

6119
01-06-17

Ellen Relkin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
A New York Professional Corporation
220 Lake Drive East, Suite 210
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
(856) 755-1115
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

JAN 06 2017
JUDGE JESSICA R. WAYNE

Gloria Powell and Russell Powell,

Plaintiffs

v.

WYETH LLC, WYETH INC., WYETH
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., INDIVIDUALLY
AND D/B/A ESI LEDERLE, INC., WYETH
HOLDINGS CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY
AND d/b/a LEDERLE, JOHN DOE DRUG
COMPANY DEFENDANTS, JOHN DOE
DRUG DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS

Defendants

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX
COUNTY

IN RE: REGLAN LITIGATION
Case No. 289

DOCKET: MID-L-10333-14

**ORDER TO AMEND COMPLAINT TO
SUBSTITUTE JOHN DOE
DEFENDANTS TO DEFENDANT
PLIVA, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND
F/K/A SIDMAK LABORATORIES,
INC.**


THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court upon motion by plaintiffs, on a motion pursuant to R. 4:9-1 for an Order granting plaintiff leave to amend her Complaint to include the following defendants: Pliva, Inc., Individually and F/K/A Sidmak Laboratories, Inc. in substitution for John Doe Defendants; and the Court having read the moving papers ~~and the opposition, if any, thereto; and having considered the arguments of counsel;~~ and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 6th day of January, 2017,

ORDERED that the caption of the above Complaint be amended to "Gloria Powell and Russell Powell v. WYETH LLC, WYETH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a ESI LEDERLE, INC., WYETH, INC., WYETH HOLDINGS CORPORATION,

INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a LEDERLE AND PLIVA, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND F/K/A
SIDMAK LABORATORIES, INC.”; and it is further ordered that ^{a copy of this order be posted} ~~counsel for plaintiffs shall~~
~~serve a copy of this Order on counsel for defendant~~ ^{online for all counsel} within seven (7) days of the date of this
Order.

UNOPPOSED



HON JESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C

Motion Opposed
 Unopposed

- counsel for PLIVA having submitted a letter stating
no "opposition" to the motion but not waiving
any defenses that may be applicable to
the amended pleading.

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find
it to be meritorious on its face and is
unopposed. Pursuant to R. 1:6-2, it
therefore will be granted essentially for the
reasons set forth in the moving papers."