. But it was the general contractor who was being 10 11 sued? 12 Α. Yes. 13 So you weren't the one that was bringing 0. the suit, you were defending that suit? 14 15 Α. That's correct. 16 Okay. Okay. Do you know how long after the 0. 17 project had been completed Metro was sued or Village or whoever you were representing at the time? 18 19 Α. I have no idea. 20 Ο. And talk to me about High Bluffs. What was the 21 systemic issue at High Bluffs? 22 It was failure in the masonry steps. Α. 23 And how long after the project was completed was Ο. that failure identified? 24

This is -- I have -- you're talking about

25

Α.

something that happened ten years ago. I couldn't tell you off the top of my head.

I have 15 more minutes by the way.

- Q. And what was your role in the High Bluffs resolution?
- A. Again, at some point -- at some point in time I was the president of the association, and as the association turned over, pursuant to the condo rules and laws, I was not president and then I was on the board. Same process as with every association -- condo association we ever built.
 - O. Except for this one.
- A. This is a nonowner occupied commercial condominium, which falls under a different set -- as I'm sure you know, falls under a different subset of the condominium laws. So commercial condominiums function in a slightly different way than residential -- owner occupied condominium associations do.
- Q. And so in the High Bluffs litigation, you were, again, the general contractor's representative who was being sued; is that right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And do you recall how that resolved?
- A. As they all do, a settlement agreement, generally speaking.
- Q. And you don't recall how long after the project

was completed that issue was identified? 1 2 Α. No. Or how long after the association learned of the 3 Ο. 4 issue it went before it repaired the issue? 5 Α. No. 6 Ο. Okay. And I think you testified that Attleigh 7 is still pending; it has not reached resolution? That is correct. And those particular facts at 8 Α. 9 the Attleigh are identical -- somewhat identical to the facts of this particular case where there's water 10 11 infiltration through the improper installation of the flashing and stone work and installation of the OSB and 12 13 siding. And are you the president of the condo 14 15 association at Attleigh? 16 I'm not. Α. 17 Are you -- okay. What's your involvement in Q. that litigation? 18 19 Α. Metro Development. 20 Ο. As a defendant being sued again for the construction? 21 22 I'm being sued by the current owner and Α. 23 therefore I'm seeking sued through to the individual subcontractors as similar to this case. 24 25 Q. Okay. Okay. But you, as Metro, are being sued

1	for your construction practices in the Attleigh case; is
2	that right?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Did anyone from Ardent approach you, as the
5	owner of these 16 units, and tell you what was wrong with
6	your units?
7	A. I only specifically knew what was wrong with
8	these units after the original Mays report was filed and I
9	reviewed it.
10	Q. Okay. So prior to that, though, did anyone from
11	Ardent reach out to you and explain that there were issues
12	with your units?
13	A. Not that I recall of.
14	MR. BOBB: All right. I will probably have some
15	more questions after going through my notes, but I
16	don't want to hold everyone up. So if someone else
17	has questions they can take the baton.
18	MR. GAMBILL: We are coming up on a hard stop,
19	so if you have questions, please jump right in.
20	MR. GORDON: This is Scott Gordon again. I
21	guess I'll pick back up.
22	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. GORDON:
24	Q. Mr. Giller, I think when asked about your claims
25	for damages in this case you noted that you're claiming lost

rent, right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. You're claiming possibly a number to be determined if somebody Ardent or someone else did some reverse accounting for maintenance of your units that may relate to the claims in this case, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. I thought I heard, please correct me if I'm wrong, are you also making a claim for some type of diminution of value of the asset as a result of this, too?
- A. I certainly have not thought of that until you just said it, but, yeah, I think -- now that you bring it up, I think if I went to the open market and tried to sell these units today there would be a discount to the market based on the fact that one down unit -- and I also have potential water infiltration issues and a systemic problem in my 16 units. So I actually -- I didn't think about that, but, yeah, I think that's possibly something that I would claim as well because there is a tremendous value hit to me, based on an interline perspective and then a physical perspective. But I hadn't thought about that, but that's a potential claim that I may make.
- Q. But to be clear, you've not made any effort or shown any -- made any attempt to market and sell your properties since you've owned them, have you?

- A. No, but I may now that you brought that up. That's a good idea.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. Thanks.
- Q. To be clear, you, as an owner of RSG -- I mean, the association never wrote you, as a unit owner, any letters, prior to the initiation of this lawsuit -- or the association --

Well, let me just ask that first: Prior to the initiation of the lawsuit, the association never contacted RSG through writing or otherwise to discuss the association's decision to file a lawsuit, did it?

- A. As I testified, as the association president there was documents that are in the record. I don't remember them right off the top of my head. But I believe there's documents and records where there was correspondence from Ardent to the association members of the issues and how we were going to go about dealing with the issues. And, again, those are in the record somewhere.
- Q. And you would agree with me if the dates of those correspondence between Ardent and other property owners came after the filing of this lawsuit, you're not aware of any other communication between the association and property owners about the contemplation of this lawsuit prior to it being filed, are you?

- A. To my knowledge, I, as the association president, did not write a letter to other individual owners in the association. I cannot speak to what correspondence Ardent may or may not have with each individual owner. I don't -- I'm not -- I don't have visibility of their communications, nor do I choose to.
- Q. Okay. So, again, to be clear, you don't have any knowledge that the association itself notified any unit owners that -- of its intent to file a lawsuit prior to the lawsuit being filed?
- A. Again, I don't know what the time frame of the letter went out, so I don't know if the suit was filed prior to the suit -- the letter went out prior to or after. I don't recall the exact timing.
- Q. In your previous testimony you noted that you were aware of one unit at Northpark that has been remediated, and your testimony was that you had not been made aware of any other problems after that remediation. Do you recall that testimony?
 - A. As it relates to my four units?
- Q. No, as it relates to your knowledge of a unit that had been -- the exterior of the unit had been entirely remediated.
- A. As to my four units, I know -- well, based on some of the stuff that you showed me today, I know there was

activity that happened on one or multiple of my four units. And one of my unit is still down, so it must not be something they can remediate and get people back into.

- Q. But are you aware of a unit which its exterior has or had been remediated that is no longer having water or moisture intrusion issues?
- A. I believe -- I'm aware that the -- from the Mays report they identified that some of the stuff that they removed were remediation efforts in those areas. I don't specifically know every other circumstance of every other unit out there, whether something has been remediated and it works and the remediation worked or not. I don't know that specifically sitting here.
- Q. Are you aware -- is anything being actively done at your unit at 1353 Halo to try to remediate the issues of water and moisture intrusion?
- A. That's the -- that's the one -- my one down unit from multiple years?
 - Q. Yes.

A. Yeah, I don't think -- I think that every remediation effort they've tried has failed, and I believe it has come to the point where, based on the Mays report, the entire skin of the building needs to be put back and -- the installation needs to be done correctly of the water barrier, the scratch coat, you know, the masonry product,

the flashing, the trim. All of those items need to be taken off and redone appropriately in order to effectively and finally remediate the issue.

- Q. And those ideas or those thoughts of how to fix this problem, that comes out of the first Mays report that was issued?
- A. I think I'm drawing my conclusion from the first, second, and third Mays report. One of the Mays report was more detailed, physical removal of products, spray water testing, identifying the true issues in a more scientific and specific way to come up with a result.
- Q. Is it fair to say that you're not aware of any other remediation efforts at your downed unit since the initial -- or the first Mays report came out that you became aware of?
- A. It's fair to say that unit has been sitting vacant for multiple years. I believe it's the same time frame as the Mays report.
- Q. Okay. Sir, as an RSG unit owner, you don't think Metro Development actually breached any of its duties when constructing this project, do you?

MR. GAMBILL: Objection. You can answer.

- A. Breached it to whom?
- 24 BY MR. GORDON:

Q. To you as a unit owner, RSG.

1 MR. GAMBILL: Objection. You can answer, if you know. 2 No, I don't know that I understand or can draw 3 Α. 4 any conclusions on the question. I don't understand the 5 question really. BY MR. GORDON: 6 7 Okay. Sir, RSG III NP, LLC is the plaintiff in 0. this action, right? 8 9 Α. Right. And RSG has sued Metro Development in this 10 Q. 11 action, right? 12 Α. That's correct. 13 And I'll submit to you that RSG, by its 14 complaint, has sued Metro Development for negligence and 15 breach of duty to perform in a good and workmanlike manner. 16 Okay? 17 Α. Okay. Does RSG believe that Metro Development actually 18 Ο. 19 was negligent in its role as a general contractor in this 20 case? 21 RSG believes that as a general contractor Metro Α. 22 Development hired subcontractors to do their work, and RSG's 23 direct method to push their claims has to go through the 24 general contractor because I have no way to sue -- plausibly

sue the other individuals that are in this case.

1 Q. Does RSG believe that Metro Development 2 was negligent in its role as the general contractor of the 3 Northpark Place? 4 MR. GAMBILL: Objection. You can answer. 5 Yeah, RSG believes that there was negligence in 6 the construction and it will be through this process to find 7 out who was negligent. BY MR. GORDON: 8 9 Sir, it's a simple yes-or-no question. Does RSG Q. believe that Metro Development was negligent in its role as 10 11 the general contractor of the Northpark Place? 12 Α. Clearly RSG believes that there's negligence --13 Ο. Sir, it's a yes-or-no question. I'm just asking 14 yes or no, does RSG believe Metro Development was negligent? 15 MR. GAMBILL: He's answered the question, Scott. MR. GORDON: No, he hasn't. It's just a yes or 16 17 no. That's all. MR. GAMBILL: It's in the complaint. 18 19 MR. GORDON: I'm asking him as the 30(B)(5) for 20 RSG, John. BY MR. GORDON: 21 22 It's not that complicated. Does RSG believe 23 that Metro Development was negligent as its role as a 24 general contractor in the Northpark Place construction? 25 MR. GAMBILL: Objection. You can answer.

1	A. Clearly I sued clearly. We filed a lawsuit
2	against Metro. So clearly I believe there's something that
3	went wrong.
4	BY MR. GORDON:
5	Q. Okay. So is that a yes that RSG believes Metro
6	Development was negligent?
7	MR. GAMBILL: Objection. Asked and answered.
8	MR. GORDON: He hasn't answered it, John.
9	MR. GAMBILL: You can answer it again, Tre.
10	BY MR. GORDON:
11	Q. Are you not understanding my question, sir?
12	A. No, I think your question I think I've
13	answered your question.
14	Q. Okay. Well, I haven't heard you say yes or no.
15	So, sir do you need me to repeat it?
16	A. I don't believe it's a yes-or-no question. I
17	believe it's a nuance question that I've answered several
18	times. And I'm not going to answer yes or no because I
19	don't believe that's the way I'm going to answer the
20	question.
21	Q. Does RSG believe that Metro Development breached
22	any implied warranties as the general contractor for the
23	Northpark Place project?
24	A. I think that's yet to be determined through this
25	process who breached what warranty; who did what wrong. I'm

trying to find that out. And I don't understand how you 1 2 don't understand that. I understand that you believe it's yet to be 3 4 determined because, of course, a jury or a judge will make 5 those determinations. I'm asking you as the 30(B)(5) 6 witness for RSG, does RSG believe that Metro Development 7 breached any implied warranties owed to it as the general 8 contractor for the Northpark Place project? 9 MR. GAMBILL: Objection. You can answer. Α. RSG does not know who breached what until we go 10 11 through this process. 12 BY MR. GORDON: 13 I understand you don't know, but is it RSG's 14 position that Metro Development breached implied warranties 15 owed to RSG as the general contractor for the Northpark 16 Place project? 17 MR. GAMBILL: Objection. Scott, again, it's --MR. GORDON: He said I don't know. He's not 18 19 answering my question. 20 THE WITNESS: I believe whatever I've alleged in the complaint. 21 22 BY MR. GORDON: 23 You believe whatever you've alleged in the 24 complaint is true, correct? 25 Α. I guess I wouldn't have made the complaint

1	unless I believed it was true.
2	MR. GORDON: Okay. Now, trying to be respectful
3	of your time, sir, since it's 10:59. John, I've got
4	more questions, so I guess I would ask that we leave
5	this open. Obviously, I don't know if other people
6	will have questions, but I want to be respectful for
7	his time.
8	MR. GAMBILL: Yeah, you guys are wanting to
9	bring him in on behalf of Metro. I don't represent
10	Metro
11	MR. GORDON: No, I'm talking about more
12	questions in his $30(B)(5)$ capacity.
13	MR. GAMBILL: Yeah, I understand that. We can
14	discuss that at some point. We're straddling the bar
15	today.
16	MR. GORDON: Well, John, I'm not even asking him
17	about Metro. I was specifically asking about RSG, I
18	hope you're not insinuating that those questions were
19	out of bounds?
20	MR. GAMBILL: No, I'm not insinuating that.
21	We're going stop now. Get Tre out of here.
22	MR. BOBB: Hey, John, before Tre leaves, can we
23	get the amended complaint marked as an exhibit in
24	this deposition?
25	MR. GAMBILL: Yeah. Sure.

