

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION WITHOUT THE
APPROVAL OF THE APPELLATE DIVISION**

This opinion shall not "constitute precedent or be binding upon any court."
Although it is posted on the internet, this opinion is binding only on the
parties in the case and its use in other cases is limited. R. 1:36-3.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
APPELLATE DIVISION
DOCKET NO. A-4557-15T3

DARLENE ESPOSITO,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

ELITE TRANSCRIPTION, INC.,

Defendant-Respondent.

Submitted December 11, 2017 – Decided January 3, 2018

Before Judges Ostrer and Whipple.

On appeal from Superior Court of New Jersey,
Law Division, Special Civil Part, Morris
County, Docket No. DC-001716-16.

Darlene Esposito, appellant pro se.

Charles Michael Damian, attorney for respondent
(Rebecca L. Eckert, on the brief).

PER CURIAM

Plaintiff appeals from the trial court's grant of summary judgment, dismissing with prejudice her pro se Special Civil Part complaint against defendant, Elite Transcripts, Inc.¹ Plaintiff


¹ Defendant maintains it was improperly named as Elite Transcription, Inc.

alleged that various wrongs by defendant, which is in the business of preparing appellate transcripts, resulted in the dismissal of her appeal in a separate case. However, defendant could in no way be liable for the dismissal of plaintiff's appeal as she did not order transcription services from defendant until two months after dismissal. Plaintiff did not oppose defendant's summary judgment motion, although she engaged in subsequent motion practice before the trial court.

Based upon our de novo review of the record and applicable principles of law, see Henry v. N.J. Dep't of Human Servs., 204 N.J. 320, 330 (2010), we conclude that plaintiff's appeal lacks sufficient merit to warrant further discussion in a written opinion. R. 2:11-3(e)(1)(E).

Affirmed.

I hereby certify that the foregoing
is a true copy of the original on
file in my office.


CLERK OF THE APPELLATE DIVISION