

IN RE STRYKER LFIT CoCr V40  
FEMORAL HEADS HIP IMPLANT  
LITIGATION

**FILED**

**MAY 26 2022**

**RACHELLE L. HARZ**

This Document Relates To the Following  
Matter Only:

1) **JOHN AND JOAN NAIL** [BER-L-  
7562-16]

Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court's Order of May 16, 2017, MCL No. 624 (Master Docket Number BER-L-7895-17) was assigned to this Court for centralized case management. Accordingly, this Court is charged with management of this MCL docket, generally, as well as the individual matters that collectively comprise the MCL docket.

On February 10, 2022, the Court entered an Order regarding certain unrevised matters, which included the *John and Joan Nail* case (BER-L-7562-16). In that Order the Court noted that the identified pending MCL matters asserted claims involving *unrevised* plaintiffs despite the fact that all prior unrevised plaintiffs have voluntarily dismissed such matters without remuneration. The Court further set a February 23, 2022 hearing for the identified unrevised plaintiffs or their new legal counsel to appear and show cause why his/her unrevised plaintiff case should not be removed from the trial calendar and dismissed from the MCL docket with prejudice.

By way of the February 10, 2022 Order, the Court further permitted plaintiffs' counsel in those matters to withdraw after providing notice of a February 23, 2022 hearing to the identified plaintiffs, which was subsequently completed and confirmed by former counsel.

On February 23, 2022, the Court held a telephonic hearing at the noticed time, and, in addition to former Plaintiffs' counsel and counsel for Defendant, individuals who identified themselves as Plaintiffs John and Joan Nail, as well as a relative of theirs identified as Ronald

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION: BERGEN COUNTY

CASE NO. 624

Master Docket No. BER-L-7859-17  
CIVIL ACTION

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH  
PREJUDICE**

Dennis, appeared. At that time it was confirmed that Plaintiff John Nail's 2009 hip implant device remains unrevised. Following a discussion of the current status of the matter, Plaintiffs requested additional time to explore new legal representation.

On February 24, 2022, the Court dismissed the matter without prejudice to re-filing by May 25, 2022 noting that if a timely motion to reinstate had not been filed by that date then the matter would be dismissed *with prejudice and without further notice*.

As of this date, no motion to reinstate has been filed with the Court.

Accordingly, pursuant to its inherent authority and case management duties, the Court hereby issues the following Order:

**IT IS** on this 26<sup>th</sup> day of May 2022 **ORDERED:**

1. Only the following matter is within the scope of this Order:
  - John and Joan Nail [BER-L-7562-16]
2. The identified matter is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

Dated: May 26<sup>th</sup>, 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. RACHELLE L. HARZ, J.S.C.