1	MR. GORDON: So, Juniko, I think the amended
2	complaint would be Exhibit 129.
3	SESSION MANAGER: All right, Counsel.
4	MR. GORDON: I'll upload it right now.
5	SESSION MANAGER: We are going off the record at
6	11:02 a.m.
7	(Deposition Exhibit No. 129 was marked for
8	identification.)
9	(This deposition concluded at 11:02 a.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF FLORIDA
2	COUNTY OF OSCEOLA
3	
4	I, ANNELIESSE RIVERA, Shorthand Reporter and Notary
5	Public, State of Florida, certify that ROLAND GILLER was
6	first duly sworn to testify the truth in the cause
7	aforesaid; that the testimony then given by him was by me
8	transcribed on a computer/printer, and that the foregoing is
9	a true and correct transcript of the testimony so given by
10	her, as aforesaid.
11	I do further certify that this deposition was taken
12	at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified. I
13	do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or
14	attorney of either party, or otherwise interested in the
15	event of this action.
16	WITNESS my hand and official seal this 14th day of
17	April, 2021.
18	
19	
20	ANNELIESSE RIVERA
21	ANNEDIEDDE KIVEKA
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	ERRATA SHEET
2	DO NOT WRITE ON THE TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES HERE IN RE: NORTHPARK PLACE INVESTMENT CONDOMINIUM
3	ASSOCIATION, et al. vs.METRO DEVELOPMENT LLC CASE NO: 18 CV H 07 0394
4	DATE: Friday, March 19, 2021 DEPONENT: ROLANDO GILLER
5	PAGE # LINE # CORRECTION REASON
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Under penalties of perjury, I have read my deposition in this matter and that it is true and correct, subject to any
23	changes in form or substance as reflected above.
24	
25	Dated:Deponent Name:

	<u> </u>	I		ı
A	23:14 24:7,23	46:9,18	annual 87:3	April 28:6 67:25
a.m 1:23 6:2,17	27:24 28:19	ahead 62:10	answer 15:2	68:15 105:17
21:1,4 104:6,9	29:8,11 30:11	67:17	18:14 38:4	Ardent 12:14,19
abdicated 80:16	48:12 61:11	air 30:2	42:19 63:5,14	13:3,7,9,15,17
ability 18:1 41:3	addressed 23:24	al 1:3,6,12 6:13	70:5 75:8 77:7	13:20 14:6,8
42:12 75:9	29:19	6:14,16 106:2	80:5,7,8,23	14:16 15:6,7,8
able 11:2 17:4	addresses 15:24	Alan 3:17 7:23	98:22 99:1	17:5,19,20
18:9 28:18	24:8 35:6	58:18,25 63:3	100:4,25 101:9	18:6,9 21:11
35:8 70:5	addressing	alleged 32:13	101:18,19	22:25 24:7,8
absolutely 25:13	24:17	60:4 69:21	102:9	24:17,21 25:6
44:20 55:15	adjacent 11:14	102:20,23	answered 45:20	27:5,10 33:18
abuse 26:12,13	21:25	alleging 70:23	45:21 100:15	38:22 39:1,3,6
26:14	advised 23:25	allow 34:9,10	101:7,8,13,17	39:19,21 40:18
access 12:18	31:10	allowed 19:1	answering	41:1,15,18
accommodate	affixed 11:13	allowing 55:22	102:19	42:1,3,6,15
33:4	aforesaid 105:7	89:17	answers 31:25	43:22 44:18
accounting	105:10	allude 85:1	56:24 57:5	45:14,15,24,25
60:13 84:24	agency's 70:13	Alside 3:6 34:23	anytime 22:16	46:5 47:9 48:4
94:5	agent 42:16,20	46:10,13,19	apart 47:9,14	50:12 54:1,8
accurate 55:18	42:21	52:18,22 53:2	apartment 35:6	55:11 60:22
64:21 86:18	ago 20:12 34:21	amended 103:23	40:25	61:4,12 68:23
acquire 10:17	51:7 86:21	104:1	apartments	70:1,3,5 75:19
85:16	91:1	American 1:11	86:20	75:20 76:11,13
acquired 10:11	agree 6:20 7:22	6:15	apologize 27:4	76:14 77:3,13
10:19	8:13,16,19	amount 18:16	apparently	77:24 78:13
act 42:12,21,21	19:19 32:2	18:17 47:24	79:16 82:3	79:1,8,11
acting 42:23	51:9 54:12	51:17 77:19	appear 51:22	80:17,24 81:11
action 85:13	61:20 63:13	89:1,2 90:1	APPEARAN	82:1,4,8,12,15
99:8,11 105:15	67:23 68:3,7	and/or 6:6	2:1	83:5,14,18
actions 87:19	95:20	Anderson 2:20	appearing 7:20	84:1,6 85:5
active 38:24,25	agreed 39:20,20	5:5 8:14,14	appears 22:12	86:8,8 93:4,11
69:6,7	agreement	56:4,9,11,13	30:8 46:22	94:4 95:17,21
actively 97:14	12:18 13:7,8	56:22 57:18	48:16 51:10,18	96:4
activity 97:1	13:14,16 14:23	58:3,9,14	54:12 67:24	Ardent's 47:14
acts 42:15	15:7 38:22,25	Andrew 2:14	68:4	81:25 82:6,25
actual 31:15	39:3,14,15,17	Andy 8:10 58:18	application	83:23
adailide@fra	40:6 41:5,9,17	anecdotal 51:1	25:24	area 19:12
3:20	41:20,24 42:2	66:19 67:4	appreciate	areas 24:13
additional 17:13	46:13 77:23	anecdotally 50:1	74:10	52:10 97:9
17:15 26:3	82:12 89:16	50:5	approach 93:4	argue 63:24
Additionally	91:23	Anneleisse 1:21	appropriately	Armando 2:13
6:19	agreements	Anneliesse 4:3	98:2	8:11
address 11:10	14:22 15:1	6:7 105:4,20	approximately	arrangement
16:14 21:21	40:24 42:24	announce 7:11	46:25	52:4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

artificial 6:24	88:7,8 89:1,20	42:24 82:21,25	33:1 43:11,17	66:15 70:1,4
asked 45:13,19	89:23,25 91:7	Avenue 3:23	52:11 87:3,12	70:14,15,20
76:13,14,14	91:7,10,10	aware 20:15	batch 53:7,8	71:4,4 77:18
79:17 80:2	92:3,15 95:6,8	22:16 39:8	Bates 21:12 47:8	77:20 81:14
84:8,19,21	95:10,13,17,23	48:23 49:2,6	53:19 67:22	83:25 84:1
93:24 101:7	96:1,3,8 106:2	50:3,5 55:6,8,8	bathroom 30:17	85:5 87:4
asking 18:6	association's	61:21,25 64:16	baton 58:16	88:18,22,23
32:11 44:7	87:21 88:2	64:18,25 66:16	73:17 93:17	89:6,16,22
49:11 63:8,24	95:12	67:2 74:7	bear 57:2	90:7,8 95:15
64:4,5 70:8,8	associations	95:23 96:16,18	bed 29:15,15	97:7,21 98:17
100:13,19	88:3,4,14	97:4,7,14	62:3	99:18 100:1,10
102:5 103:16	91:17	98:12,15	bedroom 22:8,9	100:14,22
103:17	assume 15:3		29:1,14,16	101:2,16,17,19
asmith@rolfe	51:25 52:21,24	B	30:15	101:21 102:3,6
2:17	73:1	back 19:1 20:12	beer 64:3	102:20,23
aspects 14:12	assumed 39:17	21:8 28:2	began 39:24,25	believed 103:1
assessed 24:13	39:18	29:15,15 37:24	beginning 7:12	believes 99:21
25:15 44:3	assuming 66:10	38:11 48:24	10:21 39:21	100:5,12 101:5
assessment	72:2,13	49:7 50:7	45:3 65:15	benefited 16:21
41:16	attempt 32:12	57:14 60:16	begins 54:10	Bennett 4:3 6:8
asset 94:10	94:24	62:7 63:22	behalf 2:2,8,13	6:10 9:3,5,8,11
assigned 6:4	attempted 17:6	71:14 85:6,24	2:18 3:1,6,11	Bernardo 3:11
77:11	17:9,12	93:21 97:3,23	3:16,21 7:13	8:4 73:22,23
assignment 35:5	attention 34:2	Baker 3:2 8:17	7:19,23 8:3,6	74:1,5,6 89:10
assisting 6:6 7:3	72:16 73:12	8:17	8:17,21 37:3,6	best 75:9 83:25
associated 3:6	Attleigh 57:25	bar 103:14	38:21 39:22	better 27:23
8:7 21:12 26:1	89:4,5,9 92:6,9	barely 34:16	40:25 41:6,19	bigger 65:6
34:23 46:10,13	92:15 93:1	bargain 32:7	42:7,12 56:11	billed 24:19
60:12	attorney 7:18	barrier 3:16	59:2,3,4 60:25	25:22 26:8
association 1:3	37:8 72:4	7:24 58:25	61:5 82:16	bind 40:25
6:13 12:12,14	105:14	97:25	83:6,15,19	bit 56:4
12:19,25 13:2	attribute 60:14	baseboard 30:16	103:9	Bloomfield 3:23
13:15,17 21:17	84:24	based 17:23	belief 57:4 84:3	blow 78:20
24:14 40:7	attributed 85:8	19:2 29:24	86:14	blowing 51:4
71:18 76:15,24	audio 6:21	32:5 41:2	believe 10:2	Bluffs 88:17
77:1,2,5,6,9,10	audiovisual 7:4	51:24 55:18	12:23 15:13	90:20,21 91:4
77:24,25 78:1	aught 57:22	66:4 68:4 71:5	16:22 18:6,9	91:18
78:3,10,12,17	augmenting 7:3	73:5 76:2,9	18:10,20,25	blurry 51:18
78:19 79:1,5,7	August 65:16	84:3 86:17	21:13,21 24:11	Blvd 2:21
79:8,9,12,13	66:3	94:15,20 96:24	27:9 33:1 38:7	board 51:3 89:2
79:14,18,22,24	Austin 89:4	97:22	48:22 50:1	91:9
80:2,6,7,9,12	authority 33:24	bases 72:9	58:1 59:15	Bob 70:12,13
80:16 87:2,3,5	41:10,18 42:6	basis 24:14	60:5 61:11	Bobb 3:12 5:7
87:11,15,23	42:8,9,10,11	26:24 27:5	64:23 65:11	8:3,3 73:17,20
			<u> </u>	

73:21 83:8,10	91:10	6:16 20:24	32:18 81:14,15	clearly 65:1
83:13 93:14	burned 72:17	37:11,22 47:1	96:6	100:12 101:1,1
103:22	burner 44:10	47:21 48:6	chooses 13:12	101:2
bottom 52:16	business 34:4	49:21 66:5	chose 79:21	Cleveland 3:19
54:9	44:5 59:7 81:1	85:8 90:6	80:10	7:25
bought 85:18,24	81:2,13,22	92:10,24 93:1	Chris 62:5,21,24	closets 30:18
bounds 103:19	buy 85:20	93:25 94:6	63:2,9,18,25	closing 78:23
BR 38:18		99:20,25 106:3	64:6,6,8 67:24	clubhouse 11:14
breach 99:15	C	categories 85:12	68:14,17	11:21 12:1
breached 98:20	calculate 85:4	cause 40:14	Cincinnati 8:12	19:11,15,16,22
98:23 101:21	calculation	105:6	circumstance	19:22,25 21:25
101:25 102:7	69:23	caused 60:11	97:10	60:2
102:10,14	calculations	71:6 79:15	circumstances	coat 97:25
breezeway	70:3	causing 28:10	68:24	coincided 10:3,5
11:19	caliber 17:22	cavity 68:11	citations 7:6	collection 47:10
bridge 86:22,23	call 14:5 18:13	CDF 2:13	civil 1:20 36:7	60:24
86:25	23:21 25:20	center 19:10	cladding 76:22	Collins 3:3
bring 57:18	46:1,4 51:14	center 19.10	87:18	Columbus 1:23
69:12 94:12	52:18,22 82:5	50:19	claim 18:19 70:6	2:5,10,16,21
103:9	called 1:19	certain 78:2,3	70:22,25 71:1	6:17 7:14,20
bringing 90:13	31:15 44:18	certain 78.2,3	71:3,5,6,9,11	combination
broker 70:11	45:20,24,25	certainly 94.11		26:11
	74:24	7:1	71:22,25,25	
brought 95:1	camera 56:18		72:1,3 84:18	come 26:3,14
bugs 62:3	Campbell 3:12	certify 105:5,11 105:13	94:9,19,22	35:15 37:3
building 11:8,10	Campus 2:21		claiming 12:3	63:22 65:5
11:17,19 19:7	capacity 12:17	change 44:9	59:19,21,22	68:23,25 81:17
19:8,11 20:1,4	43:8 103:12	81:21	93:25 94:3	81:19 84:9
20:6 21:25	caption 105:12	changed 10:18	claims 93:24	88:19 97:22
22:2 35:17,18	care 14:6,8,11	changes 82:9	94:6 99:23	98:11
35:19,20,25	23:11 31:14	106:1,23	clarification	comes 32:19
46:3 58:4 60:1	42:22 64:2	charge 24:9	66:9	98:5
60:15 66:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	41:16 51:18,21	clarify 40:13	comfort 86:11
68:21,23 69:3	77:13 78:14	52:2	56:24 59:16	coming 29:16
72:17 78:7	87:8	charged 24:24	60:23 66:11	69:22 93:18
79:5,11 80:19	cared 27:1,2,10	44:8 52:7	71:13 72:6	commencing
87:19 88:10	27:15 44:15	charges 24:12	clarity 35:1	1:23
97:23	career 51:1	24:18 26:7	clean 52:17	commercial
buildings 11:13	66:22 88:3	44:3,19 52:4	57:22	91:12,15
11:25,25 19:18	carpet 14:5 23:2	chase 42:15	cleaned 14:5	common 1:1
19:19,21 21:25	30:16	check 68:8	cleaner 58:13	13:19 24:13
57:12 60:10	carrier 70:19,20	chicken 68:11	clear 43:7 47:5,6	52:10
76:18,23 78:22	71:22	Chidiac 3:22	47:15 53:11	communicate
89:18	carry 70:9	8:21,21	85:11 94:23	62:23 63:19
built 68:24 90:8	case 1:2,8 6:14	choose 32:6,15	95:5 96:7	communication

