

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION WITHOUT THE
APPROVAL OF THE APPELLATE DIVISION**

This opinion shall not "constitute precedent or be binding upon any court." Although it is posted on the internet, this opinion is binding only on the parties in the case and its use in other cases is limited. R. 1:36-3.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
APPELLATE DIVISION
DOCKET NO. A-0145-20**

**MICHAEL P. VIGILANTE III
a/k/a MICHAEL VIGILANTE,**

Appellant,

v.

**BOARD OF REVIEW,
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,
and JUBILANT DRAXIMAGE
RADIOPHARMACIES, INC.,**

Respondents.

Submitted November 17, 2021 – Decided December 3, 2021

Before Judges Gooden Brown and Gummer.

On appeal from the Board of Review, Department of Labor, Docket No. 203931.

Michael P. Vigilante, appellant pro se.

Andrew J. Bruck, Acting Attorney General, attorney for respondent Board of Review (Donna Arons, Assistant Attorney General, of counsel; Christopher J. Hammer, Deputy Attorney General, on the brief).

PER CURIAM

The Board of Review of the New Jersey Department of Labor affirmed a decision of the Department's Appeal Tribunal, disqualifying claimant Michael P. Vigilante from receiving unemployment benefits under N.J.S.A 43:21-5(a) because he had left work voluntarily for reasons unrelated to his work. Claimant appeals the Board's decision, which we affirm.

Plaintiff was employed by Jubilant Draximage Radiopharmacies, Inc. from January 10, 2013, through December 27, 2019. On December 22, 2019, plaintiff applied for unemployment benefits. A deputy from the Department's Division of Unemployment Insurance disqualified claimant from receiving benefits, finding he had left his job voluntarily on December 29, 2019, "to relocate due to [his] spouse's employment." The deputy found that reason to be "personal" and not "good cause attributable to the work."

Claimant appealed the deputy's decision. During a hearing before the Appeal Tribunal, claimant testified he had left his job to relocate to Texas, where his wife, who was head of the household, had "a lot better opportunity" and they could not afford to live in New Jersey anymore. He also stated he would have stayed at his job if his wife had not obtained employment in Texas.

The Tribunal found claimant's primary reason for leaving his job "was that he could not afford to live in New Jersey and to accompany his spouse who is the head of household to Texas" and "the claimant's reasons for leaving the job, because of his financial hardship and to accompany his wife to Texas[,] are personal reasons, unrelated to the work." Thus, the Tribunal determined pursuant to N.J.S.A. 43:21-5(a), plaintiff was disqualified for benefits because he had "left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to such work." Plaintiff appealed the Tribunal's decision to the Board. The Board affirmed the decision.

Plaintiff raises the following argument on appeal:

POINT 1

BOARD OF REVIEW'S FINAL DECISION WAS
NOT BASED ON THE FULL REVIEW OF
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING A GOOD CAUSE FOR
LEAVING THE JOB.

We find no legal or factual basis to conclude the Board erred in affirming the Tribunal's decision disqualifying claimant from unemployment benefits. Claimant's arguments lack sufficient merit to warrant further discussion in a written opinion. R. 2:11-3(e)(1)(E).

Affirmed.

I hereby certify that the foregoing
is a true copy of the original on
file in my office.


CLERK OF THE APPELLATE DIVISION