

COHEN, PLACITELLA & ROTH, PC
BY: CHRISTOPHER PLACITELLA, ESQUIRE
127 MAPLE AVENUE
RED BANK, NJ 07701
(732) 747-9003
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

RECEIVED AND
FILED
SEP 22 2010
ATLANTIC COUNTY
LAW DIVISION

IN RE: LEVAQUIN LITIGATION : SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
: LAW DIVISION: ATLANTIC COUNTY
: :
: CASE No.: 286
: :
: :
: ORDER ADMITTING
: ANDREW KANTER, ESQ.
: *PRO HAC VICE*

AND NOW, Cohen, Placitella & Roth, PC, counsel for various Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action, upon notice to all interested parties, have moved before this Court of admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Andrew Kanter, Esquire; the Court having considered the papers in support thereof; and the Court having found that Andrew Kanter, Esquire is a member in good standing for the bar of the highest Court in the state where he is domiciled and principally practices law; and further good cause shown,

IT IS on this 22 day of Sept, 2010 hereby

ORDERED that the Motion is granted and Andrew Kanter, Esquire is admitted to practice *Pro Hac Vice* before this Court, pursuant to R.1:21-2, for all purposes and in all proceedings in which he or his firm, Motley Rice, LLC, have a filed and served action, (see attached Schedule "A"), in the same manner as an attorney who is admitted to practice in this

State and is domiciled and maintains an office for the practice of law in the State of New Jersey, provided that she shall:

1. abide by the Rules of Court for the State of New Jersey, including all disciplinary rules;
2. consent to the appointment of the Clerk of the Supreme Court as an agent upon whom service of process may be made for all actions against him or his firm that may arise out of his participation in this matter;
3. notify the Court immediately of any matter effecting his standing before this Court; and
4. have all pleadings, briefs and other papers filed in the Court signed by an attorney-at-law of this Court employed by the firm of Cohen, Placitella & Roth, PC, who shall be responsible for the conduct of Andrew Kanter, Esquire and it is further

ORDERED that Andrew Kanter, Esquire shall make payment of fees as provided in the New Jersey Rules of Court, R. 1:20-1(b), 1:28-2 and 1:29B-1(e) within thirty (30) days of this Order, and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall forward a copy of this Order to the Treasurer of the New Jersey Fund for Client Protection; and it is further

ORDERED that copies of this Order shall be served by attorneys for plaintiffs upon defendants within seven (7) days of the receipt thereof.



The Honorable Carol E. Higbee

SCHEDULE A

Donald J. Beasley vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al.	L-2514-10
Judith A. Burgard vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-2751-10
Rose Cabot vs. vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-811-10
Tammy G. Carter-Parr vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-2752-10
Karen Chesteen vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-2512-10
Jennifer Coleman vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3141-10
Daniel Crooks vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-2753-10
William Cunningham vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-2518-10
Lawrence Dekat vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-601-10
Hershel Dunn vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al.	L-3176-10
Richard Evatt vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-2754-10
Kathy Evers vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3151-10
Sylvia Galom-Johnson vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3101-10
Larry Gropper vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3109-10
Nancy Haag vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-1639 10
Sharon Herbst vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3135-10
Catherine Hoffman vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3183-10
Terry L. Johnson vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3089-10
Michael Jones vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3093-10
Mark Keil vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-2895-10
Laurene Kerrigan vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-1048-10
Denise Lapointe vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3114-10
Sandra Maclean vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3105-10
Michael Mallicoat vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-602-10
Natalie Matthews vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3095-10
Margaret Mcvey vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-808-10
Carol Meador vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-2756-10
Pennie Patalano vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-4731-09
Florence Pierson vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3179-10
Loretta Richardson vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3161-10
Martha Smith vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3098-10
Robert Sobrito vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-787-10
Anthony Sorg vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-2755-10
Ruth Spearman vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-2516-10
Dorothy Square vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3174-10
Reginald Steen vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3117-10
Sue Sterner vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3097-10
David Talbott vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-4729-09
Jeffrey Van Nostrand vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3165-10
Donald Wayne Wells vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-4730-09
Mary Whatley vs. Johnson & Johnson, et al	L-3124-10