	1	1	1	
95:23	comprised 60:7	2:18 8:15	68:16	29:6 30:12
communicatio	60:21	56:11 59:11	conversations	97:24
71:21 96:6	computer/pri	62:6,25 64:7,8	50:21,22 51:2	correspondence
communities	105:8	64:10 68:23	67:4 68:18	53:22 54:13
13:20 15:6,8	concerning	71:6 79:15	74:8	95:16,21 96:3
21:11 24:17	80:13	80:11 92:21	cook 30:1	cost 26:13,15
66:19 90:8,9	concerns 88:23	93:1 100:6,24	Cooney 3:22	costly 88:8
community	concluded 104:9	construction-r	coordinator	costs 25:14,21
87:10	conclusion	80:20	54:7	25:25 26:1
Community's	42:19 98:7	consult 45:15	copied 54:15	72:11,13
15:9	conclusions 99:4	79:4	copy 26:20	could've 27:10
companies 71:8	condition 22:20	consulted 45:15	27:12 28:17	44:20
company 1:12	32:7 55:13	contacted 23:13	30:8	counsel 7:9 8:2
2:18 3:1,21	conditions 17:24	95:10	corner 21:12	8:10 20:19,20
6:16 8:18,22	condo 12:14,19	contained 57:5	29:16 51:12	31:6 34:22
14:11 23:5,7	12:25 13:2	contemplating	53:18	38:17 58:25
23:21,23 33:4	40:7 77:18	69:2 86:23	corporation	60:18 67:9
33:24 40:25	78:12,16 87:2	contemplation	75:10	104:3 105:13
47:1,4,21 48:3	88:14 91:8,10	95:24	correct 9:18	Counsels 9:12
62:17 73:24	92:14	content 68:4	10:15 11:15	Counsels' 7:3
74:2 81:3 84:5	condominium	continue 63:6	12:15,25 13:1	County 1:1 6:18
86:12,14,14	1:3 6:13 12:12	81:22	13:4,5 16:4,5,6	105:2
87:8,16	13:6 24:14	continued 75:21	16:7,22 19:12	couple 35:2 56:5
complaint 22:12	77:12 88:4	continuous	19:15 20:8	69:20
23:1,4 99:14	90:3 91:13,15	54:19	24:9,11,16,20	course 81:1,2,13
100:18 102:21	91:17 106:2	contract 14:2	24:25 25:1,22	102:4
102:24,25	condominiums	24:23 47:25	26:8 28:21	COURT 1:1
103:23 104:2	91:15	61:2 78:13	35:18 36:1,11	courts 32:20
complete 26:10	conference 7:21	79:24	39:23 40:11	87:22
completed 26:22	35:11	contractor	41:1,14,19	cover 72:9
90:17,23 92:1	confidence 84:7	12:18 79:23	42:13 43:1,4	coverage 71:14
completely	confusing 11:18	87:20 90:10	52:6 53:2,3	71:15,18
44:24 67:2	conjoined 19:18	99:19,21,24	64:17,20 65:12	covering 71:19
complex 11:23	connection	100:2,11,24	65:14 66:7	create 15:4 19:9
13:12 22:5	88:24	101:22 102:8	67:25 68:11	created 15:5,8
32:25 41:22	considering 70:6	102:15	70:4 71:16	credit 32:24
77:22 79:21	consistent 30:3	contractor's	78:8 79:6	33:22 41:2
86:20 89:3	30:4,24 31:1	91:19	82:14 90:15	42:4
complexes 86:23	constructed	contracts 74:5	92:8 94:2,8	CROSS-EXA
86:24	44:6 68:21	82:4	99:12 102:24	34:12 56:8
complicated	88:25	controls 77:3,9	105:9 106:22	58:22 73:19
100:22	constructing	conversation	CORRECTION	Crossing 88:17
components	98:21	50:8,19 51:5	106:5	88:21,24,25
76:20,21	construction	59:14 64:12,14	correctly 27:14	89:12 90:6

	•	•	•	
54:7 64:10	67:24 106:25	80:21	description 22:6	38:12 91:13,14
92:22	dates 95:20	defendant 2:8	28:8,24 29:13	91:16
currently 10:8	DAVID 1:3,9	2:13 3:1,16	29:25 30:14,21	differently
10:14 15:10	day 1:24 64:9	7:14,24 8:7,11	57:11	44:16
16:4 86:7	105:16	8:17 34:23	designated	difficult 18:12
cursory 76:4	day-to-day 34:3	92:20	74:11 75:2,4	diminution
customer 33:1	55:12 77:14	defendant's	75:11 77:3	94:10
cut 40:9 42:15	78:14,18 80:18	36:7	detail 18:3,13	direct 9:13
46:16	87:8	defendants 1:7	22:23 33:17,19	99:23
CV 1:2,8 106:3	days 65:3	1:13,19	45:23 76:21	directly 23:13
	dba 3:6	defending 90:14	detailed 16:18	24:25
D	dblock@plun	define 42:20	98:9	director 62:6,24
D 2:3,20	3:24	defined 42:20	details 35:12	64:8
Dailide 3:17 5:6	dealing 86:10	definitely 74:9	determination	dirty 23:1
7:23,23 58:19	95:18	definitively 15:2	32:1 75:23,24	disagree 68:12
58:23,25 60:16	dealings 74:1,5	dehumidifier	determinations	discoloration
60:19 63:7,17	December 65:16	28:25 29:25	102:5	54:20
65:19 66:8	65:20 66:3	Delaware 1:1	determine 41:3	discount 94:14
67:7,10,16	decide 32:20	3:8 8:8	76:8	discovery 47:1,7
69:17,19 73:13	decides 41:1,15	delegated 78:10	determined	47:15,20 48:5
daily 72:8 87:12	42:3	delete 43:21	17:18 31:23	57:25 58:12
damage 12:4	decision 33:8,15	denied 71:5	80:18 87:17	discretion 41:11
25:12,18 26:3	33:16,22,23	depending	94:4 101:24	41:16 42:3
59:19,21,22	41:11 42:25	11:21	102:4	82:6,9
70:23	75:17,20 81:16	deponent 9:2	determines	discuss 95:11
damaged 59:24	95:12	106:4,25	17:21,23 45:14	103:14
damages 60:4,7	decisions 42:9	deposit 25:17,24	Development	discussed 53:1
60:8,12 69:21	45:16 80:13	26:2	1:6,8 2:8,18	discussion 21:2
79:23 84:21	82:16	deposition 1:14	6:14,15 8:15	discussions
85:2,3,5,12,12	declarant 77:12	1:18 5:1 6:11	39:9 56:12	50:17
89:7 93:25	77:16,17	7:5 20:17,18	62:7,11,12,14	document 15:5
Danielle 3:22	declarant's	20:23 21:5,10	62:25 63:12	21:11 36:6,6,8
8:21	77:12	34:22 35:4	64:4,4 79:14	36:18 47:10
Darrin 54:10,18	declarants 13:16	36:7,15 37:3,6	80:11,20 88:9	51:10 53:17,20
55:4	declaration	37:21,23 48:22	89:6 92:19	56:14 61:7
date 1:24 12:8	77:12	49:20 53:13	98:20 99:10,14	67:22
15:10 18:17	declined 71:9	56:2,20 63:4	99:18,22 100:1	documentation
22:12 29:5	deconstruction	67:14 74:20	100:10,14,23	77:19
31:9 51:10,14	76:19	77:20 103:24	101:6,21 102:6	documentatio
64:22 66:13,14	deduction 26:2	104:7,9 105:11	102:14 106:2	48:2
106:3	deed 61:2	106:22	dholthus@mr	documents 37:9
dated 27:20 28:6	defect 71:6	depositions 7:16	2:11	37:10 46:21,21
28:14 30:9	80:11	37:21	diaster 71:7	46:25 47:3,6
54:14 64:24	defects 79:15	depth 76:6	different 17:15	47:20,24,25

	1	1	<u> </u>	1
48:4 53:6	68:1,7 69:18	emulsive 59:14	69:21	12:6 16:20
60:24 61:1,3,3	72:23,25 73:5	engaged 82:2	exhibit 5:10,11	expert 40:21
66:5 73:6	e-mails 37:16,18	entail 78:19	5:12,13,14,15	71:8
78:12 83:5,14	earlier 18:21	enter 38:22	5:16,17 20:18	explain 47:11
83:19,22,24	21:10 43:3	40:24 41:5	21:4,5,9,14	93:11
84:2,4,12,15	44:15 50:8	42:24 106:1	27:17,24 28:3	expressly 39:20
84:17 87:5	53:6 59:16	entered 12:19	28:12 29:3,19	extensive 55:13
95:14,16	74:18 83:16	39:13	30:6 31:4 35:5	extent 17:3
dog 32:17 78:23	early 31:9,19	entering 19:3	36:12,15 38:15	20:14 50:3
doing 58:15	32:3,6,13,16	enters 11:12,23	38:18,18 47:17	60:6 61:10
69:13 86:23	33:14 48:24	entire 19:6	48:10 53:4,5	82:8,15
dollar 18:16,17	49:25	97:23	53:12,13,18	exterior 13:10
dollar-wise	East 2:21	entirely 96:22	55:20 56:1,2	13:14 19:3,6
26:11	Eastern 6:2 7:7	entity 9:20	56:19,20 57:1	40:6 60:10,14
Don 77:21	easy 85:4	60:25	60:17,21 61:8	64:19 66:17,24
door 30:17	educate 38:8	entrance 35:16	67:11,13,14	67:3 68:19
66:22	effective 88:6	equation 32:21	74:18,23	69:3 71:19
Doug 2:9 7:13	effectively 41:6	ERRATA 106:1	103:23 104:2,7	72:19 73:4
7:22 53:6	98:2	error 58:1	exhibiting 59:18	76:22 77:4,4,9
downed 98:13	efficient 88:6	Esq 2:3,3,9,14	exhibits 57:15	77:14 78:7,15
draw 99:3	effort 16:21	2:20 3:2,7,12	exist 83:22	78:16,22 79:5
drawing 98:7	94:23 97:21	3:17,22	existed 61:14	80:14,19 84:24
drive 35:16	efforts 16:14,17	essentially 11:13	existence 74:16	87:9,18,24
87:12	16:23 17:2	19:11 42:12	expect 52:4,7,9	96:22 97:4
driven 65:7	19:1,4,4 20:13	establish 18:1	55:16 63:1	extraordinarily
66:21	72:21 97:9	estate 14:10	69:12	88:8
driveway 19:10	98:13	69:5	expectation	extraordinary
drove 86:21	eight 11:6 49:22	et 1:3,6,12 6:13	23:20 81:24	25:18,25
drywall 44:10	either 13:2 20:1	6:14,16 106:2	87:14	eyesight 27:23
Dublin 3:4,13	52:5 58:18	event 26:16,18	expected 51:21	
8:4,19	74:2 83:22	105:15	68:17	<u>F</u>
due 32:3 79:20	105:14	eventually 52:8	expense 25:16	facing 69:10
duly 105:6	elect 32:3,12,22	Everybody	26:14,24 27:11	fact 61:16,17
dumpster 78:21	elected 31:11	58:14	44:6 52:1,7,9	69:13 74:6
duress 85:14	33:8	exact 51:5 89:5	69:10 81:5	94:15
duties 78:2,3,6	electronic-stor	96:14	85:7	facts 92:8,10
78:10 98:20	84:12	exactly 22:2	experience	failed 97:21
duty 79:11	elements 13:19	72:1	22:20 52:3	failure 90:22,24
87:23 99:15	eleven 34:7	examination	86:10	fair 40:19 52:21
	eloquently 19:23	1:19 5:2 9:13	experienced	52:24 65:19
	employed 88:12	example 14:4	12:8 15:20	73:3 98:12,16
e-mail 43:15,17	88:14	18:7 84:12	16:10,20 29:21	Fairly 85:4
43:21,21 53:22	employee 62:14	exception 71:5	30:20	faith 87:10
54:13 67:19,23	62:16	excess 18:20	experiencing	falls 91:13,14

