KEITH A. BONCHI Attorney at Law

EMAIL: kbonchi@gmslaw.com

Please Reply To:

Northfield

GMS LAW

ATTORNEYS

GOLDENBERG • MACKLER • SAYEGH
MINTZ • PFEFFER • BONCHI • GILL

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

660 NEW ROAD, FIRST FLOOR, NORTHFIELD, NEW JERSEY 08225 PHONE: (609) 646-0222 | FAX: (609) 646-0887

> www.gmslaw.com TAX ID #22-1980737

August 5, 2024

FILED VIA eCOURTS

ATLANTIC CITY 1030 ATLANTIC AVENUE ATLANTIC CITY, NJ 08401 PHONE: (609) 344-7131 FAX: (609) 347-6024

RIO GRANDE THE HERALD BUILDING 1508 ROUTE 47 SOUTH, SUITE 3 RIO GRANDE, NJ 08242 PHONE: (609) 886-4333 FAX: (609) 886-9441

VINELAND 1170 EAST LANDIS AVENUE VINELAND, NJ 08360 PHONE: (856) 839-0953 FAX: (856) 839-0959

Supreme Court Clerk's Office R.J. Hughes Justice Complex, 25 W. Market St. P.O. Box 970 Trenton, NJ 08625-0970

RE: 257-261 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue Realty, LLC v. Alessandro Roberto, et al. Supreme Court Docket No. 088959
Appellate Docket No. A-3315-21
Lower Court Docket No. F-3349-21
Our File No. 60619-9

Dear Honorable Justices of the Supreme Court:

We represent Plaintiff/Petitioner 257-261 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue Realty, LLC ("Petitioner") in the above-captioned matter. On July 26, 2024, this Court requested submissions from the parties with respect to the impact, if any, of the recent revisions to the Tax Sale Law on this matter. Please consider this Petitioner's response.

The new law provides that it "shall have no effect on any foreclosure action in which a final judgment has been entered prior to the effective date of this act." In this matter, a final judgment of foreclosure was entered on February 2, 2022, which the trial court

vacated by order dated June 13, 2022. (Pa49-54, Pa151-52). Following Defendant's

redemption of the tax certificate, and in accordance with R. 4:64-6(b), the court entered a

final order of dismissal on June 16, 2022. (Pa153-54). If this Court agrees with Petitioner

that the trial judge erred in vacating the judgment, the Court can reinstate the final

judgment nunc pro tunc and direct Petitioner to refund the redemption. If this Court agrees

with Defendant that the trial judge was correct, the matter is also over. In either event, the

existence of a final judgment that predates the effective date of the new law means that

the new law does not bear on the outcome here.

The new law recognizes an owner's right to surplus equity in a tax foreclosure and

provides a mechanism by which it may be recouped. However, the law does not affect the

intermediate court's determination that private lienholders are "state actors" for

constitutional purposes. Nor does the law affect the conclusion that the existence of equity

in pre-amendment cases constitutes an "exceptional circumstance" justifying vacation of

final judgments under R. 4:50-1(f).

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Respectfully yours,

KEITH A. BONCHI

ELLIOTT J. ALMANZA

KAB:EJA:amc