familiar 35:13	57:1 59:25	18:25 19:16,17	102:17 103:8	37:24 44:9
43:24 73:22	66:10,13,14,15	19:22,25 20:5	103:13,20,25	56:25 57:1,8
familiarize 47:2	66:18,24 72:25	20:6,11 22:19	Garner 3:3	57:14 58:19
family 69:15	76:17 82:23	22:24 23:18	gather 47:19	62:10 67:17
far 32:10 63:6	83:16 95:9	29:18,21 30:20	gathered 47:14	95:18 99:23
81:4	98:5,8,14	31:17 33:6,20	48:5	102:10
feature 11:14	105:6	35:19,25 54:20	Gauge 11:11	going 9:25 10:18
19:11	fix 44:10 98:4	55:5,7 59:17	15:25 19:8	14:5 15:2
February 29:5	fixing 78:21,24	59:18,25 96:20	22:3 57:12,13	17:21 18:7
feel 61:9 66:11	flanking 19:21	96:24 97:1	58:4,5	21:1 24:8,13
fees 61:22	flashing 68:9	frame 96:11	Geiser 53:24	24:18,24 25:21
felt 79:4	76:21 88:23	98:18	55:4	26:7 31:10
fictitious 25:20	92:12 98:1	Franklin 3:8	general 50:21	34:9,10 35:1,3
field 40:21	flip 36:20	6:18	76:15 79:23	36:5,5,10
fifth 30:5	floor 10:24 11:3	frankly 39:16	87:20 90:10	38:11 39:11
figure 40:19	11:4,6,6,7,16	Frantz 3:18	91:19 99:19,21	41:21 46:20,24
73:3	11:21 12:4,5,7	free 61:9 66:11	99:24 100:2,11	48:9 53:16,16
file 79:22 80:10	15:11,12,13	Friday 1:15	100:24 101:22	54:20 55:19
87:19 95:12	20:1,5,6 25:5	106:3	102:7,15	58:11 61:15
96:9	26:19 31:18	front 19:24	generally 32:19	63:1,5,6,11,19
filed 10:1 80:19	52:13 59:25	35:16 51:10	91:24	63:22 64:2,10
89:18 93:8	floors 19:15	66:14	generated 26:16	69:2,10 74:10
95:25 96:10,12	20:5	full 78:25	26:18	74:12 77:8
101:1	Florida 105:1,5	function 91:15	getting 68:9	81:4,21 84:1
files 84:14	flowers 78:24	functioning 90:5	Giller 1:14,18	93:15 95:18
filing 95:22	foam 28:25	90:7	5:1 6:11 9:7	101:18,19
final 7:5	follow 54:17	further 73:14	34:14 36:17	103:21 104:5
finally 98:3	follow-up 50:22	105:11,13	47:19 55:25	good 6:3 9:15
find 32:24 38:4	following 50:21	futile 19:5	56:10 58:24	38:14 62:16,19
60:13 84:12,15	52:6		59:9 67:17	95:2 99:15
89:21 100:6	foregoing 57:5	G	69:20 73:21	Gordon 3:7 5:4
102:1	105:8,12	Gambill 2:3	74:22 93:24	5:8 8:6,6 34:13
fine 34:17 62:21	form 43:19	7:18,18 21:16	105:5 106:4	34:14 35:14
63:8	106:23	35:10 42:18	give 27:12 32:23	36:10 38:15,19
first 10:4,24	forma 14:23	47:5 49:1,11	42:6 55:24	38:20 47:16,18
11:7,24 12:4,5	forth 1:24 61:7	49:15 53:5,10	72:4 75:4	49:4,14,18,19
15:11,12,13,25	forward 10:18	56:7 57:22	given 33:22	53:8,15 93:20
19:25 20:6,10	10:22 13:24	58:6,11,17	41:10 42:4	93:20,23 98:24
21:14 25:5	45:12	63:3,11 65:10	52:3 105:7,9	99:6 100:8,16
26:19 28:3	found 56:23	66:1 73:15	giving 42:24	100:19,21
29:18 31:17	58:1 79:20	83:7,11 93:18	49:20	101:4,8,10
34:21 48:23	83:23	98:22 99:1	glance 43:20	102:12,18,22
49:24 52:12	four 11:1,5,20	100:4,15,18,25	76:4	103:2,11,16
53:18 54:9	15:11,25,25	101:7,9 102:9	go 20:25 35:12	104:1,4

	1	1	1	1
GORMLEY 1:3	hands 86:16	45:13 55:19,20	99:7	infiltration 12:6
1:9	happen 15:24	59:17	imagine 55:21	12:9 30:25
gosh 24:22	happened 82:3	Holthus' 40:13	71:20	59:25 62:3
great 58:15	91:1 97:1	home 7:25 8:12	implicitly 39:20	66:21 88:22
Greenwich 3:21	happening	8:23	implied 101:22	92:11 94:16
8:22	20:13 64:13	hope 103:18	102:7,14	information
Greg 67:24	happenings 81:5	Hopkins 1:22	important 34:4	16:18 27:1
ground 19:24	happens 54:21	2:4	39:10 81:9,10	43:22 61:2,4,5
72:17	73:10	hour 34:10	81:12 86:2,4	70:2 81:8
group 11:24	hard 34:7 79:8	hours 49:22	86:11	initial 48:21
46:20	93:18	human 74:16	improper 92:11	64:23 65:22
growth 75:21	head 91:2 95:15	hundreds 22:22	incident 51:8	98:14
guess 11:6,18	heading 36:21	38:13 43:25	include 37:12	initially 10:17
17:19 20:17	hear 27:4 34:15	44:25	44:1 54:2 78:6	initiation 95:7
30:3 31:25	34:20	HVAC 23:2	included 42:11	95:10
32:11,15 39:9	heard 66:19		43:24 70:25	inkling 65:2,5
39:14 45:25	94:8 101:14	I	includes 42:23	inoperable 23:2
52:14,14 63:4	Hello 58:24	i.e 87:19	including 7:19	inordinate 77:19
65:1 71:7	help 27:24 35:2	idea 14:21 22:2	42:5 76:5	inquired 50:23
73:17 81:6,16	57:23 74:14	22:21 29:23	income 26:4,24	inside 54:19
82:17 93:21	78:1 79:10	33:10 54:5,6	43:20 44:6	insinuating
102:25 103:4	helps 83:10	82:1 89:19	81:5	103:18,20
guessing 73:6	Henry 2:15	90:19 95:2	inconsistencies	Inspect 28:10
guy 62:21	hey 27:10	ideas 98:4	32:17	inspection 65:5
guys 103:8	103:22	identical 92:9,9	inconsistent	installation
	Hi 54:10,18	identification	31:1	60:14 66:17
H	high 2:15 18:10	21:6 36:16	incorrect 60:14	67:3 84:25
H 1:2,8 106:3	88:17 90:20,21	53:14 56:3,21	84:25 88:11	92:11,12 97:24
habitable 75:25	91:4,18	67:15 104:8	INDEX 5:1	installed 88:10
76:10,19 79:19	higher 18:11	identified 21:22	indicates 68:9	instance 32:22
80:3 86:6	34:19 86:13	26:24 30:21	individual 25:17	insurance 1:12
half 84:6	Hills 3:23	48:12 57:24	52:8,9 62:2	3:1,21 6:16
Halo 21:22	hired 79:1 86:8	67:6,8 78:13	63:25 64:1	8:18,22 70:9
27:25 28:3,20	99:22	90:24 92:1	69:7 73:24	70:11,17,22
29:10 30:11	hiring 87:7,16	97:8	74:2 77:21	71:8,8,13,15
48:12,17,18	hit 94:19	identifying	78:4,16 85:7	71:18,22
51:22 52:12	Hoffman 67:24	98:10	92:23 96:2,4	intelligence 6:24
53:9 54:19,25	hold 51:13 53:22	identity 6:9	individually	intend 39:25
55:1,2 61:11	93:16	III 1:15,18 7:20	85:20 86:12	40:19,23 41:18
61:18 68:5	Holthus 2:9 5:3	9:7,17 13:18	individuals 7:11	42:6 47:16
97:15	7:12,13,13	36:8 37:3	13:9 86:4	57:4
hand 51:11	9:14 20:16,21	46:12,19 47:21	99:25	intent 96:9
105:16	21:7,18,20	59:23 62:13,14	industry 32:5	interest 17:10
handle 79:2 88:7	31:4,7 34:6	68:25 74:1,12	81:7	69:6
	31.1,7 31.0	,		02.0
	ı	I	ı	ı

	I		ī	
interested	89:10	2:6	35:8,9 42:20	lawsuit 59:19
105:14	involvement	job 58:15 62:22	44:5,5 46:21	61:24 69:22
interior 13:10	40:15 92:17	74:8,8	50:3 53:24	79:22 80:10,20
13:19 14:3	isolated 50:2	John 2:3 7:18	54:7 59:17,18	87:20 95:7,10
24:7,10,18	51:4	34:18 65:19	60:9,9,20 62:8	95:12,22,24
25:6 40:5	issue 12:6 22:20	73:16 83:8	62:12,13 63:18	96:9,10 101:1
41:12 71:16,17	23:11,19,24	100:20 101:8	64:15,19 66:24	lbaker@crugl
interline 94:20	24:7,8,18,22	103:3,16,22	67:18 69:2	3:5
intermittent	30:4 64:9,13	join 8:1,5,8,23	71:4,19,24	leaking 22:8,9
15:21	65:6,7 68:22	joining 7:11	72:7,20,22,23	leaks 28:10
internal 13:13	80:19 88:20,22	judge 1:3,9	73:4,7 80:5,12	66:22
87:9	89:9,9,13	102:4	80:24 81:11	learned 49:24
internal/exter	90:21 92:1,4,4	jump 93:19	82:8,15,18	92:3
42:9	98:3	juncture 85:15	83:10,18 84:4	leasable 31:24
interrogatories	issued 67:1 98:6	June 30:9 54:14	84:6,11,14	lease 14:22,23
56:15,24 57:6	issues 12:9 14:7	55:6	85:2,9 86:9	15:1,5,8 17:18
Interrogatory	14:8 15:18,20	Juniko 4:2 6:3	89:4 90:16	18:8,23 25:21
56:25 57:8	15:22 16:10,15	20:16 31:5	91:14 96:11,12	31:9 32:3,13
interrupt 83:12	16:20 19:3	36:10 38:15	96:24,25 97:10	32:16,23,24
Intervening 2:2	20:10 23:14	47:16 53:17	97:12,25 99:2	33:22 35:17
3:1	29:19,20,24	56:13 57:18	99:3 102:10,13	40:24,25 41:5
intimate 55:12	30:15,19,25	60:16 67:7	102:18 103:5	leased 16:4 33:7
introduce 7:10	31:20 32:4,14	69:17 104:1	knowing 50:1	45:8,9
introduced 21:9	38:12 48:24	jury 102:4	knowledge	leases 31:19
introductions	49:7,9,25 50:2		12:10 23:16	33:14
9:1	50:3,6,24 51:3	K	31:15,21 32:5	leave 31:11
intrusion 42:6	54:19 55:5,7	Kairalla 4:2 6:6	52:25 54:16	103:4
48:23 49:7,24	59:18 60:11	keep 63:5 74:14	55:13 65:6	leaves 103:22
64:17,19 66:25	62:3 63:19	Kenney 77:21	72:10 73:2,10	left 19:17 35:17
75:22 97:6,16	64:1 66:19,19	kind 22:23 23:4	75:3,10 76:1	62:17
investment 1:2	66:21 79:6	31:25 51:18	83:25 84:19	legal 42:18
6:12 14:18	84:24 89:8	52:4 66:22	87:7 96:1,8,21	legally 32:19
27:6 34:2	93:11 94:16	knew 20:14	known 34:23	legitimate 32:12
44:24 59:23	95:17,18 97:6	62:14 63:9	74:6,7	let's 22:24 57:1
69:5,11,12	97:15 98:10	65:23 66:18	knows 75:7	62:4
86:15 87:12,17	item 27:11	76:5 93:7		letter 72:3 96:2
106:2	items 98:1	know 9:24 10:1	L L	96:12,13
investments	J	12:22 14:19	L 2:14	letters 95:7
14:9,9,10		15:6,9,19,19	L.P.A 2:9,15	level 18:3,13
18:15 34:2,5	January 22:13	15:21,24 17:2	label 67:22	33:17,18 45:23
38:5 45:22	22:17 51:11	21:15 22:1,3,4	Lakes 35:16	59:5,7,8,8 67:5
69:5,15 88:4	65:15,21 66:2	22:21 30:2,22	larger 27:23	Levit 70:14,16
involved 72:8	Jason 4:2 6:6	30:23,24 31:18	law 90:3	70:17
83:3 87:15	jgambill@mc	31:22 33:7	laws 91:8,15	liability 9:20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

71:17	63:21 74:12	Lucas 3:2	38:24,25 39:2	57:19 67:14
Libel 62:5,24	75:2,7 99:7	Luke 8:17	41:10 42:10	74:17 103:23
63:9,25 64:6,6	106:2		47:23 48:3	104:7
64:8 67:24	LLC's 12:7	M	50:10,11 54:1	market 17:20,23
68:14,17	LLCs 14:10	M 1:3,9 3:7	54:8 60:22	40:20 94:13,14
life 25:8 34:4	LLP 3:18	Macholm 8:23	70:1 72:8	94:24
limit 74:13	loaded 20:20	maintain 14:13	75:19 77:13,24	marking 21:4
82:21,25	loan 47:25 61:3	maintaining	78:13,14,25	53:12 56:1,19
limited 9:20	location 7:4	78:22 82:22	79:2,9 80:16	67:13
47:24	17:22	83:1	80:17,18 81:3	masonry 90:22
line 32:7 106:5	long 34:19 58:9	maintains 14:15	86:9,14 87:8	97:25
lines 57:11	65:4 89:17,24	maintenance	87:16	master 22:8,9
list 36:24 57:9	90:16,23 91:25	24:3,10,12	Management's	29:1,14 30:15
88:19,19	92:3	25:12 26:6	77:3	30:17
listed 75:4	longer 31:23	38:9 44:3 48:9	management/	material 59:15
litigation 89:18	62:17 97:5	52:10 53:25	32:8	69:10
91:18 92:18	look 19:19 27:1	54:2,7 60:11	manager 4:2,2,3	materials 3:6
little 11:18 56:4	27:2 37:9,12	61:8,16,22	6:1,4 8:25 9:11	8:7 34:23
live 32:24 87:11	37:24 38:5,6,6	68:22 69:14	20:19,24 21:3	46:10,13 60:15
lived 38:13	40:14 43:12	76:2 77:14	31:6 33:9	66:17 67:3
living 29:16	44:23 54:9	78:6 79:3	36:13 38:17	88:10
living/master	58:7 61:9	83:17 85:7	42:21,23 53:12	math 35:25
52:17	67:17 81:14,23	94:5	55:12 56:1,16	matter 6:12 7:19
LLC 1:6,8,15,18	81:25	major 76:19	56:19 57:21	106:22
1:22 2:4,8,13	looked 14:25	making 68:10	60:18 67:9,12	Mays 20:15 49:3
2:19,20 3:3,6	15:3 37:10	80:13 94:9	77:25 104:3,5	49:9 50:4
3:12 6:14,15	44:17 84:14,15	manage 13:3,10	manager's 33:16	55:10 64:19,23
7:20 8:7 9:18	86:24	13:13 24:11	manages 81:3	64:23 65:2,7
9:25,25 10:1,8	looking 19:8,24	32:22 39:11,12	86:9	65:13,14,24
10:11,14,17,19	20:21 38:7,12	39:15 40:1,5	managing 39:6	66:10,14 67:1
10:20 11:24	41:25 57:25	41:21 50:25	39:25 40:1	67:5 76:7
12:3,11,17	72:15 86:22	82:10 87:17	78:15	79:20 93:8
13:11,18 14:2	looks 35:5	managed 12:14	manner 40:1	97:7,22 98:5,8
14:13,15,19	loss 60:5 71:1,17	13:15,20 39:22	88:11 99:15	98:8,14,18
15:4 17:6,9,12	85:3	82:19	map 57:14,19	Mays' 76:16
17:16,25 18:4	loss-rents 18:18	management	March 1:15 6:17	Mazanex 2:9
18:9,17 20:5,9	lost 60:7 69:21	6:22 12:20	15:10 106:3	McDonald 1:22
22:15 23:7,12	69:23 83:7,8	13:7,8,17 14:3	mark 36:11,13	2:4
23:25 25:2,4	84:22 93:25	14:11,12,17	38:15,18 53:17	mean 15:23 16:1
26:19,20,25	lot 30:1 35:12	15:7 17:5,19	56:14 67:10	18:12 30:1
29:21 30:20	65:23 77:7	17:20 22:25	marked 21:5	42:15 45:6
31:10,18 33:23	loud 32:17	23:5,7,21,23	35:4 36:15	55:1,16 58:7
36:8 37:6	lower 21:12	31:22 33:3,18	47:17 53:13,19	61:21 63:7
46:12 62:13	34:16 53:18	33:24 38:22,23	56:2,20 57:1	64:15,22 68:3
70.12 02.13	J T .10 JJ.10		30.2,20 37.1	07.13,22 00.3
	ı	<u> </u>	ı	ı

	<u> </u>	1	ı	
68:17 69:1	40:9 46:16	17:18 24:3	49:9	12:11 17:13,14
83:11 95:5	middle 29:14	26:23 27:5,11	necessarily	17:15 38:10
meaning 23:18	30:16	31:13 40:15	12:25	50:7 54:2 55:1
means 30:1	might've 16:24	43:4,11,25	necessary 38:4	57:13,24 58:5
47:11,11	mildew 20:10	44:8 45:2,6,14	61:10 75:25	59:23 63:20,21
member 9:17,22	30:15,17 61:13	45:17 47:23	76:9 79:4,18	64:2,13 66:23
12:11,17,25	mind 35:2 42:21	51:22 52:5	80:2	66:24 72:10
13:2,15 14:19	81:18,19	62:2 72:14	need 14:5 24:23	73:5 74:4 75:6
15:4 17:25	minds 56:5	80:25 81:4	41:12 61:10	86:20 96:16
18:8 20:9	minor 56:5	Montoya 2:13	88:19 98:1	100:3,11,24
22:15 23:7,12	58:10	8:11	101:15	101:23 102:8
23:13,25 24:15	minus 25:17	Moody 4:2 6:3	needs 26:6 41:16	102:15 106:2
25:2,4 26:20	minute 21:14	morning 6:3	97:23,24	notary 4:3 6:8
31:10 33:23	27:18	9:15,16 74:15	negligence 99:14	9:2 105:4
77:2,6	minutes 91:3	mortgage 85:22	100:5,12	notation 24:3
members 95:17	miscellaneous	move 33:2,3	negligent 99:19	31:13
memory 15:23	37:10	34:19 43:14	100:2,7,10,14	note 67:21 85:22
mental 85:14	missed 82:23	moves 54:21	100:23 101:6	noted 93:25
mentioned	misspoken	moving 74:14	neighbor 32:17	96:15
15:16,17 16:3	65:20	mowing 78:24	never 30:22	notes 7:3 20:21
met 32:7	mistake 56:23	mulch 78:24	44:22 46:12	52:16 93:15
method 99:23	57:16	multifamily	48:17 95:6,10	notice 36:7 75:5
Metro 1:6,8 2:8	misunderstood	14:10	new 46:21	noticed 58:14
3:3,13 6:14,15	90:4	multiple 12:24	nice 58:24 62:21	notified 20:10
7:14 39:8 62:6	moisture 15:18	31:24 32:9	Nicholas 3:12	20:13 96:8
62:11,12,14,25	16:10,15 20:10	64:7,9 72:7	8:3 73:21	noting 63:14
63:12,23 64:4	23:1,20,24	76:16 85:3	Nick 58:18	November 28:14
64:4 79:14	30:2 31:20	88:5 97:1,18	73:15	NP 1:15,18 7:20
80:10,20 88:9	32:3,13 42:6	98:17	nine-page 36:6	9:17 13:18
89:6 90:8,17	48:23 49:6,24		Noblett 20:17	36:8 46:12
92:19,25 98:20	50:6 89:17	N	50:12,14,15,17	74:1,12 99:7
99:10,14,18,21	97:6,16	nails 68:11	50:22	nsbobb@peli
100:1,10,14,23	mold 15:18,21	name 6:3 9:5	Noblett's 21:10	3:14
101:2,5,21	16:10,14 20:10	22:4 34:14	24:5 71:15	nuance 101:17
102:6,14 103:9	23:1,20,24	56:10 58:25	non-paid 87:13	number 10:18
103:10,17	28:10 29:14	70:13 73:21	nonowner 91:12	15:22 32:16
Metro's 90:5	30:15 31:20	106:25	normal 25:12	42:5 48:9 58:2
MI 3:23	32:3,14 61:13	names 22:4	26:10 81:1,2	64:16 65:23
Michael 3:11	75:21	Nationwide	81:13	75:17 76:21
8:3 74:1	moment 73:14	70:20	north 3:8,13	83:5 94:3
Michelle 4:3 6:8	month 34:21	Nationwide's	20:2,3,4 60:2	numbers 58:8
6:9	44:2 45:11	71:2	northern 20:1	
Michigan 8:23	52:6,6	natural 71:7	Northpark 1:2	0
microphone	monthly 14:16	nature 32:18	6:12 10:4,6	o'clock 34:7
			,-	
	-	-	-	

	-	- -	•	
oath 83:21	20:9 21:19	ones 70:7	owner 12:23	106:5
object 63:6,7	22:6,11,19	open 94:13	13:6,12 24:2,6	pages 29:18
objection 42:18	23:6,12,17,23	103:5	24:9,19,25	36:21,25 46:25
49:1,11 63:3	24:21 26:5	opening 78:23	25:15,17,22	48:15 61:7,9
63:14 98:22	27:16,19 28:2	operate 41:22	26:1,8,10,13	74:23
99:1 100:4,25	28:11,13 29:2	operated 82:19	26:14,15 42:13	paid 71:10
101:7 102:9,17	29:4 30:5,7	operations	43:8,11 45:7	paint 44:11
objections 7:17	31:3,17 34:6	13:10 34:3	45:10 69:1,13	painting 78:23
obligation 81:15	34:15,24 35:21	77:14 87:8	74:3,4 77:21	paper 43:19
obligations 79:1	35:24 36:13,20	opportunity	78:19 79:10,17	84:15
observing 6:6	37:2,5,9,12,16	55:22,24	79:22 80:2,4	paraphrasing
obtained 47:9	37:20,24 39:2	oral 39:14,16	81:8 86:1,3	16:9
obviously 65:22	39:6,13,19,24	46:13	87:1,4,14,24	parse 80:23
78:25 103:5	40:4,18 41:5	order 22:16,22	88:1,1,4 91:16	part 41:9,17
occupancy 10:4	41:15,23 42:11	26:6,16,18,21	92:22 93:5	47:13,13 78:5
occupied 10:7	42:15 43:6,13	27:13,20 28:5	95:5,6 96:4	81:25 82:24
15:12,14 16:25	43:15,24 44:7	29:5 51:19,24	98:19,25	participate
17:4 75:16	44:14,22 45:2	52:22,23 53:2	owner's 24:3	47:19
88:5 91:12,16	45:19 46:5,9	76:18 98:2	owners 57:24	particular 10:20
occupying 33:21	46:20 48:14,21	orders 29:20	78:2,4 80:24	10:20 11:10
occurring 22:16	49:23 50:11	30:23 37:13,25	90:2 95:22,24	13:11 14:19
off-the-record	51:9,21 52:2	38:8,12 48:16	96:2,9	15:1 16:3 24:6
21:2	52:12,15,21,25	48:18 60:22	ownership 25:8	24:9 34:1 44:2
offer 40:23	54:9,17,25	61:8,23	39:21 43:18	44:4 69:14
office 7:14,21,25	55:3 60:3,20	original 67:1	45:3,12 62:1,4	72:21,23 84:8
8:4,7,12,15,18	61:6,21 64:15	76:6 93:8	75:7	85:8 90:8 92:8
8:23 46:6 64:3	65:9 67:7,20	OSB 92:12	owning 57:11	92:10
offices 1:22	69:9,16 72:13	OSCEOLA	owns 10:19,20	parties 6:19
official 6:25	72:22 73:13,25	105:2	12:4 13:19	55:22 82:2
105:16	75:2,10,14	ou 9:8	20:5 29:21	parts 13:13
offset 26:15	76:13 78:5,9	outlets 29:1	37:14,17,18	party 24:24,24
OH 2:5,10,16,21	78:18 80:12	outside 63:4	51:25 58:4	82:4 87:7
3:4,8,13,19	82:8 85:23	72:24		105:14
Ohio 1:1,20,23	88:20 90:4,13	oven 44:9	<u>P</u>	pass 58:16
4:3 6:17 7:15	90:16,16 92:6	overall 52:10	P 3:2,11 8:3 74:1	passed 41:17
7:20,25 8:5,8	92:17,25,25	79:21 81:22	P.C 3:22	73:18
8:12,19 9:20	93:10 94:3,8	owe 78:3	package 85:21	passing 59:13
90:3	95:3 96:7	owed 102:7,15	page 5:2,10	passive 14:10,18
okay 9:24 10:3,8	98:19 99:7,16	owes 78:1,19	21:14 27:16	34:2,5 38:5
10:21 11:12,16	99:17 100:1	79:11	28:3,11 29:2	44:24 69:5,12
12:11 14:13	101:5,14 103:2	owned 11:24	30:5 48:10,12	86:15 87:11
15:4,10 16:3	Olentangy 88:17	26:19 31:18	51:9,17 52:15	88:3
16:13,19 17:2	88:21,24,25	37:25 50:18	52:16 53:19,20	path 88:2,2
17:6,17 18:16	89:12 90:6	54:3 94:25	54:10 57:2,2	pay 34:2 41:4

72:16 73:11	74:4 76:19	39:21 43:19	87:11,15 89:1	47:1,10,15
85:20	87:19	54:3,5 55:23	89:20,22,24	89:5 91:9
Pelini 3:12	phased 89:3	59:10 89:8	91:7,9 92:14	100:6 101:25
penalties 106:22	phone 46:1	91:6,6 97:22	95:13 96:2	102:11
pending 92:7	71:24	103:14	pretty 56:5 63:5	produce 83:19
people 38:12,13	phrase 25:14	Polaris 35:16	86:16	84:8
67:4 84:6 97:3	physical 65:4	pool 78:23	Prevail 4:2,2,3	produced 21:11
103:5	84:15 94:20	poorly-asked	6:4,7,21	46:25 47:7,12
perform 79:18	98:9	10:12	previous 72:14	60:25 61:12
99:15	physically 86:19	portfolio 66:20	72:23 96:15	66:5 67:22
performance	pick 78:21 93:21	portion 13:15	previously 20:20	83:5,14,23,25
27:6	picked 46:1	54:13	35:3 45:14	84:1,7,18,20
performed	picking 78:20,23	pose 7:17	48:21 49:12,23	producing 6:22
27:12	picture 19:9	position 87:13	57:19 88:13	75:16
perjury 106:22	place 1:2 3:3,13	102:14	price 85:23	product 97:25
permissibly	6:12 26:21	possession 83:22	primarily 35:15	production 61:7
32:19	32:24 37:22	83:23,24	prior 10:7 49:20	73:6
permit 16:24	41:3 54:3	possible 28:10	67:3 93:10	products 98:9
40:24	100:3,11,24	48:24 50:23	95:7,9,25 96:9	project 49:3
permitting	101:23 102:8	84:17	96:12,13	90:9,17,23
39:19,24	102:16 105:12	possibly 87:21	pro 14:5,23	91:25 98:21
person 43:10	106:2	94:3,18	24:14	101:23 102:8
53:25 63:8	places 84:14	potential 51:3	probably 24:2,2	102:16
71:24 75:3,3,6	plaintiff 9:17	64:12 68:22	38:13 39:17,18	projects 64:11
86:3	36:8 99:7	94:16,22	43:25 45:12	projects 04.11
personal 52:25	plaintiffs 1:4,9	practice 81:22	64:8 72:16	50:15
59:5,7,7,8	2:2,2 7:19	practices 93:1	93:14	properties 12:20
68:18	plan 58:11	practices 93.1 preparation	problem 20:14	13:7 14:12
personally 43:7	plat 19:19 35:13	37:2,6	22:6 28:8,24	16:25 17:3
59:2 62:8 64:5	57:14,19	present 4:1 6:5	29:13 30:14	51:3 94:25
perspective	platform 6:22	35:3 36:5	49:3 60:10	property 11:12
11:22 15:9	6:22	46:20 53:16,17	64:19 65:3	13:17 14:11,16
34:4 78:22		56:13	66:16 67:2	14:17 17:5,19
	plausibly 99:24			,
94:20,21 Poton 2:3	played 75:22 PLEAS 1:1	presented 47:4	72:5 76:6	17:20 23:5,7
Peter 2:3	.= .	74:17	79:21 84:23	23:21,23 28:6
Phase 17:13,14	please 9:3,6 28:9	presenting 36:4	87:18 88:7	28:9,21 29:8
17:15 35:22,23	29:9 36:11	53:4 55:19	94:16 98:5	29:22 31:22
35:23 36:1,3,3	57:8,9,19 72:2	56:16 60:18	problems 55:9	32:8,22 33:3,9
38:1 39:3,7	72:4 93:19	67:12 69:17	67:5 96:18	33:15,18,24
40:2 42:17	94:8	preserved 7:17	Procedure 1:20	38:22,24,25
43:4 44:1,23	Plunkett 3:22	president 62:12	proceed 9:12	39:2 47:23
44:25 45:4	plus 11:25	62:15 63:12	proceeding 6:5	48:3 50:10,11
46:2 52:13	point 12:23	73:25 79:7,9	6:20 7:1,5 9:9	54:1,8 55:12
55:10 73:5	31:22 34:11	80:9,15 87:2,5	process 26:9	60:22 70:1
	<u> </u>		l	

			I	I
75:19 77:3,13	Q	reached 27:10	38:17 56:25	47:24 48:1,18
77:24 78:14	qualifications	92:7	58:13 65:10	51:2 96:20,21
79:1,9 80:17	41:2	read 22:6 28:9	67:21 95:14,19	relation 21:24
81:3 86:8,8	qualified 24:23	28:23 29:8,13	104:5	59:19,20 60:21
87:16 95:21,24	quality 17:22	30:14 58:7	record's 85:11	70:22
proposed 8:1	86:13 87:16	72:25 106:22	recorded 6:21	relationship
prorated 52:11	question 10:12	reading 29:6	recording 7:4	41:25 62:13
provide 43:23	30:19 32:1	reads 52:18	records 16:1	79:23 82:18
provided 7:2	34:8 44:18	54:18	47:13,14 61:12	relative 105:13
26:20 43:22	49:16 55:23	ready 21:19	61:14,16 66:4	rely 14:6,8 40:21
48:2 49:12	62:19 63:15,16	67:18	71:21 83:17	remainder 11:2
61:1,4 70:1	68:22 70:8	real 6:23 14:10	85:7 95:16	remaining 16:13
Public 3:18	76:8,12,13,15	69:5	recover 18:18	86:6
105:5	80:1,5,23 85:2	realize 49:8	RECROSS-E	remediate 97:3
pull 29:15 31:4	99:4,5 100:9	really 20:14	93:22	97:15 98:3
60:16 67:7	100:13,15	21:13 40:15	redone 98:2	remediated
68:8 85:7	101:11,12,13	72:15 76:5,5	reduction 42:4	18:23 72:19
pulled 29:15	101:16,17,20	99:5	refer 9:25	96:17,23 97:5
85:6	102:19	reason 22:3	reference 37:16	97:11
purchase 17:12	questioning	31:10 75:14	referencing	remediation
47:25 61:2	55:23	106:5	66:11 71:14	16:14,17,21,23
85:23	questions 27:17	reasons 32:9,16	referring 50:12	17:2 19:1,6
purchased 10:5	38:5 40:13	42:5	65:21 66:9	20:13 38:9
15:1 45:10	44:17 55:21	recall 38:21 39:4	reflected 61:22	72:20 73:4
87:6	56:6 70:5	48:24 49:5,12	106:23	96:18 97:9,12
purchasing 10:7	73:16 74:13,15	49:16,20,22,23	regard 58:2	97:21 98:13
purpose 7:2	93:15,17,19	50:1,20 64:11	regarding 13:18	remedies 87:21
purposes 20:22	103:4,6,12,18	64:14 71:24	17:17 27:6	remember 59:12
65:10 66:9	quibble 61:15	72:1 77:20	31:17 51:7	65:19 68:16
67:21	61:17	84:25 85:23,25	53:1 79:5	95:15
pursuant 1:19	quick 76:4	89:10 91:22,25	regards 64:16	remind 34:22
13:16 39:11	Quite 39:16	93:13 96:14,19	71:10 72:6,8	removal 98:9
40:6 77:11		recalls 49:18	72:10	removed 97:9
78:12 90:2	R	receive 14:16	region 17:21	removing 69:3
91:8	raise 67:5	27:5 43:3,11	regularly 62:23	rent 14:13,15
pursue 87:20	Raskin 2:9	received 31:13	63:18	41:1,4 42:4,4
push 99:23	rata 24:14	44:1,2 45:2,11	relate 37:13,25	44:2 45:14,17
put 28:25,25	rate 17:18,21	receiving 43:7,8	48:16 53:9	60:5,7 69:21
29:25 32:15	18:1,8 40:20	43:10 45:6	61:10 94:6	69:23 75:16,17
41:3 49:13	rates 17:23	reconstruction	related 28:5	84:22 94:1
65:25 97:23	40:16	76:20	44:11 61:13,23	rentable 87:25
putting 78:24	re-leased 16:25	record 6:1,5,25	66:25 68:4	rental 17:21,23
pwelin@mcdo	17:4	9:6 20:25 21:1	74:15 84:17	18:1 40:16
2:6	reach 93:11	21:3,8,16	relates 37:11,19	rents 71:1,18
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	1		1	1
85:3	reputable 87:7	47:23	53:18	66:24 67:22
reoccupied	request 24:7	returned 46:1	rights 87:21	68:25 73:25
18:24 25:5	48:5	returns 48:1	rip 68:19 69:2	74:12 82:12,16
33:13	requested 65:2	revenue 26:12	ripping 68:19	95:5,11 98:19
repair 26:6 78:6	requesting 68:8	26:15	Rivera 1:21 4:3	98:25 99:7,10
79:11 83:3,4	requests 47:20	reverse 60:13	6:7 105:4,20	99:13,18,21
87:24	48:9	84:24 94:5	Roche 3:3	100:1,5,9,12
repaired 89:7	require 84:24	review 21:14	Roland 1:14,18	100:14,20,22
92:4	required 25:11	24:4 27:18	5:1 6:11 9:7	101:5,21 102:6
repairing 82:22	resident 54:21	31:14 37:13	105:5	102:6,10,15
83:1	residential	48:4,8 76:16	ROLANDO	103:17
repairs 25:6,11	91:16	reviewed 36:24	106:4	RSG's 40:25
41:12 79:4,18	resolution 91:5	37:7,20 48:7	role 62:25 63:12	41:6 47:7,13
80:2,13 82:3,5	92:7	48:18 54:15	68:25,25 69:6	48:5 66:25
82:9	resolve 89:13,15	62:1,1 75:8	69:7,22 73:25	82:21 83:6
repeat 12:21	resolved 89:9	93:9	74:7,13 77:4	99:22 102:13
101:15	91:22	right 8:25 10:17	91:4 99:19	rule 36:7,21
report 20:15	respect 14:14,22	12:3 14:1	100:2,10,23	37:7 48:7
24:3 49:3,9	25:4 39:3 40:4	16:21,25 18:24	roles 74:16	74:24
50:4 55:10	42:16,25 44:22	19:13,18 20:4	77:11 79:2,3	rules 1:20 91:8
64:20,23 65:2	46:2 47:20	20:7,24 21:18	Rolfes 2:15	run 84:6
65:3,8,13,18	51:22 52:2	21:21,24 22:8	rolls 14:13,15	runs 53:25
65:22,24 66:2	82:22 83:1	22:13 24:12,15	roof 88:23 89:12	Ryder 2:9
66:3,3,10,15	respectful 74:11	24:19 25:2,25	89:17	
67:1,6 76:7,9	103:2,6	28:5,6 32:14	roofs 51:4	<u>S</u>
79:20 93:8	response 40:12	32:25 35:21,22	room 7:21 29:16	s 9:7 66:22
97:8,22 98:5,8	47:7 48:5 71:2	36:2,17 39:22	35:11	safe 86:6
98:9,14,18	responses 47:8	40:7,16,20	Ross 2:18 8:14	safety 86:1,3,11
Reporter 1:21	56:15 58:1,12	41:7,13 42:7	56:11,15	sake 55:22 61:19
105:4	responsibilities	42:17 44:4,19	rough 6:23	sanderson@te
reports 14:16	77:11 78:15,18	44:24 45:22	route 63:6	2:22
65:15 76:16	80:17	46:10,14,17	RSG 1:15,18	saw 61:8 74:20
80:25 81:12,14	responsibility	48:10 49:5,10	7:20 9:17,24	saying 43:6,7
81:20,25	26:10 42:22	51:11 52:13,14	13:18 36:8	says 18:7 24:22
represent 7:10	54:6 77:5	52:23 55:14,17	37:3,14,17,18	36:21 49:15,15
21:9 61:6	81:15	56:10 57:6,16	38:1,22 39:2	52:16,17 54:18
73:22 103:9	responsible	57:17 58:17	41:19 42:1,7	68:13 71:6
representative	77:10 80:13	72:15 73:17	42:12,16,23	scientific 98:11
74:12 75:12	rest 76:19	78:2 82:13	43:9,11 46:9	scope 63:4 Scott 3:7 8:6
77:1 90:5	resubmit 20:22	91:20 93:2,14	46:12,18,19	
91:19	result 94:10	93:19 94:1,6,7	47:8,12,21	34:14 49:11
representing	98:11	95:15 99:8,9	48:6 53:19,20	53:5 93:20
90:18	results 65:7	99:11 104:3,4	56:15 59:3,4	100:15 102:17
represents 77:2	retain 13:9	right-hand	59:23 62:13,13	scott@gordon
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	l

		- -	•	
3:9	seen 22:22 30:22	61:7 68:24	34:21 35:3,15	65:13 94:4
scratch 97:25	36:17 39:4	91:13	36:5 38:21	somebody's 76:3
screen 21:13	61:16 83:6,17	setting 40:15	46:20 48:10	somewhat 92:9
28:18 30:9	88:3,5,12,14	settlement 89:16	49:20 52:15	son's 22:3
35:6,11 46:22	Seese 2:18 8:14	91:23	53:16,16,21	soon 45:7,8,10
48:11,11 53:21	56:11,15	seventh 36:20	58:15 59:2	sorry 13:22
53:23	Select 52:18	severity 49:8	60:20 62:5,10	15:17 19:17
scroll 28:2 47:2	Selected 53:2	Shawn 75:21	66:11 68:2	35:24 40:9
48:14 51:17	Selective 52:22	sheathing 76:22	69:9 70:9	46:16 50:15,16
61:18 74:22	self-manage	SHEET 106:1	73:14 98:19	56:18 83:11
Scyld 2:20 8:14	13:3	short 90:1	99:7 100:9,13	Sounds 56:7
56:7,10 57:22	sell 17:6,9 94:13	Shorthand	101:11,15	south 2:10,15
seal 105:16	94:24	105:4	103:3	3:3 20:2
seals 28:25	send 58:11 72:2	show 34:18 35:1	sit 37:3 63:25	space 14:4 24:7
search 84:4,11	72:3 81:4,11	36:5 44:8 48:9	site 59:10 74:9	33:8,9,16,21
Searching 67:12	81:13,16	52:1,5,8,10	sites 74:8,8	speak 58:24
seat 68:8	sending 81:17	showed 96:25	sits 43:21	59:3 79:8 96:3
second 11:3,5,16	81:20	showing 72:14	sitting 49:22	speaking 58:2
11:21 12:7	sends 80:24	76:3	63:8 64:3,5,11	65:11 91:24
19:14 26:5	separate 13:6	shown 94:24	97:13 98:16	specific 13:11
27:16 29:16	28:21,23 29:24	shred 24:4 43:14	situation 19:6	30:23 31:21
45:11 53:19	53:7,8	43:20	33:11 34:1	33:18 44:8
98:8	separately 24:9	side 7:9 26:13	84:8,9	45:17 49:9
secretary 10:1	24:19 25:15,22	32:21 60:2	situations 88:13	51:7,15,25
section 74:24,25	26:8	siding 68:8	Sixteen 36:3	64:14 68:16,24
security 25:17	separating	92:13	sixth 36:20	72:9 73:1,9,10
25:24 26:2	11:20	signature 57:2,6	skin 97:23	73:11 85:2,2
see 21:11,13,22	Serve 14:5	signed 15:7	slate 78:25	98:11
27:11,21 28:14	service 26:6	46:19 78:13	slightly 91:16	specifically 13:9
29:15 34:15,16	27:12 33:1	87:6	small 28:18 30:8	15:19,19 16:17
34:18 35:5,13	services 24:24	significant	30:17 56:23	37:11,13,15
36:8 48:11	52:18,22 53:2	16:10 19:3	89:1,2	48:8 49:2 50:2
51:11,19,24	session 4:2,2,3	signing 57:4	Smith 2:14 8:10	51:5 59:24
52:15,17,18	6:1,4 7:6,12	silo 26:5	8:10 70:12,13	61:25 64:11
53:20,22 54:10	8:25 9:11	similar 22:20	70:15 73:16	65:6 66:18,23
54:17,23 56:17	20:19,24 21:3	26:9 29:20	snow 78:20	71:23 72:20
57:9 74:18,19	31:6 36:13	30:20 61:12	sold 10:11	76:11 93:7
74:24 75:21	38:17 53:12	92:24	sole 9:22 12:17	97:10,13
83:9,14	56:1,16,19	simple 100:9	17:25 43:8	103:17
seeing 30:11	57:21 60:18	simply 24:4	solely 74:11 82:6	specified 105:12
seek 79:22	67:9,12 104:3	74:13	solemnly 9:8	spent 77:19
seeking 18:18	104:5	single 12:24	Solutions 3:16	spoke 34:21
84:22 85:13	set 1:24 18:8	61:19	7:24 59:1	36:1 37:8
89:6 92:23	46:21 53:6	sir 9:6 28:15	somebody 46:5	59:12 71:24

			-	
spoken 59:6	73:22 76:21	99:10,14 101:1	66:16 67:2	63:2 64:22
spray 98:10	92:12	sufficient 16:24	79:21 80:19	67:23 69:1
spraying 59:14	stop 19:5 34:7	18:10	84:23 85:5	81:17 91:1
Square 3:18	36:4 53:4	sufficiently	87:18 88:7,20	93:5
stamp 21:12	55:19,23 69:17	18:23	90:21 94:16	telling 61:18
47:8 53:19	81:17,20 93:18	suggest 18:1		ten 25:10 38:11
Standard 6:2	103:21	suggesting 18:5	T	39:5 43:18
7:7	store 61:5	34:8	Tab 67:8	91:1
standing 19:10	straddling	suggestion 85:9	tactic 88:12	ten-plus 43:18
19:24 79:25	103:14	suing 79:14	take 6:4 14:6,8	tenancy 26:5
Stanley 14:5	street 1:22 2:4	suit 12:3 18:18	14:11 21:14	tenant 14:20
start 45:7	2:10,15 3:8	90:14,14 96:12	23:11 25:20	22:25 23:3,17
started 45:8	11:11 15:25	96:13	27:18 54:25	23:19 25:19
54:6 90:2	19:9 22:3,4	suitable 41:6	67:17 69:6	26:12,13,14
state 7:10 9:5	48:12,17,19	Suite 1:23 2:4,10	77:13 78:14	31:8,11 32:2,6
10:1 105:1,5	51:23 53:9	2:15 3:3,13,18	82:19 87:8,19	32:8,12,15,23
stated 40:13	55:1 57:12,13	3:23	93:17	33:2,2,5,7,9,11
43:3 44:23	61:11,18	sum 60:3 85:12	taken 1:20,21	41:1,6,17 42:5
49:8	streets 78:21	superintendent	6:12 26:25	86:11
statement 26:24	strike 25:2	64:7	27:2 37:22	tenant's 33:15
27:5,11 44:6	26:16 32:1	supposed 23:3	86:5,13 88:2	41:3
51:22 52:5,8	75:14 80:22	sure 12:22 16:1	89:5 98:1	tenant-related
52:11 84:23	Stucco 2:13 3:11	25:10 26:23	105:11	66:21
86:18	8:4 73:22	27:1 29:25	takes 24:21	tenants 19:1
statements 27:3	stuff 63:10 76:2	34:25 39:16	42:22	23:12 25:12
31:13 43:4,11	96:25 97:8	43:19 47:5	talk 22:24 23:5	31:18,19 33:20
43:25 44:8,17	subcontractors	53:10 65:12,17	46:6,6 67:18	42:25,25 86:1
45:3,7,11	79:15 88:10	66:6 71:23	68:14 90:20	86:7
47:23 62:2	92:24 99:22	72:1 86:5	talked 63:9 64:1	terminate 32:3,6
72:14	subject 15:17	91:14 103:25	64:8 82:2	32:13,16,18,23
stating 49:5	106:22	surprising 55:11	talking 13:11	33:8
status 50:23	submit 46:24	survey's 17:20	23:18 65:12,17	terminated
stayed 31:24	48:15 99:13	17:20	66:6 90:25	31:19 33:14
Steamer 14:6	submitted 47:21	suspect 33:3	103:11	termination
step 24:21 34:10	48:6	60:12 87:10	tax 47:25	31:9 33:10
steps 83:18 86:5	subsequent	swear 6:10 9:2,3	tear 25:12 26:10	terms 84:11
86:13 90:22	76:17	9:9 83:21	26:11	test 15:23
stipulate 58:3,6	subset 91:14	sworn 105:6	technicians	testified 48:22
stipulation 7:17	substance	Sydney 20:17	24:22 52:16	59:16 64:15
7:22 8:1,5,9,13	106:23	21:10 50:12,13	technology 6:24	66:15 69:4,20
8:16,20,24	sue 99:24,25	50:17	Teetor 2:20	72:7 73:10
58:10	sued 89:6 90:11	systemic 49:2	tell 9:9 11:2	75:15 78:9
stone 2:13 3:11	90:17 91:20	55:9 60:10	17:17 31:1	82:12 83:16
8:4 68:9,10	92:20,22,23,25	64:18 65:7	37:5 51:5 60:3	84:21 92:6

			_	_
95:13	82:18 85:1	103:3,7 105:12	21:8 27:17	type 41:16 42:4
testify 105:6	86:17,18,21	times 64:9,16	59:9 63:14	94:9
testifying 49:23	87:1,23 88:1,5	69:20 72:7	101:9 103:21	types 45:16
testimony 6:21	88:18 90:4,9	101:18	103:22	
7:4,6 18:22	92:6 93:24	timestamp 7:7	treat 34:1 38:5	U
24:6 27:13	94:12,13,17,18	timing 96:14	45:22	ultimately 60:8
44:14 48:17,25	97:20,20 98:7	title 36:6	treated 44:15	79:13 88:9
49:5,12,17	98:20 101:12	titled 56:14	Tremco 3:16	89:13
51:16 55:3,5	101:12,24	titles 10:14	7:24 59:3,6	umbrellas 71:19
55:18 60:23	104:1	today 36:25 37:6	tremendous	undersigned
64:17,24 65:23	third 2:10 11:4,6	57:20 60:25	15:20 30:25	1:21
66:12 71:15	11:21 12:7	63:5,9,25 64:5	94:19	understand 6:20
72:15 75:4	19:15 24:23,24	65:23 74:12,18	tried 76:1,4	12:22 18:21
84:25 86:17	29:11 82:2,4	74:20 76:3	94:13 97:21	24:5 27:13
90:5 96:15,17	87:7 98:8	83:6,15,17	trim 98:1	34:6 41:23,24
96:19 105:7,9	third-party 2:13	86:17 94:14	true 10:21 13:24	43:9 68:2 69:9
testing 98:10	3:16 7:24 8:11	96:25 103:15	76:6 98:10	78:1 79:10
tests 76:17	14:3	today's 6:8 9:9	102:24 103:1	82:11 89:24
thank 9:1,8,11	thought 44:16	12:8 18:17	105:9 106:22	99:3,4 102:1,2
9:15 21:18,21	94:8,11,21	20:22	truly 34:5	102:3,13
36:4 38:19	thoughts 98:4	told 16:9 31:16	truth 9:9 57:5	103:13
40:12 55:24	thousands 50:25	top 11:20 19:16	105:6	understanding
58:15 73:14	three 15:13,16	91:2 95:15	try 10:13 35:8	14:25 16:16
Thanks 7:22	15:17 16:13,15	topics 36:21,24	74:10,12 97:15	19:2 23:2,6
34:11 95:4	16:19,24 17:3	37:7 48:7	trying 19:9	41:21,25 65:13
they'd 83:3	18:25 20:5	74:24 75:4,8	41:24 47:10	66:2,4 76:15
thing 45:1	25:5 30:3	75:11	71:14 73:3	101:11
things 32:17	33:12 65:14	torch 58:18	85:1 88:18	undertake 89:21
34:3 35:2	throw 85:14	total 18:16,17	102:1 103:2	undertaken
66:22 71:20	time 6:2,23 7:8,9	51:17,18 60:3	turn 20:18 25:11	16:14 17:3
74:14 76:2,4	9:1 14:19	65:14 85:12	25:14,14,21	19:7 24:1 25:6
think 19:18	23:19 26:21,25	totals 35:21	27:16 28:11	26:7
20:12,14 24:5	27:2 31:22	touch 44:10	29:2 30:5	unilateral 33:24
35:4 38:11	38:14 39:10	transcribed	35:16 65:11	uninhabitable
43:16,22 44:14	43:19 59:10	49:13 105:8	turned 25:10	75:23
45:19 51:16	61:19 62:1,4	transcript 7:2,6	91:8	unit 12:23,24
52:24 55:18	63:22 66:16,18	49:13,15 105:9	turning 90:2	13:3,6 14:24
56:23 57:16,16	68:8 72:25	106:1	turnover 72:11	17:21 19:4
57:19,22 58:9	74:16 77:19	transcription	72:11	24:6,8,9,10,18
60:1,8 67:11	82:23 83:16	6:23,25	twelve 35:17	24:19,25 25:15
74:14,17 76:1	85:18 86:19	transcripts	two 19:21 21:25	25:17,18,20,21
76:5 77:8 78:5	89:2,2,23 90:1	37:21	30:3 36:25	25:22 26:1,7,8
80:22,23 81:2	90:18 91:6	trash 78:20,21	85:12 86:23,24	28:25 29:25
81:19,24 82:11	96:11 98:17	Tre 9:15 10:24	two-page 53:17	31:23 32:4,14
			<u>l</u>	<u> </u>

32:23 42:12,22	26:25 27:7	unpack 77:8	volition 62:18	66:20,21,25
43:1 44:4,9	29:21 30:20,23	updated 58:12	vs 1:5,10 6:13,15	68:10 75:22
45:17 51:25,25	30:24 31:2,8	updates 81:4	vs.METRO	88:22 92:10
52:1,3,9 54:22	31:17,20,23	upkeep 24:13	106:2	94:16 97:5,16
55:1,4,6,9	33:6 35:15,17	38:9 41:12		97:24 98:10
57:24 58:2,8	35:18,19,20,21	44:3	W	water-related
68:4,20 69:3,7	35:22,23,25,25	upload 104:4	walk 46:6	50:6
69:10,14 72:11	37:14,16,25	uploaded 56:14	Walking 78:20	way 14:4 18:18
72:11,18,19,21	38:10,23 39:3	upper 51:11	wall 20:2,2 22:8	32:15 33:2
72:23 73:4	39:7,11,12,15	upstairs 32:17	22:9 29:14	39:11 55:16
75:18,21,22,25	39:22,25 40:1	use 34:5 38:14	30:16 52:17	68:10 69:11,14
76:10 77:21,21	40:2,5,6,14,16	usually 71:9	68:11	81:6,7 88:6
78:2,4,19	40:20,23 41:10	utilizing 6:23	walls 29:17	91:3,16 98:11
79:10,17,18,22	41:13,22 42:10	Utley 3:3	want 28:2 32:10	99:24 101:19
80:1,3,4,24	42:17 43:4		34:18 65:12,17	ways 88:5
81:8 82:3,5	44:1,11,15,23	V	66:6,12 67:21	we'll 25:20
86:1,3 87:1,4	44:25,25 45:4	vacant 98:17	71:7 72:8	we're 13:11 18:7
87:14,24 88:1	45:8,11 46:2	vacate 31:9	82:18 93:16	19:8,10 21:1,8
88:1 90:2	50:7,18,19,25	32:22 33:9	103:6	23:18 65:12,17
94:15 95:6	51:4 52:13	vacated 33:21	wanted 27:9	66:6 68:9 77:7
96:8,16,21,22	54:3 55:10,12	Valerie 53:24	33:2 40:13	103:14,21
97:2,4,11,15	55:13 57:9,12	value 40:20	53:10 56:24	we've 84:9
97:17 98:13,16	59:17,18,18,21	94:10,19	68:19	wear 25:12
98:19,25	59:22,24,25	vast 52:3	wanting 103:8	26:10,11
unit's 52:5,8	60:1 61:13,23	vendor 14:3,3	wants 46:5	weeds 32:11
units 10:4,6,6,7	62:4 66:24,25	verified 6:9	Ward 3:18	week 21:10
10:8,12,18,20	69:7 70:9,19	verify 57:4	warranties	weekly 87:12
10:24,25 11:3	70:21,23 71:16	vernacular 14:2	101:22 102:7	weigh 76:24
11:4,6,6,7,16	72:10 73:11	version 27:24	102:14	Welin 2:3
11:19,20,24	74:3,4 75:7,15	versus 71:7	warranty	went 57:23
12:4,5,5,8,24	76:18,19 77:4	video 7:7 34:19	101:25	86:24 92:4
13:4,11,12,13	77:5,9,15	83:7	wasn't 64:13	94:13 96:12,13
13:19 14:4,14	78:15,16 80:14	videotaped 1:14	waste 78:23	101:3
14:20,23 15:1	80:25 81:4,5	1:18	water 12:6,8	weren't 64:18
15:12,13,25	82:9,10,19,22	view 2:21 14:9	15:18,20 16:10	64:24 90:13
16:15 17:7,10	83:1 85:3,16	35:9 69:4,11	16:15 19:3	West 1:22 2:4
17:13,14,15,17	85:24 86:6,9	69:15	23:20,24 28:10	Westfall 2:20
18:2,7,22	87:6,9,25 90:2	Village 90:7,9	29:20 30:1,4	Westfield 3:1
19:14,16,22,25	93:5,6,8,12	90:17	30:25 31:20	8:18
20:5,6,11	94:5,14,17	virtual 6:23,24	32:4,13 42:5	whichever 41:1
22:19,22,24,25	96:20,24 97:1	visibility 96:5	48:23 49:6,24	Williams 3:12
23:1,13,15,17	University 28:23	visit 87:2	51:3 59:18,25	window 22:9
23:19,25 25:5	unoccupied	visual 19:9	61:13 62:3	windows 30:17
25:7,10 26:19	15:15 75:16	visually 6:21	64:13,17,19	76:20
			l	

	1	1		1
wire 68:11	written 39:15	yes-or-no 100:9	12 11:3,19 17:15	1691 21:17
wishes 77:13	41:20,24 42:1	100:13 101:16	35:18,19,23,24	175 2:10
witness 6:9,10	46:9,12,18		36:3 43:18	18 1:2 65:21
6:19 7:21 9:4,7	72:3,3	Z	60:1	106:3
9:10 63:16	wrong 36:1,1,12	zoom 35:9	12-unit 19:21	18CVH070394
83:9 102:6,20	46:17 64:18	Zurich 1:11	124 5:12 36:12	6:14
105:16	65:14 93:5,7	6:15	36:15 38:16,18	19 1:8,15 65:21
wondering 73:7	94:9 101:3,25		74:18,23	106:3
Woodward 3:23	wrote 95:6	0	125 5:13 47:17	19CVH090505
words 11:25		000386 53:19	48:10 53:12,13	6:16
18:6 19:10	X	000387 53:20	60:17,21 61:8	19th 6:17 15:11
work 22:16,22		0394 1:2 106:3	126 5:14 53:18	22:13
24:1 26:6,16	Y	0410 67:22	55:20 56:1,2	1B 35:5
26:18,20,21,22	yard 78:24	0505 1:8	127 5:15 56:19	1BR 57:20
27:13,20 28:5	yeah 9:23 22:9	07 1:2 106:3	56:20 57:1	
29:5,20 30:23	25:16 32:10	09 1:8 5:3	128 5:16 67:11	2
37:13,25 38:8	38:3 41:23	1	67:13,14	2 17:15 35:20,23
38:12 39:8	42:8 45:5	1 11 25 17 12 14	129 5:17 104:2,7	36:3 51:7
44:11 46:3	49:14 50:13	111:25 17:13,14	1346 58:4	57:12 58:4
48:16,18 51:19	51:20,24 52:7	19:19 25:21	1349 21:22	200 2:21,21 3:3
51:24 52:22,23	52:14,20 54:11	35:17,18,19,22	27:25 28:3	3:18 69:7
53:1 60:22	54:24 58:6	35:23,25 36:1	1351 28:20	2010 10:2,11,18
61:8,23 64:3	62:21 65:21	36:3 37:7 38:1	1353 29:10	10:22 13:24
72:22 92:12	71:23 72:5	39:3,7 40:2	30:11 48:12,16	17:6,9,12
99:22	82:11 84:3	42:17 43:4	48:18 51:22	68:21,24 85:17
worked 62:9,11	85:22 94:12,18	44:1,23,25	52:12 53:9	2011 48:24 49:2
62:24 64:6	97:20 100:5	45:4 46:2 48:7	54:19,25 55:1	49:7,25 50:7
84:5 97:12	103:8,13,25	48:10,12 51:9	55:2 61:11,18	85:24
working 84:10	year 51:7 86:21	51:17 52:13,15	68:5 72:18	2012 22:13,17
workmanlike	years 15:14,15	55:10 57:12	97:15	27:20 28:6
99:15	15:18,22 16:17	60:1 73:5 74:4	1468 57:12 58:5	29:6 30:9
works 52:4	20:12 25:10	76:19 87:19	1488 57:13	51:11
62:17 97:12	27:3 31:24	1,000 18:8	14th 105:16	2013 28:14
worry 35:10	38:11 39:5	1/26 27:20	15 91:3	2015 54:14
would've 59:13	43:18,18 51:1	10 51:5	150 3:13	2016 67:25
wouldn't 18:14	51:6,6,6,6,6,6	10:59 103:3	16 10:10,14,17	68:15
38:6 45:20,21	51:6,7,7 54:21	100 3:23 69:7	10:20 13:11,12	2017 55:6
47:12 69:1	55:5,7 60:11	1000 2:10	14:4,14,20,23	2018 65:15 66:2
83:2,2 87:10	62:7 64:7,9	104 5:17	17:17 18:7	2019 64:24,25
102:25	74:7,9 75:17	1091 21:13	26:25 27:6	65:16 66:3
write 96:2 106:1	84:6,10 85:4	11 15:18	31:8 35:21,25	2020 65:16 66:3
writes 55:4	86:10 88:15	11:02 104:6,9	36:1 59:21,22	2021 1:15 6:17
writing 71:25	91:1 97:18	112 20:18	59:24 85:18	105:17 106:3
95:11	98:17	112R 5:11 21:4	93:5 94:17	21 5:11 15:11
		21:5		

	1	
37:8 48:8 75:4	5 51:6	90 65:3
216.515.1645	513.579.0080	94 5:8
3:19	2:16	
21st 28:14	53 5:13	
22 51:1 84:5,10	550 1:23 2:4	
86:10	56 5:5,14,15	
22nd 54:14	59 5:6	
2300 2:15	5th 28:6 30:9	
248.433.7054		_
3:24	6	_
250 1:22 2:4	6 51:6 56:25	
26th 51:11	57:8,9 67:8	
2nd 29:5	74:23	
	60 65:3	
3	614.221.2121	
3 11:25 19:19	2:22	
51:7	614.228.5931	
30 44:8	2:11	
30(B)(5) 1:15,19	614.398.3605	
36:7,21 37:7	3:14	
48:7 63:4	614.484.0701	
74:24 100:19	2:5	
102:5 103:12	614.901.9600	
30,000 18:20	3:4	
60:5 69:21	655 3:3	
84:22	67 5:16	
3000 3:18	6th 67:25	
31 46:25 48:15	7	-
61:7,9	7 50:25 51:6	-
31.66 51:18	74:23	
34 5:4 36 5:12	73 5:7	
38505 3:23	740.363.8988	
30303 3:23	3:9	
4		
4 51:6	8	
41 2:15	8 51:6	
425 3:13	8:15 1:23 6:2	
43015 3:8	8:16 6:17	
43017 3:4,13	8:42 21:1	
43215 1:23 2:5	8:44 21:4	
2:10,16,21	82 3:8	
44114 3:19		-
	9	-
5	9 51:6	
	I	1 1

ORDER ON MOTION

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY APPELLATE DIVISION DOCKET NO. A-001523-19T4 MOTION NO. M-002846-19 BEFORE PART E

JUDGE(S): CARMEN MESSANO

DEBORAH MARINO, EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANITA CREUTZBERGER AND INDIVIDUAL HEIRS OF THE ESTATE OF ANITA CREUTZBERGER

V. ABEX CORPORATION; BORG WARNER CORPORATION; DANA COMPANIES, LLC; FORD MOTOR COMPANY; HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.; KELSEY-HAYES COMPANY; MAREMONT CORPORATION; MOTION CONTROL INDUSTRIES, INC.; AND JOHN DOE CORPORATIONS 1-75

MOTION FILED: 12/16/2019 BY: FORD MOTOR COMPANY

ANSWER(S) FILED:

SUBMITTED TO COURT: January 16, 2020

ORDER

THIS MATTER HAVING BEEN DULY PRESENTED TO THE COURT, IT IS, ON THIS 21st day of January, 2020, HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

MOTION BY APPELLANT

MOTION TO USE DAILY TRIAL GRANTED IN PART / DENIED IN TRANSCRIPTS FOR PURPOSES OF APPEAL PART

SUPPLEMENTAL:

The Clerk's Office accepts the transcripts prepared by the certified transcript reporters. The "June 3, 2019" transcript, which contains thirteen separate trial dates from June 2019, is rejected as it does not comply with the standards fixed by the Administrative Director of the Courts. Counsel shall order the thirteen transcripts for appeal purposes

FILED, Clerk of the Appellate Division, January 22, 2020, A-001523-19, M-002846-19

no later than January 27, 2020.

FOR THE COURT:

CARMEN MESSANO, P.J.A.D.

151

154

176

214

233

238

MID-L-836-10 MIDDLESEX

ORDER - REGULAR MOTION

CLD